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The development of epistemic beliefs is regarded as an important goal of education. Three studies were conducted with preservice teachers in Australia to evaluate the validity of a new instrument that has the potential to measure sophistication of epistemic beliefs in a theoretically grounded manner. Two surveys gathered evidence on internal structure and content, and an interview study collected evidence on response process. Findings indicate that the original model and instrument required modifications. The modified instrument exhibited better internal structure. Interview data show that the instrument prompted respondents to think about the target constructs. However, the interview data also show that there are nuances in respondents’ beliefs about scientific knowledge which were not captured by the written questionnaire.
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Epistemic beliefs refer to individuals’ views and understanding about the nature of knowledge and knowing (Bendixen & Feucht, 2010; Hofer & Pintrich, 2002). Epistemic beliefs has become the focus of much research because of its influence on how students learn, and also because its development is regarded as a valued aspect of education (Bendixen & Feucht, 2010; Magolda, 2008; Magolda, King, Taylor, & Wakefield, 2012).

Psychologically, the development of epistemic beliefs is founded upon early cognitive achievement that begins at around the age of four, when children start to become aware that one’s knowledge represents, rather than simply copies or reflects, objective reality (Kuhn, 2000). By viewing knowledge as representations, children also start to become aware that knowledge could be false and that claims to knowledge may need to be evaluated. Such awareness paves the way for higher order cognitive processes valued in education, such as critical thinking and causal reasoning (Kuhn & Pearsall, 2000; Kuhn & Udell, 2003).

Studies of epistemological development often employ interviews and other intensive data collection methods (Kitchener & King, 1981; Magolda, et al., 2012; Perry, 1970/1999). To complement such methods, researchers and educators also need self-report instruments that allow the efficient collection of data across many individuals. The present study seeks to evaluate the validity of a new instrument which has the potential to assess the sophistication of epistemic beliefs in a theoretically sound manner (Greene, 2007; Greene, Azevedo, & Torney-Purta, 2008; Greene,