

The effect of board gender diversity on firm risk

F. Nathaniel, E. Ernawati & P.A. Mahadwartha

University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT: This research aims to analyze the effect of board gender diversity on firm risk with the annualized standard deviation of monthly stock return as a proxy. The independent variables used were board gender diversity, board size, profitability, and firm size. This research used a quantitative approach with multiple linear regression analysis model and a sample of non-financial firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) over the 2013-2017 period. The number of samples used in this study was 1480 observations. The results show that board gender diversity, profitability, and firm size have a positive effect on firm risk, while board size has no significant influence on firm risk in non-financial sector firms over the 2013-2017 period.

Keywords: board gender diversity, board size, profitability, firm size, firm risk.

1. INTRODUCTION

The economic crisis in Asia in 1997 was indicated due to poor corporate governance practices (McKinsey and Co, 2002 in Kusumastuti et al. 2008). Members of the board of directors who are in charge of running daily firms acting as agents of firm owners determine how the quality of corporate governance will ultimately affect firm performance. There is empirical evidence that shows that diversity on the board of directors has a significant influence on the firm's strategic decisions (Goerzen and Beamish, 2005 in Elmagrhi et al. 2018). There are various kinds of diversity that can be observed in the board of directors, which can affect corporate governance. However, gender diversity in the board of directors has become an issue that attracts great attention from various parties (Kilic & Kuzey 2016). Srinidhi et al. (2011) in Jizi & Nehme (2017) show that the presence of female directors increases the quality of monitoring, as evidenced by the higher quality of accounting earnings.

Effective corporate governance can be reflected by the variability of firm performance which is often used as a leading indicator of a firm's ability to manage risk (Lenard et al. 2014). Therefore, this study

aims to determine the relationship between gender diversity in the board of directors and firm risk, which is measured using a standard deviation of stock returns. This study uses the object of all non-financial sector firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange over the 2013-2017 period, because the financial sector has a format for financial reports and regulations that are different from other sectors.

There are several results of previous studies that examined the effect of board gender diversity on firm risk. The results of the research are presented in Table 1.

Jizi & Nehme (2017) study shows that women on board negatively affect the volatility of the stock return. Regarding uncertainty avoidance, female executives are perceived to be more careful in making important decisions (Huang & Kisgen, 2013 in Khaw et al. 2016). Based on group dynamics, two or more female directors tend to be able to work together and have more power to voice their perspectives (Jizi & Nehme 2017). The board of directors with more women will be more dynamic, supportive, and collaborative, and women will be freer to discuss their thoughts and socialize together (Konrad et al. 2008 in Azmi & Barret 2014).

H1a. Board gender diversity as measured by performance of women negatively affects firm risk.

H1b. Board gender diversity as measured by percentage of women negatively affects firm risk.

Table 1. Previous Results of the Research on The Effect of Board Gender Diversity

Author	Jizi and Nehma (2017)	Sila <i>et al.</i> (2015)	Lenard <i>et al.</i> (2014)
Woman on Board	Sig (-)	Insig (+)	Sig (-)
Control Variable			
Board Size	Insig (+)	Sig (-)	Sig (-)
Board Independence	Insig (-)	Insig (-)	
Board Meetings	Insig (-)		
ROA	Sig (-)	Sig (-)	Sig (-)
Market-to-Book Value	Sig (-)	Sig (+)	
Leverage	Insig (+)	Sig (+)	Sig (+)
Firm Size	Sig (-)	Sig (-)	Sig (-)
Average Traded Volume	Sig (+)		
R & D Expenditure		Sig (-)	
Capital Expenditure		Insig (+)	Sig (+)
Sales Growth		Sig (+)	Sig (+)
Firm Age		Sig (-)	
Diversification		Insig (+)	
Cash Surplus		Sig (-)	

Source: Jizi and Nehma (2017), Sila *et al.* (2015), and Lenard *et al.* (2014).

For board size control variable, the effect is estimated to be negative on firm risk because the decisions they take are not extreme and reflect further discussion before reaching an agreement (Cheng 2008 in Jizi & Nehme 2017). For profitability control variable, the effect is estimated to be negative on firm risk because based on the prospect theory; it is found that there is a positive relationship between risky actions taken by organizations and low levels of profitability (Chattopadhyay *et al.* 2001 in Tsai & Luan 2016). For firm size control variable, the effect is estimated to be negative on firm risk because smaller firms are more risk-seeking than large firms (Faccio *et al.* 2011 in Khaw *et al.* 2016).

2 RESEARCH METHODS

This study is a causal study because it aims to examine the effect of independent variables of presence of women and percentage of women and control variables of board size, profitability, and firm size control on the dependent variable of firm risk. This study used multiple linear regression data processing methods. The population in this study were all non-financial sector firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange with a sample time period of 2013-2017.

The dependent variable in this study is firm risk which is measured by the annual standard deviation of monthly stock returns. The independent variable is board gender diversity with two measuring instruments, namely (1) presence of women (DWomen), which is a dummy variable, a variable that has a value of 0 when there is no female director and has a value of 1 when there is a female director in the board of directors; and (2) percentage of women (PWoman) which is the percentage of female directors in the board of directors of the firm. The control variable is board size as measured by the number of members of the board of directors, profitability as measured by Return On Assets (ROA), and firm size as measured by the natural logarithm of the firm's market value of equity. The following is the regression model used in this study.

$$VOL_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 DWOMEN_{it} + \beta_2 BS_{it} + \beta_3 Profitability_{it} + \beta_6 FS_{it} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

$$VOL_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 PWOMEN_{it} + \beta_2 BS_{it} + \beta_3 Profitability_{it} + \beta_6 FS_{it} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (2)$$

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Research objects were non-financial sector firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange over the 2013-2017 period and meet population characteristics, namely: (1) issuing audited financial reports for 5 (five) consecutive years and (2) having complete data for the variables studied. Of the 371 firms listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange, 296 firms meet the population characteristics. After the selection of existing report data, it was found that the study sample is 1480 observations. The following is a table of descriptive statistics for this research variable.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

	N	Min.	Max.	Mean
Volatility	1.480	0.0100	5.7757	0.4446
DWomen	1.480	0.0000	1.0000	0.4392
PWomen	1.480	0.0000	1.0000	0.1340
BS	1.480	2.0000	18.0000	4.9095
ROA	1.480	-1.2791	1.1184	0.0366
FS	1.480	23.0259	33.9106	28.1204

Source: Data processing using eView 8

Table 2 presents the results of data processing using descriptive statistic which informs the mean, minimum, and maximum. For the dependent variable of firm risk as measured by VOLATILITY, the maximum value obtained by GMTD firm (Gowa Makassar Tourism Dev., Tbk.) in 2013 and the minimum value obtained by MTSM firm (Metro Realty, Tbk.) also in 2013. For independent variable of board gender diversity as measured by PWOMAN,

the maximum value obtained by IIKP firm (Inti Agri Resources, Tbk.) and minimum value obtained by several firms, including BISI (BISI Inter-national, Tbk.), AALI (Astra Agro Lestari, Tbk.), and BWPT (Eagle High Plantation, Tbk.) in 2013-2017. For control variable of board size (BS), the maximum value obtained by TCID firm (Mandom Indonesia, Tbk.) in 2015-2016, while the minimum value obtained by several firms, including IIKP in 2013-2017, SMRU (SMR Utama, Tbk.) in 2013-2017, and PICO (Pelangi Indah Canindo, Tbk.) in 2013-2015. For control variable of profitability (ROA), the maximum value obtained by MBSS firm (Mitra-bahtera Segara Sejati, Tbk.) in 2015 and KARW firm (ICTSI Jasa Prima, Tbk.) also in 2015. For control variable of firm size (FS), the maximum value obtained by ASII firm (Astra International, Tbk.) in 2017 and the minimum value obtained by AIMS firm (Akbar Indo Makmur Stimec, Tbk.) also in 2017.

Data processing in this study used eViews 8 program. Before the data is processed using a regression model that has been prepared, the classic assumption test was done first. Of the 4 (four) types of classical assumption tests that must be done, the autocorrelation test is not needed in this study, because the data used is the data panel. The normality test using Jarque-Bera shows that the data is not normally distributed. However, because the number of research samples was quite large, namely 296 firms and 1480 observations, the data can be considered normally distributed based on the Central Limit Theorem proposed, that says "as the sample size increases the distribution of the mean is approximately normally distributed regardless of the shape of the distribution of the individual values in the population. However, to meet the parametric normal distribution assumptions based on the Central Limit Theorem, a minimum of 30 data sets are required." While the results of the multicollinearity test show that the data did not contain symptoms of multicollinearity.

The heteroscedasticity test can be done using the cross section weight in the General Least Square weight section. For this reason, it is necessary to first determine the appropriate model to be used whether the common effect model, fixed effect, or random effect. To determine the appropriate model between fixed effect and common effect, a Chow test is performed. Based on the results of the Chow test, the fixed effect is a better model than the common effect. The Hausman test is then conducted to choose whether the most appropriate model to use is a fixed effect or random effect model. Based on the model test, it is known that H_0 cannot be rejected in both fixed effect and random effect models. This study uses a fixed effect model.

The regression results using the fixed effect model for model 1 and model 2 are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. The Results of Regression

Variables	B	t	Sig.
DWomen	0.08171	0.024733	0.0010***
BS	-0.00234	0.003142	0.4555
ROA	0.10712	0.041554	0.0101**
FS	0.04391	0.012900	0.0007***
R Squared		0.516120	
Adjusted R Squared		0.393510	
F Statistics		4.209441	
Prob. F Stat.		0.000***	

Note ** : significance at 5%

*** : significance at 1%

From Table 3 which shows the results of the regression test, it can be seen that presence of women (DWOMEN) variable has a positive significant effect on firm risk, meaning that H_{1a} is rejected. This means that the presence of female directors increases firm risk. According to Adams & Funk (2011), women who occupy the board of directors position through the competition process are more oriented towards power and achievement. They are also open to change and prefer risk compared to women who do not occupy the board of directors position. Thus, female directors are significantly more willing to take risks than male directors. For control variable of board size, the results obtained show no significant effect on firm risk. Profitability variable has a significant positive effect on firm risk. Based on risk-based explanation by Akbas et al. (2017), higher profit levels and trends are likely to be associated with several risk factors inherent in the firm. Firm size variable has a significant positive effect on firm risk. Alipour et al. (2015) states that large firms are vulnerable to agency problems and have complex bureaucratic problems, resulting in high performance variability and increasing firm risk.

Table 4. The Results of Regression

Variables	B	t	Sig.
DWomen	0.063132	1.996412	0.0461**
BS	0.002094	0.605688	0.5448
ROA	0.101503	2.230547	0.0205**
FS	0.046530	3.666126	0.0003***
R Squared		0.509364	
Adjusted R Squared		0.385042	
F Statistics		4.097134	
Prob. F Stat.		0.000***	

Note ** : significance at 5%

*** : significance at 1%

The regression test results for model 2 presented in Table 4 show that percentage of women (PWOMEN) has a significant positive effect on firm risk, meaning that H_{1b} is rejected. Based on the theory of social identity proposed by Tajfel (1982) in

Seo *et al.* (2017), when a group of individuals forms a group, the female directors will show similar social behavior. This behavior aims to protect and strengthen their identity as a differentiator from other groups. Of the several types of social identity, gender is the most dominant (Tolbert *et al.* 1999 in Seo *et al.* 2017). Thereby, the behavior of female directors who prefer risk based on Adams & Funk (2011) will be stronger on the board of directors with a group of female directors than if there is only one female director.

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that: a) Board gender diversity, board size, profitability, and firm size have a significant effect on firm risk; b) partially, board gender diversity measured by the presence of women and percentage of women has a significant positive effect on firm risk; board size does not affect firm risk; and profitability and firm size have a positive significant effect on firm risk.

For further research, it is expected to expand the object of research such as examining non-financial firms in ASEAN countries, examining more specifically the effect of the presence of female directors when occupying important positions such as CEOs and CFOs against firm risk, using research samples with a time period longer than five years, and examining the possibility of interaction among independent variables in the study.

REFERENCES

- Adams, F. & Funk, P. 2011. Beyond the Glass Ceiling: Does Gender Matter?, *Universitat Pompeu Fabra and Barcelona GSE*.
- Akbas, F., Jiang, C. & Koch, P.D. 2017. The Trend in Firm Profitability and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns. *The Accounting Review* 92(5): 1-32.
- Alipour, M. Mohammadi, M.F.S. & Derakhshan, H. 2015. Determinants of Capital Structure: an Empirical Study of Firms in Iran. *International Journal of Law and Management* 57(1): 53-83.
- Azmi, I.A.G. & Barrett, M.A. 2014. Women on Board and Firm Performance in Malaysia: Preparing to Open The Black Box.
- Elmagrhi, M.H., Ntim, C.G., Malagila, J., Fosu, S. & Tunyi, A.A. 2018. Trustee board diversity, governance mechanisms, capital structure and performance in UK charities, *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*.
- Jizi, M.I. & Nehme, R. 2017. Board Gender Diversity and Firms' Equity Risk, *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: an International Journal* 36(7): 590-606.
- Khaw, K.L., Liao, J., Tripe, D. & Wongchoti, U. 2016. Gender Diversity, State Control, and Corporate Risk-Taking: Evidence from China. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 39: 141-158.
- Kilic, M. & Kuzey, C. 2016. The Effect of Board Gender Diversity on Firm Performance: Evidence from Turkey. *Gender in Management: An International Journal* 31(7): 434-455.
- Kusumastuti, S., Supatmi & Sastra P. 2007. Pengaruh Board Diversity terhadap Nilai Perusahaan dalam Perspektif Corporate Governance. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan* 9(2).
- Lenard, M.J., Bing Yu, York, A. & Shengxiong Wu. 2014. Impact of Board Gender Diversity on Firm Risk. *Managerial Finance* 40(8): 787-803.
- Seo, G., Huang, W., Han & Seung-Hyun C. 2017, Conceptual Review of Underrepresentation of Women in Senior Leadership Positions from a Perspective of Gendered Social Status in the Workplace: Implication for HRD Research and Practice. *Human Resource Development Review* 16(1): 35-59.
- Sila, V., Gonzalez, A. & Hagendorff, J. 2016. Women on Board: Does Boardroom Gender Diversity Affect Firm Risk. *Journal of Corporate Finance*.
- Tsai, H.F. & Luan, C.J. 2016. What Makes Firms Embrace Risks? A Risk-Taking Capability Perspective. *Business Research Quarterly* 19: 219-231.



16th INSYMA
International Annual
Symposium on
Management



RE-DRAWING YOUR FUTURE

The Existing of Dynamic Capabilities to Energize
the Firm's Competitive Advantage in Hyper Competition

16th International Annual Symposium on Management
Aryaduta Hotel, Piere Tendean Road, Manado
March 4-6, 2019

Co-Host:



FOREWORD

Knowledge acquisition, sharing, networking, clustering and innovation are now recognized as key developmental of competitive advantage factors and essential considerations for organizations seeking to meet their customers' needs and the changing demands, especially in hyper competition environment. In the scientific literature, researchers recognize dynamic capabilities to be a key factor in firm competitiveness through sensing, seizing and reconfiguring. Initially, dynamic capabilities are considered to be a firm's ability to "integrate, build and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address rapidly changing environments. Further discussion on the relation between dynamic capabilities and competitive advantage will be focused on innovation, specifically on digital technology development as a simultaneous balance between radical and incremental innovation.

Hundreds of scientific papers are sent to a conference committee, and the results of a rigorous selection of more than 55 elected. This paper is derived from a variety of authors, both within and outside the country, academics and practitioners. All the articles are then presented at the symposium and documented in this book of abstracts.

We thank all authors, participants and Co Host for their contributions and we hope that these proceedings can contribute to the development of science and business practices. Hopefully you can enjoy and gain valuable lessons from this article collection.

Manado

March 2019

	Table of Content	page
	Foreword	i
	Table of Content	ii
1	The effect of green brand on green product purchase intention in Indonesian communities E. Andajani	1
2	Digital disruption in print media: challenges of convergence and business models F. Mutiara & A. Priyonggo	2
3	From the sex doll in the doll hotel in the 2018 world cup season: the globalization context P. T. H. Xuan	3
4	A Study on Short Momentum Phenomenon W. R. Murhadi, & D. Y. P. Abrianto	4
5	The effect of good corporate governance on dividend policy K. E. Gunawan, W. R. Murhadi, & A. Herlambang	5
6	A study on the effects of good corporate governance – gender diversity on the company performance C. C. Gunawan, W. R. Murhadi, & M. Utami	6
7	Succession role of indigenous and non-indigenous family business in Indonesia to achieve business sustainability S. Hidayah	7
8	The concept of knowledge management in the application of migrant workers protection using SECI model R. Sidik, D A. Wahab, Y H. Putra, L P. Hasugian & Y Y. Kerlooza	8
9	Incorporating entrepreneurship education into curriculum: case studies from the University of Surabaya, Indonesia and Tokai University, Japan A.P. Tedjakusuma, J. Parung, & J. Kumamoto	9
10	Islamic Religiosity integration in maintaining auditor professional ethics D. F. Sam, Sumarlin & Suhartono	10
11	The effect of good corporate governance on capital structure in financial sector firms listed on the Indonesia stock exchange over the period of 2012-2016 G. V. Kumalasari, W. R. Murhadi & L. I. Wijaya	11
12	Factors Affecting Company's Cash Holding A. Herlambang, W. R. Murhardi, & D. Cendrati	12
13	An analysis on the influence of customer loyalty program and service quality on customer loyalty with trust as a mediation variable on Samsung mobile phone A. S. Bagaskara, & M. Sigit	13
14	Valuation model using a mixed real options method: a review on Singapore and Indonesia digital startups D. Rahardjo, & Sugiarto	14

15	Explanatory study of factors affecting purchase intention of low-technology innovation of local products in Surabaya	15
	J. Jethro, S. Margaretha, & C.R. Honantha	
16	The influence of brand communication, brand image, brand satisfaction, and brand trust on brand loyalty	16
	A.D.E.Putri, Indarini, & D. Anandya	
17	The effect of prior knowledge and business idea alertness to entrepreneurial opportunity in Indonesia	17
	P. A. Kusumawardhany & J. D. Trisnawati	
18	Effect of food quality, service quality, atmospherics, other customers and emotions on loyalty at De Soematra 1910 restaurant Surabaya	18
	N.L. Kuandy, J.D. Trisnawati, & V. Megawati	
19	Ambiguity and inconsistent of investor buying behavior	19
	F.Y.N. Sutrisno & P.A. Mahadwartha	
20	Cinderella complex on working women	20
	V. Chastine & N.K. Darmasetiawan	
21	Psychological well-being and workplace relations gaps on generational differences	21
	N. I. Ardiansyah & N. K. Darmasetiawan	
22	Financial inclusion on small medium enterprise	22
	A. Z. Arifin, Yanuar, N. N. Sawitri	
23	The impact of interactivity of electronic word of mouth, e-quality of the website, decision support satisfaction on customer loyalty of Mataharimall.com	23
	Y. C. A. Pioh & I. Bernarto	
24	A review of creating shareholder value through the financial management decision	24
	M. Mangantar, J.B. Maramis, & I.S. Saerang	
25	The effect of e-mass customization in the dimension of consumer's perceived value on satisfaction and loyalty of luxury brand consumers in Indonesia	25
	G. K. Soesilo, D. Anandya & Indarini	
26	GCG disclosure and risk profile on bank performance: case studies on state-owned banks	26
	Sutrisno	
27	The Influence of perceived price, perceived quality, brand image, and store image on the purchase intention of Hypermart private label	27
	M. Dwiki Ramadhan & M. Muthohar	
28	Risk based bank rating and stock return: a case study on state-owned bank in Indonesia	28
	Sutrisno, B. Panuntun	
29	The influence of perceived quality, perceived value, and customer satisfaction on future intention of Indonesian basketball spectators in Surabaya	29
	S. Yolanda, C. R. Honantha, & A. Setyawan	
30	Effect of service quality on the satisfaction of low-cost carrier service users in Indonesia	30
	W. Handayani, F. N. Widjaja & V. Megawati	

31	Management commitment to service quality and service recovery performance of customer service officer at PT. Bank Central Asia, Tbk. Surabaya-Indonesia	31
	S.L. Liem & J.L.E. Nugroho	
32	Balanced scorecard on accountability of government institution performance	32
	Suyanto & L. B. Riadi	
33	Determinants of knowledge sharing studies: organizational contexts in the social perspective	33
	M. E. L. K. Widjaja	
34	Company performance before and after a merger	34
	C. Beverly M. S., B. S. Sutejo, & W. R. Murhadi	
35	Companies in Indonesia in the vortex of global economic disruption	35
	A. Z. Tayibnapis, L. E. Wuryaningsih, & R. Gora	
36	The impact of R&D, competition, and market share on productivity of Indonesian chemical firms	36
	Suyanto & Y. Sugiarti	
37	Investigation on the influence of green economy, social benefit, and economic benefit on business development and performance of sharia SME	37
	Mahyarni & A. Meflinda	
38	Food waste management program design using a comprehensive benchmarking method: a case study in Bytedance Inc, Beijing, China	38
	E. N. W. Putra	
39	Female entrepreneurs in MSM enterprises- Mojokerto district - East Java	39
	E. Tandelilin, & I. Setyaningrum	
40	Empowering active ageing into labor market: a new alternative human resource for employment in Taiwan	40
	J. J. Soong	
41	The effect of board gender diversity on firm risk	41
	F. Nathaniel, E. Ernawati, & P.A. Mahadwartha	
42	The effect of gastronomy and destination attractiveness on satisfaction and behavior intention in traditional cuisines in Yogyakarta	42
	S. Rahayu	
43	The effects of assortment and utilitarian motive to purchase decision in supercenter	43
	A. Wijaya	
44	The effects of integrity, entrepreneurship, knowledge, leadership to succession in first generation family business	44
	A. Wijaya & B. Wijaya	
45	The eminence of the 4th industrial revolution: how it transformed management accountants	45
	S. Z. A. Rasid, S. A. Saruchi, & R. S. M. Tamin	

46	Redrawing the future of travel agent: examining the existence of online travel agents among young consumers A. Setyawan & T. A. Auliandri	46
47	Trust, collaboration, and quality management in model of agro-tourism kampung Salak Bojonegoro N. K. Darmasetiawan, J.E. Nugroho, D. A. Christy, & C. Anam	47
48	The relationship between out of stock and total settlement in Coca Cola official distributor at Betro-Surabaya R. Prameswara, S.B.W. Subali & M. Hartono	48
49	The effect of competence, emotional quotation, and financial quotient on the business performance of small and medium enterprises in Surabaya, Indonesia S. Mujanah, T. Ratnawati, & A. Kusmaningtyas	49
50	Analysis of Arsenal FC debit card in bank Muamalat Indonesia S. Hariadi, D.S. Juniar, & I.P. Radjamin	50
51	Analysis of government financial performance: allocation of capital costs E. Wuryani, & Y. Yuniningsih	51
52	The Effect of green packaging and green advertising on brand image and purchase decision of Teh Kotak product H. Purnama	52
53	Internationalization, firm Performance, and capital structure: emperical study in Indonesia J.H. Edward, & D. Marciano	53
54	Exploring theory of spiritual leadership : constructing a model Nurlasera	54
55	The influence of innovativeness, involvement and use of social media to travel content creation for Surabaya's traveler U. O. L. Latifah, V. Megawati & F. N. Widjaja	55

**Department of Management
Faculty of Business and Economics
Universitas Surabaya**

EC Building 1st Floor
Jl. Raya Kalirungkut, Surabaya, 60293
Ph: +62 31 2981139 Fax: +62 31 2981231
email: ubayainsyma@gmail.com

