## Optimization of Material Removal Rate and Dimensional Errors in Subtractive Rapid Prototyping of Polycarbonate Material

SUTEJA The Jaya<sup>1, a</sup> and HADIYAT Mochammad Arbi<sup>2,b</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Manufacturing Engineering, University of Surabaya, Raya Kalirungkut, Surabaya, Indonesia

<sup>1</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Surabaya, Raya Kalirungkut, Surabaya, Indonesia

<sup>a</sup>jayasuteja@staff.ubaya.ac.id, <sup>b</sup>arbi@staff.ubaya.ac.id

Keywords: optimization, subtractive rapid prototyping, polycarbonate materials.

**Abstract.** None of the research found in the literature investigates and optimizes the subtractive rapid prototyping process parameters in order to fabricate polycarbonate material. This research is conducted to optimize the subtractive rapid prototyping process parameters of polycarbonate material in order to achieve the maximum material rate removal without exceeding the dimensional error value of 1 mm, similar to the result of additive manufacturing. The response surface methodology is implemented in this research to optimized three process parameters, which are feed rate, step-over, and depth of cut. Two responses investigated in this research are material rate removal and dimensional error. The response optimizer is used to optimize the responses. The result shows that optimum material removal rate and dimensional error that can be achieved is 2.494 mm<sup>3</sup>/s and 0.97 mm respectively. A confirmation test experiment has been conducted to verify the optimization result.

#### Introduction

Polycarbonate material is a strong, tough, and transparent thermoplastic material that is used in various applications such as construction materials, automotive components, and tooling. Recently, its application is expanded for medical applications specifically for prosthetic products. Most of the prosthetic products are complex and customized for each patient. As a result, the feasible process to fabricate the product is by using rapid prototyping. Rapid prototyping is fast and automatic three dimensions physical modeling that uses computer aided design model as the input. Two methods in rapid prototyping are subtractive and additive rapid prototyping. Each of the method has their own advantages and disadvantages. Subtractive rapid prototyping method implements milling process to cut the raw material with tool that rotates in very high speed or high speed milling to produce the part. According to Toh, high speed milling refers to milling process with 10 mm tool diameter that is rotated in 10.000 rpm [1]. Meanwhile, in the additive rapid prototyping method, the part is made by depositing materials layer by layer to build the shape of the part as explained by Islam, et, al. [2]. For a certain type of prosthetic products, the subtractive rapid prototyping is preferable to be implemented. The subtractive rapid prototyping is typically suitable to produce polycarbonate parts that require a specific surface roughness and dimensional accuracy. Therefore, the optimisation of subtractive rapid prototyping process parameters in fabricating polycarbonate materials is considered as a significant problem and needs to be tackled.

#### Literature Review

The main purpose of subtractive rapid prototyping is to achieve the required minimum surface roughness and dimensional error in the maximum material rate removal. Therefore, it is important to investigate the influence of the process parameters of subtractive rapid prototyping to the surface roughness, the dimensional error, and the material removal rate. In addition, it is also important to optimize the process parameters of subtractive rapid prototyping. This paper only describes the

optimisation of subtractive rapid prototyping processes to achieve the maximum material removal rate and the minimum dimensional error.

Based on the literature, Nieminem, I., et. al. investigated the possibility to use subtractive rapid prototyping or high speed milling to fabricate a thin fin of polycarbonate material by changing the depth of cut and step-over [3]. However, Nieminem, I., et. al. did not investigate the influence of these parameters on the surface roughness and the dimensional error of the polycarbonate material [3]. Then, this research conducts a literature review on the influence of high speed milling process parameters on metal materials. In addition, studies by Albertí, M., et. al., Vivancos, J., Urbanski, J.P., Oktem, H., et al., The, J.S., et. al., Ma, W., et.al., Zeroudi, N. and Fontaine, M., and Shimana, K., et. al. show that material removal rate, surface roughness, and dimension error of a material are affected by interpolation type, tool holder type, controller of the machine, computer aided manufacturing software, physical and mechanical characteristics of the tool, vibration, depth of cut, step-over, feed rate, cutting speed, and cut type [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11].

Based on the research by Suteja, T.J., a model had been developed to show the influence of the depth of cut, feed rate, and step-over on the vertical and horizontal surface roughness, vertical length error, horizontal length error, and depth error of polycarbonate material in subtractive rapid prototyping [12, 13]. The proposed model shows that the increase of the step-over will increase the vertical and horizontal length errors. Second, the increase of the feed rate will decrease the vertical length error value. Finally, the depth error will increase following the increase of all process parameters.

In order to optimize the process parameters, the expected dimensional error values need to be determined. The expected values are determined based on literature review. As additive rapid prototyping can also be used to fabricate a product made of polycarbonate, then previous research that investigate the surface roughness and dimensional error of part fabricated by using additive rapid prototyping are reviewed. Islam, et al. investigated the dimensional accuracy and repeatability of parts produced by 3D printing [2]. 3D printing is chosen because it provides faster production time, ease of use, and affordability. However, based on their research, it is found that the dimensional errors of the 3D printing process can achieve 0.153 mm [2]. Ippolito, R., et. al. compared five methods of additive rapid prototyping [14]. According to Ippolito, R., et. al., one of the additive rapid prototyping processes which is Stereolithography process can achieve the average dimensional error until 0.08 mm [14]. This research also describes that the highest dimensional accuracy for additive rapid prototyping process is achieved by using Fused Deposition Modeling. The achieved dimensional error of this process is 0.03 mm. However, this process will generate higher surface roughness compared to other processes. Taft, et al. evaluated the accuracy of Stereolithography process by validating the accuracy of SLA skull models with a coordinate measurement device [15]. The research shows that the error of the process is small and acceptable for patient treatment. Meanwhile, Pal. et al. investigated the dimensional accuracy of several additive rapid prototyping processes, which are Stereolithography Apparatus, Fused Deposition Modeling, Selective Laser Sintering, Laminated Object Manufacturing, and 3-Dimensional Printing, in order to fabricate a pattern of sand and investment casting [16]. These processes are chosen because they can be used for fabricating tooling for intricate castings required in small numbers, with potentially shorter lead-time and lower cost compared to conventional machining. The result shows that the dimensional accuracy of the investigated processes is between 0.02 and 0.08 mm. Brajlih, et al. evaluated the speed and accuracy of four different additive manufacturing processes, which are Poly Jet, Stereolithography, Laser Sintering, and Fused Deposition Modeling [17]. Based on the result, SLA process shows the best accuracy results compared to others.

The purpose of this research is particularly for investigating the subtractive rapid prototyping of a prosthetic product. He, Y., et al. implemented Scanning Printing Polishing Casting to fabricate a prosthetic product [18]. Based on their research, the fabrication method can achieve a low surface roughness and require low cost. However, the method requires more complex process and longer time. The research by Salmi, M., et al. shows that the average dimensional error of medical models made

by the additive manufacturing is 0.2 mm to 0.93 mm [19]. Meanwhile, Shien, et al. investigated the accuracy of the injection molding of polycarbonate lenses [20]. The accuracy of the injection molding of the lenses is between 0.00212 mm to 0.0028 mm. Even though the injection molding can achieve very high accuracy, it is not suitable for small volume production of prosthetic product. Therefore, the aim of this research is to optimize the process parameters in subtractive rapid prototyping to achieve the similar dimensional error of the additive manufacturing, which is maximum of 1 mm, in the maximum material rate removal of polycarbonate material.

#### Experimental work

This research implements Response Surface Methodology to optimize the subtractive rapid prototyping process parameters. First, several mathematical models that relate the parameters and the responses are developed. Then, to validate the developed models, three residual tests, which are independence test, constant variance test, and normality test are conducted for each model.

In this research, the shape and the dimensions of the polycarbonate material specimen fabricated by using the subtractive rapid prototyping process is shown in Fig. 1. The shape and the dimension of the specimen are determined to make the dimensional error measurement possible. The subtractive rapid prototyping machine used in this research is Roland MDX 40 and a carbide solid square end mill with 5 mm diameter is used as the cutting tool. The machine is assisted by CAM Modela Player 4.0 software to generate the tool path. The software requires a three dimension model in STL format as the input to generate the tool path. In order to move the cutting tool, the software uses zigzag cut type.



Fig. 1. The specimen (in mm).

Roughing and finishing processes are applied in order to fabricate the specimen above. Each process requires different parameter values. The process parameters are determined based on the tools catalogue and interview with the expert. Table 1 shows the roughing parameter value of the subtractive rapid prototyping. For finishing process, three levels of value for depth of cut, feed rate, and step-over are determined. The value of each level for each parameter as shown in Table 2 is determined based on the machine specification, literature study, and the preliminary experiment. Meanwhile, the spindle and the entry speed for finishing process are 10000 rpm and 4 mm/s consecutively. The subtractive rapid prototyping is performed under dry operating condition.

Feed Rate	: 12 mm/s
Entry Speed	: 4 mm/s
Spindle Speed	: 8500 rpm
Depth of Cut	: 0.37 mm
Step-over	: 1 mm

Table 1. Parameter value for roughing.

Table 2	. Parameter	value fo	or finishing.
10010 -			

	LOW	Mildule	High
Depth of Cut [mm]	0.1	0.235	0.37
Feed Rate [mm/s]	12	14.5	17
Step-over [mm]	0.3	0.65	1

In this research, three assumptions are taken. First, the polycarbonate material is assumed always homogeneous. Second, the cutting temperature is always constant. Third, the tool wear occurs after performing three roughing and finishing processes.

Two responses are investigated in this research, which are material rate removal and dimensional error. To calculate the material rate removal, the time needed to fabricate the specimen is measured by using a stopwatch. The dimensional error measurement is conducted at Industrial Metrology Laboratory of University of Surabaya. The dimensional error is determined based on the difference between the actual and the designed length of horizontal edge (parallel to the feed direction), the difference between the actual and the designed length of vertical edge (parallel to the step over direction), and the difference between the actual and the designed with 0.01  $\mu$ m of accuracy. After the measurement process, the measured data is analyzed by using MINITAB release 14 software.

#### **Results and discussion**

The first step in implementing the response surface methodology is designing and conducting the first order experiment. The first experiment involves all two level factors using  $2^3$  factorial design with additional 5 center points. The design and result of the first order experiment are shown in Table 3. Table 3. First order experiment results.

Std Orde r	Run Order	Feed Rate [mm/s]	Step-ove r [mm]	Depth of Cut [mm]	Hor. Length Error [mm]	Ver. Length Error [mm]	Depth Error [mm]	MRR [mm <sup>3</sup> /s]
11	1	14.50	0.65	0.235	0.05	0.57	0.00	1.221673
13	2	14.50	0.65	0.235	0.05	0.61	-0.01	1.220947
2	3	17.00	0.30	0.100	0.04	0.28	-0.01	0.266056
12	4	14.50	0.65	0.235	0.03	0.67	-0.04	1.222763
7	5	12.00	1.00	0.370	0.06	1.00	0.02	2.607133
9	6	14.50	0.65	0.235	0.04	0.72	0.01	1.220637
3	7	12.00	1.00	0.100	0.09	1.03	0.00	0.704914
5	8	12.00	0.30	0.370	0.05	0.36	0.01	0.801036
10	9	14.50	0.65	0.235	0.05	0.73	0.00	1.217333
6	10	17.00	0.30	0.370	0.03	0.29	0.03	0.983487
1	11	12.00	0.30	0.100	0.04	0.40	0.01	0.215947
4	12	17.00	1.00	0.100	0.09	1.07	0.03	0.868179
8	13	17.00	1.00	0.370	0.09	1.02	0.02	3.213400

The aim of this research is to determined the feed rate, step over, and depth of cut in subtractive rapid prototyping to achieve the maximum material rate removal of polycarbonate material and the dimensional error less than or equal to 1 mm. Therefore, the mathematical models of vertical length error, horizontal length error, depth error, and material rate removal are developed and optimized.

Based on the residual tests, the first order models of vertical length error, horizontal length error, and depth error satisfies all the assumptions and can be used as the best prediction model. However, based on the lack of fit test, the first order model of material removal rate is not adequate as a linear regression model. Therefore, the first order model of material removal rate cannot be used as the prediction model of material removal rate. For that reason, the second order experiment for material removal rate, vertical length error, horizontal length error, and depth error must be conducted. The central composite design is used to determine the number of the second order experiment run. The design and result of the second order experiment is shown in Table 4.

Std	Dup	Feed	Step	Depth of	Hor. Length	Ver. Length	Depth	MDD
Order	dar Ordar	Rate	Over	Cut	Error	Error	Error	[mm <sup>3</sup> /c]
Oldel	Oldel	[mm/s]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[IIIII /S]
18	1	14.5000	0.65000	0.235000	0.04	0.69	0.01	1.33510
19	2	14.5000	0.65000	0.235000	0.04	0.68	0.00	1.22121
10	3	18.7045	0.65000	0.235000	0.01	0.71	0.00	1.47727
15	4	14.5000	0.65000	0.235000	0.03	0.69	0.03	1.22204
20	5	14.5000	0.65000	0.235000	0.03	0.68	0.02	1.22152
13	6	14.5000	0.65000	0.007958	0.01	0.65	0.02	0.04138
14	7	14.5000	0.65000	0.462042	0.03	0.66	0.02	2.40249
5	8	12.0000	0.30000	0.370000	0.01	0.38	0.01	0.79896
11	9	14.5000	0.06137	0.235000	0.04	0.19	0.08	0.11581
8	10	17.0000	1.00000	0.370000	0.09	1.56	0.05	3.21431
6	11	17.0000	0.30000	0.370000	0.00	0.35	0.02	0.98372
2	12	17.0000	0.30000	0.100000	0.03	0.41	0.00	0.26597
9	13	10.2955	0.65000	0.235000	0.05	0.76	0.01	0.97840
7	14	12.0000	1.00000	0.370000	0.07	1.55	0.01	2.61059
12	15	14.5000	1.23863	0.235000	0.11	0.73	0.02	2.25131
4	16	17.0000	1.00000	0.100000	0.06	1.56	0.02	0.86892
3	17	12.0000	1.00000	0.100000	0.09	154	0.02	0.70532
16	18	14.5000	0.65000	0.235000	0.05	0.68	0.02	1.21149
1	19	12.0000	0.30000	0.100000	0.02	0.38	0.03	0.21595
17	20	14.5000	0.65000	0.235000	0.00	0.68	0.00	1.22183

Table 4. Second order experiment results.

Based on the result shown in Table 4, the prediction model of material removal rate, vertical length error, horizontal length error, and depth error are shown in Eq. 1, Eq. 2, Eq. 3, and Eq. 4.

 $MRR = 0.462 - 0.0260 \text{ x F} - 0.901 \text{ x S} - 2.833 \text{ x D} - 0.00067 \text{ x F}^2 - 0.162 \text{ x S}^2 - 0.345 \text{ x D}^2 + 0.0761 \text{ x F x S} + 0.2129 \text{ x F x D} + 7.804 \text{ x S x D}$ (1)

 $E_{ver} = 3.22 - 0.429 x F + 1.11 x S - 1.43 x D + 0.0149 x F^{2} - 0.035 x S^{2} + 3.54 x D^{2} + 0.004 x F x S$ -0.026 x F x D + 0.19 x S x D (2)

 $E_{hor} = 0.113 - 0.0051 x F - 0.105 x S - 0.160 x D + 0.000040 x F^{2} + 0.1319 x S^{2} - 0.180 x D^{2}$ - 0.00143 x F x S + 0.0111 x F x D + 0.132 x S x D (3)

$$E_{depth} = 0.112 + 0.0068 \text{ x } \text{F} - 0.274 \text{ x } \text{S} - 0.483 \text{ x } \text{D} - 0.000664 \text{ x } \text{F}_2 + 0.096 \text{ x } \text{S}^2 + 0.063 \text{ x } \text{D}^2 + 0.00857 \text{ x } \text{F} \text{ x } \text{S} + 0.0296 \text{ x } \text{F} \text{ x } \text{D} + 0.053 \text{ x } \text{S} \text{ x } \text{D}$$
(4)

where MRR is material rate removal  $[mm^3/s]$ , Ever is vertical length error [mm],  $E_{hor}$  is horizontal length error [mm],  $E_{depth}$  is depth error [mm], F is feed rate [mm/s], S is step-over [mm], and D is depth of cut [mm].

The multiple response optimizer based on desirability approach is used to maximize the material rate removal and minimize the horizontal length and depth errors in order to achieve the value of vertical length error around 1 mm [21]. The result shows that the optimum condition achieved when the feed rate, step-over, and depth of cut are set in 12.84 mm/s, 0.73 mm, and 0.46 mm respectively. The maximum material removal rate achieved by implementing these parameters is 2.5 mm<sup>3</sup>/s. The vertical length error, the horizontal length error, and the depth error are 1.00 mm, 0.03 mm, and 0.006 mm respectively.

In order to verify whether the predicted equations can be used to achieve the optimum dimensional errors and material rate removal, a confirmation test experiment is conducted. The result of the confirmation test experiment is shown in Table 5. As shown in Table 5, the average maximum material rate removal is 2.494 mm<sup>3</sup>/s and the average vertical length error, the horizontal length error, and the depth error 0.97 mm, 0.02 mm, and 0.003 mm respectively. As, the result of the confirmation test experiment is similar to the result of the prediction model, then the result of the response optimizer can be used to estimate the optimum point for dimensional errors and material rate removal. Table 5. Result of confirmation test experiment

		i able 5. Resi	lit of confirmat	ion test experim	ent.	
Feed	Step	Depth of	Hor. Length	Ver. Length	Depth	MDD
Rate	Over	Cut	Error	Error	Error	$\int mm^3/al$
[mm/rev]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm/s]
12.84	0.73	0.46	0.00	0.94	0.000	2.4939
12.84	0.73	0.46	0.03	1.00	0.015	2.4879
12.84	0.73	0.46	0.03	0.97	0.015	2.5027
12.84	0.73	0.46	0.03	0.98	-0.020	2.4936
12.84	0.73	0.46	0.01	0.96	0.005	2.4936

#### Conclusions

This research implements the response surface methodology for optimizing the subtractive rapid prototyping parameters for polycarbonate material in order to achieve the maximum material rate removal of polycarbonate material and the dimensional error less than or equal to 1 mm at the same time. The optimized feed rate, step over, and depth of cut for the subtractive rapid prototyping are found to be 12.84 mm/s, 0.73 mm, and 0.46 mm respectively. By implementing these parameters, the achieved material removal rate is 2.494 mm<sup>3</sup>/s and the maximum dimensional error achieved is 0.97 mm.

#### References

- [1] C.K. Toh, in: 'Surface topography analysis in high speed finish milling inclined hardened steel', Precision Engineering, Vol. 28, No. 4, (2004), pp.386-398.
- [2] M. N. Islam, B. Boswell, and Pramanik, in: 'An investigation of dimensional accuracy of parts produced by three-dimensional printing', Proc. the World Congress on Engineering, Vol. 1, (2013).
- [3] I. Nieminen, J. Paro, and V. Kauppinen, in: 'High-speed milling of advanced materials', Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 56, No. 1-4, (1996), pp.24-36.
- [4] M. Albertí, J. Ciurana, C.A. Rodriguez, in: 'Experimental analysis of dimensional error vs. cycle time in high-speed milling of aluminium alloy', International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture, Vol. 47, No. 2, (2007), pp.236-246.
- [5] J. Vivancos, C.J. Luis, L. Costa, J.A. Ortiz, in: 'Optimal machining parameters selection in high speed milling of hardened steels for injection moulds', Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 155, (2004), pp.1505-1512.
- [6] J.P. Urbanski, P. Koshy, R.C. Dewes, D.K. Aspinwall, in: '*High speed machining of moulds and dies for net shape manufacture*', Materials & Design, Vol. 21, No. 4, (2000), pp.395-402.
- [7] H. Oktem, T. Erzurumlu, H. Kurtaran, in: 'Application of response surface methodology in the optimization of cutting conditions for surface roughness', Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 170, No. 1-2, (2005), pp.11-16.

- [8] J.S. The, et. al. in: 'Optimasi proses pemesinan milling fitur pocket material baja karbon rendah menggunakan response surface methodology', Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Mesin Universitas Kristen Petra, Vol. 10, No. 1, (2008), pp.1-7.
- [9] W. Ma, G. He, L. Zhu, and L. Guo, in: 'Tool deflection error compensation in five-axis ball-end milling of sculptured surface', The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, Vol. 84, No. 5-8, (2016), pp.1421-1430.
- [10] N. Zeroudi, and M. Fontaine, in: 'Prediction of tool deflection and tool path compensation in ball-end milling', Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, Vol. 26, No. 3, (2015), pp.425-445.
- [11] K. Shimana, E. Kondo, H. Karashima, M. Nakao, and S. Yamashita, in: 'An approach to real-time compensation of machining error using deflection of tool estimated from cutting forces in end-milling process', Journal of Advanced Mechanical Design, Systems, and Manufacturing, Vol. 10, No. 2, (2016), pp.1-10.
- [12] T.J. Suteja, in: 'The influence of depth of cut, feed rate and step-over on surface roughness of polycarbonate material in subtractive rapid prototyping', Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, Vol. 365, (2016), pp.409-414.
- [13] T.J. Suteja, in: 'The influence of depth of cut, feed rate, and step-over on dimensional accuracy in subtractive rapid prototyping of polycarbonate material', IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng., Vol. 187, (2017), pp.012033.
- [14] R. Ippolito, L. Iuliano and Gatto in: 'A benchmarking of rapid prototyping techniques in terms of dimensional accuracy and surface finish', CIRP Annals-Manufacturing Technology, Vol. 44, No. 1, (1995), pp.157-160.
- [15] R.M. Taft, S. Kondor, and G.T. Grant, in: 'Accuracy of rapid prototype models for head and neck reconstruction', The Journal of prosthetic dentistry, Vol. 106, No. 6, (2011), pp.399-408.
- [16] D.K. Pal. and B. Ravi, in: 'Rapid tooling route selection and evaluation for sand and investment casting', Virtual and Physical Prototyping Journal, Vol. 2, No. 4, (2007), pp.197-207.
- [17] T. Brajlih, B. Valentan, J. Balic and I. Drstvensek, in: 'Speed and accuracy evaluation of additive manufacturing machines', Rapid prototyping journal, Vol. 17, No. 1, (2011), pp.64-75.
- [18] Y. He, G.H. Xue, and J.Z. Fu, in: 'Fabrication of low cost soft tissue prostheses with the desktop 3D printer', Scientific reports, Vol. 4, (2014), pp.6973.
- [19] M. Salmi, et al. in: 'Accuracy of medical models made by additive manufacturing (rapid manufacturing)', Journal of Cranio-Maxillofacial Surgery, Vol. 41, No. 7, (2013), pp.603-609.
- [20] J.Y. Shieh, L.K. Wang, and S.Y. Ke, in: 'A feasible injection molding technique for the manufacturing of large diameter aspheric plastic lenses', Optical review, Vol. 17, No. 4, (2010), pp.399-403.
- [21] L.V. Candioti, M.M. De Zan, M.S. Camara, and H.C. Goicoechea, in: 'Experimental design and multiple response optimization. Using the desirability function in analytical methods development', Talanta, Vol. 124, (2014) pp.123-138.

# 7<sup>th</sup> Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering

7<sup>th</sup> Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering (ACMME 2019), Tokyo, Japan

> Edited by Prof. Omar S. Es-Said

TRANS TECH PUBLICATIONS

# 7<sup>th</sup> Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering

7<sup>th</sup> Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering (ACMME 2019), Tokyo, Japan

> Edited by Prof. Omar S. Es-Said

# 7<sup>th</sup> Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering

7<sup>th</sup> Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering (ACMME 2019), Tokyo, Japan

Selected, peer reviewed papers from the 7<sup>th</sup> Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering (ACMME 2019), June 14-17, 2019, Tokyo, Japan

Edited by

Prof. Omar S. Es-Said



Copyright © 2020 Trans Tech Publications Ltd, Switzerland

All rights reserved. No part of the contents of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without the written permission of the publisher.

Trans Tech Publications Ltd Kapellweg 8 CH-8806 Baech Switzerland http://www.scientific.net

Volume 975 of Materials Science Forum ISSN print 0255-5476 ISSN cd 1662-9760 ISSN web 1662-9752

Full text available online at http://www.scientific.net

#### Distributed worldwide by

Trans Tech Publications Ltd Kapellweg 8 CH-8806 Baech Switzerland

Phone: +41 (44) 922 10 22 Fax: +41 (44) 922 10 33 e-mail: sales@scientific.net

## Preface

It is our great pleasure to introduce the proceedings of The 7th Asia Conference on Mechanical and Materials Engineering (ACMME 2019) held in Tokyo, Japan from June 14-17, 2019. ACMME 2019 is dedicated to issues related to mechanical and materials engineering. One of the objectives of the conference is to establish platforms for collaborative research projects in this field, and to find potential opportunities for international cooperation.

The conference program included keynote, oral, and poster presentations from scholars working in the areas of materials science and engineering. It covered recent trends and progress made in the fields of mechanical and materials engineering. Professors from the United States, South Korea, and Japan were invited to deliver keynote speeches regarding the latest advances in their respective areas of expertise.

The proceedings present a selection of papers submitted to the conference by universities, research institutes, and professionals working in various industries. All of the papers were subject to peer-review by conference committee members and international reviewers. The papers were selected based on their quality and relevance to the conference. This volume presents recent advances in the field of materials engineering and manufacturing technologies.

There were 72 oral presentations and 12 poster presentations by researchers from 15 countries. These include China, South Korea, Japan, Thailand, Malaysia, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Vietnam, the U.S.A, Russia, Philippines, Indonesia, Czechia, Honduras, and Brazil.

We would like to express our gratitude to all the members of the conference committee. We would also like to thank the reviewers, who spared their valuable time, for their advice. They have helped improve the quality, accuracy, and relevance of each paper selected for the conference program and for publication. We also wish to thank all the authors who have contributed to this conference, as well as the organizing committee, reviewers, speakers, chairpersons, sponsors, and all the conference participants for their support for ACMME 2019.

Prof. Omar S. Es-Said, Loyola Marymount University, USA June 24, 2019

## **Conference Committee**

#### **Conference Committee Chairs**

Prof. Omar S. Es-Said, Loyola Marymount University, USA Prof. Kenji OGINO, Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Japan

#### **Program Committee Chairs**

Prof. Masatoshi Kubouchi, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan Prof. Yong Suk Yang, Pusan National University, Korea Assoc. Prof. Ken Mao, Warwick University, UK

#### **Publicity Chairs**

Prof. Young Hoon Rim, Semyung University, South Korea Prof. Mohd Idrus Mohd Masirin, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia Prof. Mohammad Sukri Mustapa, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia

#### **International Technical Committees**

Prof. Kew-Yu Chen, Feng Chia University, Taiwan Prof. Cherng-Yuan Lin, National Taiwan Ocean University, Taiwan Prof. Shahruddin Mahzan, University of Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia Prof. Menandro C.Marque, Mapua University, Philippines Assoc. Prof. Dorin Drignei, Oakland University, USA Assoc. Prof. Kevin Kam Fung Yuen, Singapore University of Social Sciences, Singapore Assoc. Prof. Willyanto Anggono, Petra Christian University, Indonesia Assoc. Prof. Saiful Amri Mazlan, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Assoc. Prof. Bryan B. Pajarito, University of the Philippines, Philippines Assoc. Prof. Huu Loc Nguyen, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, Viet Nam Assoc. Prof. Debdulal Das, Indian Institute of Engineering Science and Technology, India Assoc. Prof. Andy C. C. Lin, Air Force Academy, Taiwan Asst. Prof. Tongchit Suthisripok, Rangsit University, Thailand Asst. Prof. Rahul Chhibber, Indian Institute of Technology, Jhodhpur, India Dr. SHIAU Wei Chan, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Malaysia Dr. Peck Loo Kiew, UCSI University, Malaysia Dr. M.R.M.Rejab, UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA PAHANG, Malaysia Dr. Chitphong Ketthanom, Vongchavalitkul University, Thailand Dr. Nanik Indayaningsih, Indonesian Institute of Science-Research Center for Physics, Indonesia Dr. Gobinda Gopal Khan, Tripura University (A Central University), India Dr. Deepa Prabhu, Manipal Institute of Technology, India Dr. Abbasli Saboktakin, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Iran

ISBN(softcover): 978-3-0357-1620-7 ISBN(eBook): 978-3-0357-3620-5

# Table of Contents

Preface

## **Chapter 1: Engineering Tribology and Surface Engineering**

Friction and Wear Properties of Oriented Fe <sub>2</sub> B with Different Cr Additions under Water- Lubricated Condition	
K.M. Li, Z.F. Huang, C.F. Qin, H.W. Ma and W.Y. Zhang	3
Simulation Study on Erosion Wear Rule of Aluminium Brass Throttling Ring of Artillery Based on Finite Element Method	
K.B. Cui, H.T. Sun, Y.C. Jiang and Y.P. Huang	9
Metal Magnetic Memory Testing of the Drilling Riser Pipeline Steel Based on Pulsating- Impact-Fatigue Test W. Zhou, J.C. Fan, X.Y. Liu and S.J. Liu	15
Numerical Simulation and Experimental Verification of Ultra-High Frequency Induction Cladding with Metal Wire Z.F. Bing, Y.J. Shi, R. Sun and Y.K. Guo	25
6061 Aluminum Surface Treatment by High Quality Coloring Anodic Film C.C. Lee, S. El-Zahlanieh, Y.H. Wang, C.C. Chen, S.H. Chen and Y.W. Chang	31
<b>Optimized Micro-Arc Oxidation Coating Thickness on ALZ Magnesium Lithium Alloy</b> L.H.T. Do, S.J. Lee, D.B. Luu, Q.B. Tao, N.T. Vo and T.N. Ngo	37

## **Chapter 2: Steel and Alloys**

A Study on Fabrication and Morphology of 6061 Aluminum Alloy in Hard Anodization S.F. Chang, S. El-Zahlanieh, C.C. Chen, C.Y. Chen, Y.W. Chang, C.W. Hun, M.H. Cho, C.S. Lee and S.H. Chen	45
The Microstructure Evolution and Precipitation Behavior within HSLA Steels with Different M/C Atomic Ratios C.Y. Chen, L.J. Chiang, C.C. Chen, J.S. Kuo and Y.H. Chou	49
<b>Studying of Influence of Rotation of the Spent Electrode on the Microfirmness of the</b> <b>Received Preparation of Steel AISI 420 at Electroslag Remelting</b> A.N. Anikeev, I.V. Chumanov and D.V. Sergeev	55
Study of TiC Interaction and its Reaction Products with the Different Carbon Content Steels A.N. Anikeev, I.V. Chumanov and V. Sedukhin	59

## **Chapter 3: Biomaterials and Materials for Environmental Engineering**

Analysis of the Influence of Pore-Forming Agent and Coagulation Bath on the Preparation of PES Hollow Fiber Membrane	
Q.Y. Jia, W.T. Sun, S.X. Liu, X. Gao, L.L. Li and C.X. Hu	67
Development Carbonated Hydroxyapatite Powders from Oyster Shells ( <i>Crassostrea gigas</i> ) by Carbonate Content Variations	76
A. Annukarianian and 1. I usur	70
Sintering Temperature on Purity and Crystallography Properties	
I.K. Januariyasa and Y. Yusuf	82
Strength and Bioactivity of Hydroxyapatite/White Portland Cement (HAp/WPC) under Simulated Body Fluid (SBF) Solution	
W. Taptimdee, P. Chindaprasirt, Y. Otsuka, Y. Mutoh and T. Laonapakul	88
Adsorption of Metalworking Fluids in CSTR Reactor by Modified Sugarcane Bagasse with Aluminium Sulphate as Adsorbent	
J. Meteewonnakul, K. Piyamongkala and N. Pongstabodee	94

## **Chapter 4: Nanomaterials and Nanotechnologies**

Anti-Buckling Design of Rectangular Thin Plate Based on Local Surface Nanocrystallization	
Z.B. Lian, Z. Zhao, W. Xu, C.W. Lim, X.S. Xu and Z.H. Zhou	103
Energy Absorption Analysis and Optimization Design of Local Surface Nanocrystallization Rectangular Tubes	
Z. Zhao, W. Wang, Y.L. Chen, Z.H. Zhou, C.W. Lim and X.S. Xu	109
<b>Removal of Methylene Blue Dye Using Metal-Free g-C</b> <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> <b>Photocatalyst over Natural</b> <b>Sunlight Irradiation</b> C. Sriwong and K. Choojun	115
Flexural Wave Propagation of Double-Layered Graphene Sheets Based on the Hamiltonian System C.H. Xu, J.J. Hu and D.L. Rong	121
Nickel Cobaltite Nanoneedle/Porous Graphene Nanosheets Network Nanocomposite Electrodes with Ultra-High Specific Capacitance for Energy Storage Applications C.C. Yang, C. Lee and T.Y. Tseng	127
Microwave Absorption Properties of Porous Co/C Nanofibers Synthesized by Electrospinning H.T. Sun, S. Zhang, H.Y. Deng, K.B. Cui and F. Zhao	133
Literature Review of Gallium: Conductive Ink Alternative? A.D. Aguilar-Banegas, F.D. Reyes-Cruz, J.A. Vargas-Pineda and C.H. Ortega-Jimenez	139

# **Chapter 5: Composite Materials**

Utility of Air-Entraining Additive in the Development of Lightweight Alumina-Based Refractories	
M. Jogl and P. Reiterman	147
Tribological Properties of Carbon Fiber Epoxy Resin Composite and Polyimide Material at High and Low Temperatures	152
Y. Zhang, G.D. Chen and L. Wang	153
Numerical Study on Axial Crushing of Auxetic Foam-Filled Square Tube S. Mohsenizadeh, Z. Ahmad and A. Alias	159
Direct Recycling of Aluminium Chips into Composite Reinforced with <i>In Situ</i> Alumina Enrichment	
A. Wagiman, M.S. Mustapa, M.A. Lajis, S. Shamsudin, M.I.M. Masirin and M.H. Rady	165
Hybrid Effect of ZrB2+Si3N4 on the Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of AA8011 for Service Life Improvement	
J. Fayomi, A.P.I. Popoola and O.M. Popoola	171
Effect of Heat Treatment Process on the Hardness of Aluminium356/Silicon Carbide Particulate Composites	
S. Salyajivin and S. Talangkun	176
An Application of Reinforced Polyurethane Foam in Design of the Common Bulkhead for Cryogenic Tanks	
K.Č. Li, Z.J. Wu, M.J. Liu, X.S. Xu and W. Xu	182

## **Chapter 6: Civil Engineering Materials**

Adapting ANFIS to Improve Field Rebound Hammer Test for Concrete Compressive	
Strength Estimation	
Y.R. Wang, D.L. Chiang and Y.J. Chen	191
Analysis of Physical and Microstructural Properties on Parit Nipah Peat Particles as	
Sustainable Asphalt Modifier	107
M.I.M. Masirin, A.S.B. Ali, M.S. Mustapa, R.A. Rahman, A. Wagiman and M.I. Aziz	197
A Characteristic and a Precisely Constitutive Model for Undrained Clay	
S.T. Hsu, W.C. Hu, Y.H. Lin and Z. Ling	203

Appraisal on Different Sustainable Road Stabilization Techniques for Different Road	
M.I.M. Masirin, H.A. Hamid, R.A. Rahman, A. Wagiman, M.S. Mustapa and N.A. Hamid	208
Chapter 7: Materials Processing and Forming	
Size Effect of Drill Bit on Coupled Vibrations during High Speed Micro-Drilling Process of Composite Printed Circuit Board	
H.T. Dat, V.D. Nguyen, H.A. Nguyen, N.K. Nguyen, H.T.H. Yen and D.T. Nguyen	217
Effects of Former Surface Treatment on Natural Rubber Latex Deposition K.W.I. Se Hoo, L.J. Yu, K.S.J. Shyam, A.C. Ong and L.Y.G. Lai	223
Effect of Hot Extrusion Parameters on Tensile Strength and Fracture Behavior in Direct Recycling of Aluminium Alloy (6061) Chips	220
M.H. Rady, M.S. Mustapa, S. Shamsudin, M.A. Lajis, M.I.M. Masirin and A. Wagiman	229
Optimization of Material Removal Rate and Dimensional Errors in Subtractive Rapid Prototyping of Polycarbonate Material	
J. Suteja and M.A. Hadiyat	235
Numerical and Experimental Analysis on Runner and Gate Positioning for Magnesium Alloy Die-Casted Test Piece	
M.D. Ibrahim, G.L. Tan, L. Roslan, Y. Kashiwabara, J. Jendia and Y. Sunami	242