

Antibiotic use Profiles and Microbial Patterns in the Surgery Department of Bangil Regional General Hospital, Pasuruan



Fauna Herawati^{1*}, Beatrix Eyleen Giovanny¹, Rika Yulia¹ and Abdul Kadir Jaelani²

¹Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Surabaya, Surabaya

²Regional General Hospital Bangil, Jl. Raya Raci - Bangil, Indonesia

Submission: September 04, 2018; **Published:** October 25, 2018

*Corresponding author: FaunaHerawati, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Surabaya, Jalan Raya Kalirungkut, FF Building, 5th Floor, Surabaya 60293, Indonesia, Tel: 6231 2981212; E-mail: fauna@staff.ubaya.ac.id

Abstract

This study aimed to determine the profile of the use of antibiotics in units of DDD / 100 patient-days, types of bacteria as well as the sensitivity of bacteria in the Surgery Department of Bangil Regional General Hospital, Pasuruan during the period from January to June 2016. The study was conducted using a descriptive, cross-sectional study design and data collection was done retrospectively. Nineteen percent patients in the surgical ward was given antibiotic. The results showed that the 3 most-used antibiotics are ciprofloxacin (11.8 DDD / 100 patient-days), ceftazidime (6.7 DDD / 100 patient-days), and cefixime (4.3 DDD / 100 patient-days). Ciprofloxacin became the most widely used antibiotics with DU of 26% from the total antibiotic. Within six months, there are four bacteria identified from the culture test result, i.e.: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and *Acinetobacter* sp. Only vancomycin is an antibiotic which has 100% sensitivity to *S. aureus*. The DDD / 100 patient-days for 19% patients is 45.83, this result shows that the trend of using antibiotic is more than one DDD antibiotic per day.

Keywords: Antibiotic; ATC/DDD; DU 90%; Sensitivity of bacteria; Surgical department

Key message: Antibiotic stewardship programme is urgently needed due to a high antibiotic use in the hospital

Introduction

Infectious diseases are one of the causes of high morbidity and mortality. Data obtained from the World Health Organization (WHO) showed that infectious diseases have caused 1.4 million deaths per days in the world and developing countries, including Indonesia. A study conducted at several hospitals in Indonesia in 2004 showed that 9.8% of hospitalized patients suffered from nosocomial infections. According to the Ministry of Health, Republic Indonesia, in 2011, the incidence of surgical wound infections in public hospitals amounted to 55.1%.

Bacterial infections could be treated with antibiotics. However, the success of antibiotics in curing infections creates a new problem, which is the growing population of bacteria being resistant to antibiotics. Inappropriate use of antibiotics will result in bacteria or microbes becoming resistant to the antibiotic, thus causing the antibiotics to lose their functions[1-4]. Based on an assessment carried out in Dr. Soetomo General Hospital (Surabaya) and Dr. Kariadi General Hospital Medical Center (Semarang) regarding wise use of antibiotics, it was proven that 30% to 80% of the antibiotics used were not based on indications[5].

Controlling the use of antibiotics is considered necessary to reduce the incidence of antibiotic resistance. One way of doing so is through the implementation of an Antibiotic Stewardship Program, which is an institutional or healthcare system approach to promote and monitor the use of antibiotics appropriately to maintain its effectiveness. The Ministry of Health in Indonesia has established an Antimicrobial Resistance Control Program (ARCP) team to support and oversee the running of Antibiotic Stewardship Program, which is recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The Health Ministerial Regulation of 2015 states that every hospital in Indonesia must implement an Antimicrobial Resistance Control Program optimally[6-8].

Materials and Methods

This research is a retrospective, descriptive, observational cross-sectional study. The research material was data on antibiotic usage in the Surgery Department of Regional General Hospital Bangil during January - June 2016 period obtained from the Pharmacy Installation of Regional General Hospital Bangil, which was then calculated and expressed in DDD units (defined

daily dose) per 100 patient days, as well as calculated using Drug Utilization 90% (DU 90%) method to discover the most widely used antibiotics within 6 months. In addition, data on bacterial culture obtained from the microbiology laboratory of Regional General Hospital Bangil were also used to find out the types of bacteria and the percentage of bacterial sensitivity in the Surgery Department of Regional General Hospital Bangil during the period of January - June 2016. The formula to calculate DDD per 100 patient-days is modified from DDD/1000 population/day as follows:[8-10].

$$\text{DDD}/1000 \text{ population}/\text{day} = \frac{\text{Amount used in 1 year (mg)} \times 1000}{\text{DDD (mg)} \times \text{population} \times 365 \text{ (days)}} \dots\dots\dots \text{Equation 1}$$

Several adjustments to the formula are i. the specific period of a month, ii. patient-day derived from the total length of stays of

the patient. The DDD is the assumed average maintenance dose per day for a drug used for its main indication in adults.

Population and Sample Determination

The defined daily dose (DDD) per 100 patient-days and DU90% data were derived and calculated from pharmacy databases; whereas a bacterial culture test was from any adult patient (aged ≥ 14 years) specimen in the surgical ward of Regional General Hospital Bangil in the period of January-June 2017.

Data Analysis

The data analysis in this study is a descriptive analysis. The calculation results of antibiotics use and percentage of bacterial sensitivity to antibiotics are presented in the form of graphs and tables.

Results

Table 1: Types antibiotics based on its drug utilization (DU)O, oral; P, parenteral.

NO	ATCs	Generic Name	DDD/100 Patient-Days	DU	DsU Cumulative
1	J01MA02	Ciprofloxacin (O/P)	11.78	25.70%	25.70%
2	J01DD02	Ceftazidime (P)	6.66	14.53%	40.23%
3	J01DD08	Cefixime (O)	4.27	9.32%	49.56%
4	J01XD01	Metronidazole (P)	3.93	8.57%	58.12%
5	J01GB03	Gentamicin (P)	3.32	7.25%	65.38%
6	J01DB05	Cefadroxil (O)	3.00	6.55%	71.92%
7	J01DD04	Ceftriaxone (P)	2.19	4.79%	76.71%
8	J01CA04	Amoxicillin (O/P)	2.08	4.53%	81.24%
9	J01GB06	Amikacin (P)	1.83	4.00%	85.24%
10	J01DH02	Meropenem (P)	1.76	3.83%	89.07%
11	P01AB01	Metronidazole (O)	1.67	3.64%	92.71%
12	J01CA01	Ampicilin (P)	1.09	2.38%	95.10%
13	J01DC02	Cefuroxime (P)	0.92	2.00%	97.10%
14	J01CR01	Ampicillin/Sulbactam (P)	0.40	0.87%	97.97%
15	J01MA12	Levofloxacin (P)	0.30	0.66%	98.63%
16	J01DD01	Cefotaxime (P)	0.25	0.54%	99.17%
17	J01FA10	Azithromycin (O)	0.19	0.42%	99.59%
18	J01CR02	Amoxicilin/Clavulanic Acid (O)	0.12	0.26%	99.84%
19	J01FF01	Clindamycin (O)	0.06	0.13%	99.98%
20	J01FA01	Erythromycin (O)	0.01	0.02%	100.00%
TOTAL			45.83	100.00%	

From a total of 3016 patients in the surgical wards of Regional General Hospital Bangil, 572 patients received antibiotic therapy. In this research, 20 types of antibiotics consisting of 9 oral and 13 parenteral preparations were used. The total number of DDD / 100 patient-days in the surgical wards in January was 45.83, the detailed data could be seen in (Table 1).

According to these data, the 3 (three) most commonly used antibiotics in the surgical wards during January – June 2016 were ciprofloxacin (11.78 DDD / 100 patient-days), ceftazidime (6.66

DDD / 100 patient-days), and cefixime (4.27 DDD / 100 patient-days). The 90% DU antibiotics during the period from January to June 2016 there were ciprofloxacin, ceftazidime, cefixime, metronidazole, gentamicin, cefadroxil, ceftriaxone, amoxicillin, amikacin, meropenem. Based on data obtained from the microbiology laboratory, 35 isolates were found, and 25 antibiotics were tested on sensitivity to 14 bacteria, as shown in (Table 2). These bacteria are Gram-negative bacteria, such as *Acinetobacter* Sp.(7), *Escherichia coli*(2), *Enterobacteraerogenes*(1), *Enterobacter agglomerous*(2), *Enterobactercloacae*(1), *Klebsiella*

ozaenae(1), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (3), *Pragia fontium*(1), *Shigella dysenteriae*(1), *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*(4) and Gram-positive bacteria, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*(9), *Staphylococcus simulans*(1), *Streptococcus mitis* (1), *Streptococcus pyogenes*(1).

Table 2: Total Isolates Bacterial in the Surgical Wards during January – June 2016.

Gram of bacteria	Type of bacteria	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	Total
Gram Negative	<i>Acinetobacter Sp.</i>	1	0	1	2	2	1	7
	<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	0	1	2	0	1	0	4
	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
	<i>Enterobacter agglomerou</i>	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
	<i>Enterobacter aerogenes</i>	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	<i>Klebsiella ozaenae</i>	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Pragia fontium</i>	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	<i>Shigella dysenterid</i>	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	Total Gram-Negative Bacteria		1	4	7	3	5	3
Gram Positive	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	1	2	2	1	1	2	9
	<i>Staphylococcus simulans</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	<i>Streptococcus mitis</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Total Gram-Positive Bacteria	1	2	2	2	3	2	12
	Total	2	6	9	5	8	3	35

Table 3: Gram Negative and Positive Bacterial Isolates Resistant to Antibiotics. n: number of resistant isolates; N: number of total isolates.

KODE ATC	Nama Antibiotik	Gram Negatif						Gram Positif	
		<i>Acinetobacter Sp</i> (N=7).		<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (N=3)		<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i> (N=4)		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (N=9)	
		n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
J01AA02	Doxycycline	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
J01AA07	Tetracycline	-	-	-	-	-	-	2/9	22%
J01AA08	Minocycline	5/7	71%	2/3	67%	2/4	50%	3/9	33%
J01BA01	Chloramphenicol	-	-	-	-	-	-	5/9	56%
J01C	Penicillin	-	-	-	-	-	-	9/9	100%
J01CA01	Ampicillin	5/7	71%	3/3	100%	4/4	100%	-	-
J01CA12	Piperacillin	5/7	71%	3/3	100%	2/4	50%	-	-
J01CF04	Oxacillin	-	-	-	-	-	-	3/9	33%
J01CR01	Ampicillin/Sulbactam	4/7	57%	3/3	100%	4/4	100%	-	-
J01CR05	Piperacillin/Tazobactam	5/7	71%	1/3	33%	2/4	50%	-	-
J01DB04	Cefazolin	6/7	86%	3/3	100%	4/4	100%	-	-
J01DC01	Cefoxitin	6/7	86%	2/3	67%	4/4	100%	1/9	11%
J01DC02	Cefuroxime	5/7	71%	3/3	100%	4/4	100%	-	-
J01DD02	Ceftazidime	1/7	14%	3/3	100%	1/4	25%	-	-
J01DD04	Ceftriaxone	0	0	3/3	100%	4/4	100%	-	-
J01DE01	Cefepime	5/7	71%	2/3	67%	1/4	25%	-	-
J01DF01	Aztreonam	3/7	43%	2/3	67%	2/4	50%	-	-
J01DH02	Meropenem	0	0	2/3	67%	3/4	75%	-	-
J01EE01	Cotrimoxazole	5/7	71%	3/3	100%	4/4	100%	1/9	11%

J01FA01	Erythromycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	2/9	22%
J01FA10	Azithromycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	2/9	22%
J01FF01	Clindamycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	2/9	22%
J01GB01	Tobramycin	5/7	71%	3/3	100%	3/4	75%	0	0
J01GB03	Gentamicin	5/7	71%	2/3	67%	3/4	75%	6/9	67%
J01GB06	Amikacin	5/7	71%	2/3	67%	3/4	75%	0	0
J01MA01	Ofloxacin	-	-	-	-	-	-	3/9	33%
J01MA02	Ciprofloxacin	5/7	71%	2/3	67%	3/4	75%	3/9	33%
J01MA06	Norfloxacin	5/7	71%	2/3	67%	1/4	25%	3/9	33%
J01MA07	Lomefloxacin	-	-	-	-	1/4	25%	1/9	11%
J01MA12	Levofloxacin	7/7	100%	2/3	67%	3/4	75%	1/9	11%
J01MA14	Moxifloxacin	-	-	-	-	-	-	3/9	33%
J01MA16	Gatifloxacin	-	-	-	-	-	-	1/9	11%
J01XA01	Vancomycin	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
J01XX08	Linezolid	-	-	-	-	-	-	1/9	11%

Having tested the four isolates, it was found that *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteria were the most resistant to antibiotics. (Table 3) shows the percentage of antibiotic resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. It could be seen that resistance to most of the antibiotics tested was found, such as to gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, and meropenem. Those antibiotics were considered as the top 10 most-used antibiotics in the Surgical Wards of Bangil Regional General Hospital during the period of January-June 2016.

Discussion

The number of DDD / 100 patient days was 45.83. This number is like the number of antibiotics used in the surgical wards of Dr. Karjadi Hospital in Semarang in 2008, which was 51.8 DDD / 100 patient-days[5,11-13]. The number of antibiotics used was a combined data from all patients in the surgical wards who used antibiotics without looking at the diagnosis, thus the value of DDD cannot described its appropriateness to every indication. It is necessary to conduct further research that considers the patient diagnosis during antibiotic therapy and other factors influencing the use of antibiotics.

In the calculation result regarding the number of DDD / 100 patient days, the major parenteral antibiotics used during the months of January to June 2016 were also reported, i.e. ceftazidime (6.66 DDD / 100 patient days). The increase in the use of ceftazidime may be due to a shortage of ceftriaxone injection in the Pharmacy Department of Regional General Hospital Bangil in the early 2016, which was most widely used in the surgical wards of Regional General Hospital Bangil. A research conducted at Dr. Kariadi Hospital in Semarang also suggested that the most widely used antibiotics was ceftriaxone amounting to 36.9 DDD / 100 patient-days.5 The use of ceftazidime as a substitute for ceftriaxone is appropriate as both ceftazidime and ceftriaxone are in the same class of antibiotics, which are the third-generation cephalosporins. The high level of ciprofloxacin use in the

surgical wards could be caused by several factors, such as the administration of oral dosage after patients were discharged from the hospital and the diagnosis during hospital stays in the Surgical Wards of Regional General Hospital Bangil.

The use of ceftazidime in the form of injections was also relatively high because, at the beginning of 2016, the Pharmacy Department of Regional General Hospital Bangil had a shortage of ceftriaxone injection, which was most widely used in the surgical ward of Regional General Hospital Bangil. The reasons for ceftazidime's highly use is as a substitution for ceftriaxone during the period of January-June 2016. These factors could be used as a reference for further research regarding the use of antibiotics in surgical wards. From the data on DDD / 100 patient days of each antibiotic, the 90% DU segment was then calculated to identify the most commonly used antibiotics in the surgical wards. Antibiotics in the 90% DU segment in order from largest to smallest were ciprofloxacin (26%), ceftazidime (15%), cefixime (9%), metronidazole (9%), gentamicin (7%), cefadroxil (7%), ceftriaxone (5%), amoxicillin (5%), amikacin (4%), meropenem (4%). Ciprofloxacin antibiotics were the most widely used antibiotics with DU of 26%. The antibiotics in the 90% Cumulative list were the types of antibiotics recommended in the National Formulary, published in 2016.

The use of antibiotics as therapy in patient care greatly affects the growth of bacteria, in which they could become resistant to antibiotics that do not correspond to the existing resistance patterns. Therefore, the researchers collected data regarding the results of bacterial culture to find out the types of bacteria that grew and antibiotic sensitivity in the surgical wards of Regional General Hospital Bangil during January to June 2016. The four bacteria could grow and cause surgical site infections (SSI) in the surgical wards[11]. In the surgical wards of Bangil Hospital, the culture test is not always performed on SSI patients, but also on patients diagnosed open fractures. This is like the results of a study carried out at a hospital in Yogyakarta during August

2013-August 2015, as the types of bacteria that grew were the same as in the surgical wards of Regional General Hospital Bangil, such as *Staphylococcus aureus*(12), *Acinetobacter*Sp. (3), *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*(1), and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*(1) [14].

The four bacteria were then calculated on the percentage of sensitivity and resistance to antibiotics. The result showed that *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteria were resistant to most antibiotics. All *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteria sensitive to vancomycin (100%). From these data, we cannot obtain microbial patterns because the number of isolates of each bacterium was insufficient and not all specimens gave positive isolate in the culture test. In addition, it was not possible to calculate the sensitivity percentages of several antibiotics due to the small number of isolates. These sensitivity test bacteria to antibiotics are classified into 3 (three) categories, i.e.: usually effective clinically, if the antibiotic sensitivity test result is more than 60% of all examinations; intermediate effective clinically, if the antibiotic sensitivity test result is between 30-60% of all examinations; and not effective clinically, if the antibiotic sensitivity test result is less than 30% based on Sanford Guide to Antimicrobial Therapy 40th ed, [15]. Further research is required to obtain enough isolates and results of all antibiotic susceptibility tests.

Conclusion

From a total of 3016 patients in the surgical wards of Bangil Regional General Hospital, 572 patients used antibiotics. The number of DDD / 100 patient days was obtained from data on antibiotics use in the surgical wards of Regional General Hospital Bangil. The most antibiotics use in the surgical wards was ciprofloxacin.

There were 14 bacteria growing during a cultural test carried out on 35 antibiotics, which consisted of 23 gram-positive bacterial isolates and 12 gram-negative bacterial isolates. Those bacteria were mostly gram-negative bacteria (*Acinetobacter* Sp., *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*) and gram-positive bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*). The most commonly used types of antibiotics resistant to *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in the surgical wards during January-June 2016 period were gentamicin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, and meropenem. Based on the research that has been conducted, the following suggestions could be given: i. Further prospective research is necessary to obtain enough cultural isolates to determine the microbial patterns in the Surgery Department of Regional General Hospital Bangil, ii. Testing bacterial culture on patients who take antibiotics after 3 days and who use prophylactic antibiotics and suffer from a surgical site infection, iii. Further research on the

use of antibiotics about patient diagnosis is required, iv. Updating antibiotic therapeutic guideline related to antibiotics use based on the microbial pattern in the surgical wards.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest among parties.

References

1. ATC World Health organization (2014) Antimicrobial Resistance Global Report on Surveillance. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
2. World Health Organization (2013) The world health report 2013: research for universal health coverage. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013) Office of Infectious Disease. Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States.
4. Ventola CL (2015) The Antibiotic Resistance Crisis – Part 1: Causes and Threats 40(4): 227-278.s
5. Hadi U, Duerink DO, Lestari ES, Nagelkerke NJ, Keuter M, et al. (2008) Audit of Antibiotic Prescribing in Two Governmental Teaching Hospitals in Indonesia. European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Disease 14(7): 698-707.
6. Doron S, Davidson LE (2011) Antimicrobial Stewardship. Mayo clinic proceedings 86(11): 1113-23.
7. Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 2015 Tentang Program Pengendalian Resistensi Antimikroba Di Rumah Sakit (2015) Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia.
8. Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia (2011) Pedoman Pelayanan Kefarmasian Untuk Terapi Antibiotik. Direktorat Jendral Bina Kefarmasian dan Alat Kesehatan Jakarta, Indonesia, p.35-36.
9. ATC/DDD Index 2017.
10. Introduction to drug utilization research (2003) WHO International Working Group for Drug Statistics Methodology, WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology, WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Utilization Research and Clinical Pharmacological Services 2003.
11. Yezli S, Frederic B, Jonathan AO (2014) Surface Contamination in Operating Rooms: A Risk for Transmission of Pathogens. Surgical Infections 15(6): 694-9.
12. Laras NW, Farida H (2012) Kuantitas Penggunaan Antibiotik Di Bangsal Bedah dan Obstetri-Ginekologi RSUP Dr. Kariadi Setelah Kampanye PP-PRA. Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Diponegoro. Jurnal Media Medika Muda 1: 1.
13. Rahayuningsih N, Yuli M (2017) Evaluasi Penggunaan Antibiotik Sefalosporin Di Ruang Perawatan Bedah Salah Satu Rumah Sakit Di Kabupaten Tasikmalaya. Jurnal Kesehatan Bakti Tunas Husada 17(1): 1-9.
14. Wiguna DS (2016) Pola Resistensi Bakteri Terhadap Antibiotik Pada Penderita Infeksi Luka Operasi (ILO) Di Rumah Sakit X Periode Agustus 2013-Agustus 2015 [skripsi]. Fakultas Farmasi Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Indonesia.
15. Gilbert David N, Moellering RC, Eliopoulos GM (2010) The Sanford Guide Antimicrobial Therapy. (40th ed). p. 66-71.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License
DOI: [10.19080/GJPPS.2018.06.555689](https://doi.org/10.19080/GJPPS.2018.06.555689)

**Your next submission with Juniper Publishers
will reach you the below assets**

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats
(Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>



**Global Journal of
Pharmacy &
Pharmaceutical Sciences**

Juniper Publishers

<http://juniperpublishers.com>

ISSN: 2471-6774

Impact Factor: 1.191 (2017-18)

NLM ID: 101731739

LCCN: 2016201373

OCoLC: 945700107

Honorable Editors

Roger S Klotz

Western University of Health Sciences
USA

Kexin Liu

Dalian Medical University
China

Bogdan Socea

Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy
Romania

Michalakis Savva

South University
USA

Sharad B Murdande

University of Connecticut
USA

Amit Kumar

University of Texas at Austin
USA

Debatosh Majumdar

University of Georgia
USA

Majed Isa

Taif University
KSA

Mohsen Abdel Moniem Bayomi

King Saud University
Saudi Arabia

Mohamed Izham

Qatar University
Qatar

Nadir Kheir

Qatar University

Qatar

Guojing Gou

Ningxia Medical University

China

Umesh Bindal

Taylors University

Malaysia

Jo Ann Andoy Galvan

Taylor's University

Malaysia

Mohammed Hussain A Abutaleb

Ministry of Health Saudi Arabia

Saudi Arabia

Jinjiang Li

McGill University

Canada

Richard Zhong ming QIAN

Fudan University School of Pharmacy

China

Kexin liu

University of Tokyo

Japan

Veselin dickov

Business Academy

Serbia

Mohamed El Houseiny El Sebeay Shams

Oman Pharmacy Institute

Oman

Fekadu Fullas

Addis Ababa University

Ethiopia

Gul Majid Khan

Quaid I Azam University

Pakistan

Sanyaolu Adekunle

Saint James School of Medicine

Nigeria

El Rasheed Salim

Ministry of Industry

Sudan

Velmurugan D

Madras university

India

Om Prakash Katare

Panjab University

India

Rajendra Sharma

Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences

India

C.Rambabu

Acharya Nagarjuna University

India

Associate Editors

Dongdong Liang

University of Maryland School of Pharmacy
USA

Cheung Yin Ting

Chinese University of Hong Kong
Hong Kong

Ahed Jumah Alkhatib

Jordan University of Science and Technology
Jordan

Roshan V. Tiwari

University of Mississippi
USA

Dong Guo

University of Maryland
USA

Nilesh Wagh

University of Nebraska Medical Center
USA

Prashant Pandya

Stanford University
USA

Ahmed Salah Rasheed Eldalo

Taif University
Saudi Arabia

Saurabh G. Vispute

St. John's University
New York

Débora Cristina de Oliveira

Federal University of São Paulo
Brazil

Hye Young Kwon

Seoul National University
South Korea

Yasser Saber

University of Vienna
Australia

Kazi Mohsin

King Saud University
KSA

Hussien Mohamed El Messiry

National Organization for Drug Control and Research (NODCAR)
Egypt

Hassan Mahmoud Ghonaim

University of Dammam
KSA

Yu Yu

University of Sydney
Australia

Ene Aloysius Chinedu

University of Technology
Nigeria

Luigi Sebastiano Battaglia

Turin University

Italy

Dalia Abdel Rhman Attia

The British University

Egypt

Garshasb Rigi

Behbahan Khatam Alanbia University of Technology

Iran

Hanganu Daniela

Luliu Hatjeganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy

Romania

Md Kowser Miah

Exas Tech University Health Sciences Center

Texas

Priyatama Vijaysing Powar

Pad. Dr. D. Y. Patil College of Pharmacy

India

Ahmed Adebawale Adedeji

Islamic University

Uganda

Vinit Raj

Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University,

India

Dimple Sethi Chopra

Punjabi University

India

Kavita Tyagi

Chaudhary Charan Singh University

India

Sunita Dalal

Kurukshetra University

India

Volume 6 Issue 3

Review Article	Published In: October, 2018		
Recommendations for the Management of Constipation in Cancer Patients			
Justin Arnall ^{1*} and Issam Hamadeh ²			
DOI: 10.19080/GJPPS.2018.06.555686			
PDF	FullText	ePub	Audio

Review Article	Published In: October, 2018		
Effect of Season on UV Absorbing Property of Syzygium cumini L. Leaves			
Prasenjit Mitra ¹ , Prasanta Kumar Mitra ^{2*} and Tanaya Ghosh ²			
DOI: 10.19080/GJPPS.2018.06.555687			
PDF	FullText	ePub	Audio

Research Article	Published In: October, 2018		
An Investigation of the Consciousness Energy Healing Therapy on Physicochemical and Thermal Properties of Silver Sulfadiazine			
Dahryn Trivedi ¹ , Mahendra Kumar Trivedi ¹ , Alice Branton ¹ , Gopal Nayak ¹ and Snehasis Jana ^{2*}			
DOI: 10.19080/GJPPS.2018.06.555688			
PDF	FullText	ePub	Audio

Research Article	Published In: October, 2018		
Antibiotic use Profiles and Microbial Patterns in the Surgery Department of Bangil Regional General Hospital, Pasuruan			
Fauna Herawati ^{1*} , Beatrix Eyleen Giovanny ¹ , Rika Yulia ¹ and Abdul Kadir Jaelani ²			
DOI: 10.19080/GJPPS.2018.06.555689			
PDF	FullText	ePub	Audio