PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Selection of potential Indonesian plant species for antioxidant

To cite this article: K Kartini et al 2020 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 457 012040

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



This content was downloaded from IP address 36.68.220.7 on 26/09/2020 at 11:03

Selection of potential Indonesian plant species for antioxidant

K Kartini¹*, F Setiawan¹, J Sukweenadhi², O Yunita¹ and C Avanti³

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Faculty of Technobiology, University of Surabava, Surabava, Indonesia

³Department of Pharmaceutic, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: kartini@staff.ubaya.ac.id

Abstract. Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is commonly complication of diabetes mellitus. Antimicrobial and antioxidant herbs can be used as complementary therapy in DFU and these properties will be strengthened by silver nanoparticles (AgNPs). This study was performed for screening of Indonesian herbs promising as antioxidant which can be subsequently involved in the green synthesis of AgNPs. Antioxidant of eight herbs extracts were evaluated using 1,1diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl method. Their total group of compounds were quantified as well. Phyllanthus niruri and Orthosiphon stamineus exhibited the strongest antioxidant power with IC_{50} of 102 and 133 µg/ml, followed by *Curcuma domestica*, *Stelechocarpus burahol*, and *Curcuma xanthorriza* with the IC_{50} of 363, 481, and 540 µg/ml, respectively. Sonchus arvensis, Apium graveolens, Centella asiatica did not have antioxidants activity. Total phenolics from the highest is: O. stamineus > C. domestica > C. xanthorrizha > P. niruri > S. burahol > S. arvensis > A. graveolens > C. asiatica, whereas total flavonoids order is O. stamineus > S. burahol > P. niruri > A. graveolens > C. asiatica > S. arvensis > C. xanthorrizha > C. domestica. We concluded that O. stamineus was the most suitable herb to be used as a bioreductor in the green synthesis of AgNPs.

Keywords: Antioxidant, silver nanoparticles, diabetic wound healing, medicinal plants

1. Introduction

A high prevalence of diabetes causes the emergence of comorbidities. The critical effects of hyperglycemia include micro-vascular and macro-vascular complications [1]. Diabetes is the cause of non-traumatic lower limb amputation, which is preceded by wounds that do not heal [2]. The risk of wound in diabetics is 15-20% [3]. About 15-27% of diabetic patients experience amputation due to infection [4]. 45-60% of diabetic foot ulcers (DFU) are estimated due to neuropathy [5]. Emerging studies show high infections of Pseudomonas [6], E. coli [7], and S. aureus [8] in DFU. Diabetic foot ulcers treatment requires handling of wounds as well as giving appropriate antibiotics [1]. Silver/sulphadiazine dressing can be considered in DFU therapy. Silver and polyherbal dressing shows promising results in wound healing [9].

Various plant species have been used empirically in Indonesia for wound healing [10]. Some potential species for DFU therapy are Curcuma xanthorrhiza (temulawak), Curcuma domestica (kunyit), Centella asiatica (pegagan), Stelechocarpus burahol (kepel), Orthosiphon stamineus (kumis kucing), Phyllanthus niruri (meniran), Sonchus arvensis (tempuvung), and Apium graveolens (seledri)

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

[11]. These plants contain antioxidant and antimicrobial compounds, as well as being able to reduce metals [12-14].

Nanoparticles are the current technology and have been used in many fields, one of which is metal nanoparticles [2]. It has been widely used in biomedical and physicochemical fields [2]. Silver nanoparticles are also widely used for antimicrobial, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, and wound treatment [15, 16]. Green synthesis of nanoparticles is carried out using microorganisms, plants, yeast, or viruses. Nanoparticle synthesis using plants, phytonanotechnology, has a lot of advantages such as biocompatibility, fast, stable, environmentally friendly, affordable, and easy to scale-up [2]. Various chemical compounds including primary metabolites such as protein and polysaccharides as well as secondary metabolites i.e. terpenoids, phenolics, flavonoids, and alkaloids can be applied in the green synthesis of metal nanoparticles including silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) [17]. They work as reducing and capping agents [18]. The green synthesis of AgNPs involving plant extracts can be an option to increase the effectiveness of topical preparations for DFU treatment [19]. As an initial step, this research was conducted to select native Indonesian plant species having potential as antioxidants. Selected plants will be further developed for the green synthesis of silver nanoparticles as DFU treatment.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Plant species

This research used eight plant species as described at table 1. All crude drugs were obtained from and authenticated by Center for Research and Development of Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicines (B2P2TOOT) located at Tawangmangu, Indonesia.

Plant species	Part used
Orthosiphon stamineus	Leaves
Curcuma domestica	Rhizome
Curcuma xanthorriza	Rhizome
Phyllanthus niruri	Herbs
Sonchus arvensis	Leaves
Apium graveolens	Herbs
Centella asiatica	Herbs
Stelechocarpus burahol	Leaves

Table 1. Selected Indonesian plant species for antioxidant.

2.2. Extraction

Dried plants were milled into 2 mm before used. Each powdered plant was then refluxed with aqueous ethanol for 3 x 2.5 h. The ethanol were then removed from the extracts by using rotary evaporator at the temperature of 40° C, continued by evaporation on waterbath to yield a thick extract. The thick extracts were subsequently stored in a desiccator.

2.3. Quantification of total phenolics

Concentration of the total phenolics in the crude extracts was determined by using Folin-Ciocalteu (FC) reagent according to the previous studies [20, 21]. Analysis was carried out on 96-well microplates by using gallic acid as a standard. It was prepared in various concentrations started from 2 to 10 μ g/ml. Reaction was initiated by adding FC reagent (0.1 mol/l, 150 μ l), followed by 30 μ l of each ethanol extract or standard solution. After 10 min, solution of Na₂CO₃ (7.5%, 120 μ l) was added and incubated for two hours. The absorbance was subsequently read at the wavelength of 760 nm. Total phenolics concentration of the extracts was calculated by interpolation of the absorbance to the calibration curve of standard. The total phenolics concentration was presented as gram of gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100 g of thick extract.

IOP Publishing

2.4. Quantification of total flavonoids

Evaluation of total flavonoids concentration was conducted by established colorimetric methods as detailed in previous study [22]. Thick extract was dissolved and diluted in 96% ethanol. One ml of extract was transferred into a volumetric flask, supplemented with 4 ml of demineralized water and solution of NaNO₂ (5%, 0.3 ml), followed by incubation at room temperature for 5 minutes. Solution of AlCl₃ (10%, 0.3 ml) was then added at the 6th minute. Sodium hydroxide (1 M, 2 ml) and demineralized water were finally added up to 10.0 ml. The absorbance was subsequently read at the wavelength of 400.2 nm. Total flavonoids concentration of the extracts was calculated by interpolation of the absorbance to the calibration curve of standard (quercetin, 5 to 25 µg/ml). The total flavonoids concentration was expressed as gram of quercetin equivalents (QE) per 100 g of thick extract.

2.5. Antioxidant activity

Antioxidant activity test referred to the previous work with slight modification [23]. It was based on the capability of the extract to scavenge free radical of DPPH. In summary, the method is as follows: solution of DPPH in methanol (0.026%, 50 μ l) was pipetted to 100 μ l of serial dilution of extracts in methanol (total volume 150 μ l). It was left in darkness for 15 min and the absorbance was then measured at the wavelength of 517 nm using a microplate reader. Free radical scavenging activity was calculated using the following formula:



where, A_B = absorption of DPPH solution (t = 0 min); A_A = absorption of tested samples (t = 15 min). IC₅₀ value, the concentration of extract, which exhibited 50% radical scavenging activity, was deduced from the linear regression of concentration versus percentage of inhibition [24].

2.6. Statistical analysis

Both total phenolics/flavonoids and IC₅₀ values were presented as average \pm SD, n = 3 or 4. Data were statistically analysed using ANOVA followed with post hoc Tukey test. All analysis was conducted with the statistical software, GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc. Windows Version 5.01). Differences were concluded to be significant at *P* value of less than 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Total phenolics and flavonoid concentration

Group of compounds, phenolics and flavonoids, have been determined in this research to evaluate the quality of each herb material. Total phenolics was analysed with the Folin-Ciocalteu method, whereas total flavonoids was evaluated with the aluminum chloride (AlCl₃) colorimetric method using spectrophotometer. A series concentration of gallic acid (figure 1) as well as quercetin (figure 2) were prepared to set up the standard calibration curves, which were then applied to quantify the total phenolics and flavonoid contents in each crude extract. Table 2 presents total phenolics content as well as total flavonoid compounds calculated for each plant extract. It could be observed that phenolics and flavonoid contents of *Orthosiphon stamineus* extract were the highest.

Table 2 demonstrate that total phenolics content from the highest is as follow: *O. stamineus* > *C. domestica* > *C. xanthorrizha* > *P. niruri* > *S. burahol* > *S. arvensis* > *A. graveolens* > *C. asiatica,* whereas the total flavonoid content order is *O. stamineus* > *S. burahol* > *P. niruri* > *A. graveolens* > *C. asiatica* > *S. arvensis* > *C. xanthorrizha* > *C. domestica. Orthosiphon stamineus* contains the highest phenolics and flavonoids.




Figure 2. Calibration curve of quercetin

Table 2. Total phenolics and flavonoids concentration of selected Indonesian plant species extracts.

Plant species	Total phenolics concentration	Total flavonoids concentration
	(g of GAE/100 g of extract)*	(g of QE/100 g of extract)**
Orthosiphon stamineus	44.91 ± 0.24^{a}	20.03 ± 0.68^{a}
Curcuma domestica	13.10 ± 0.67^{b}	1.24 ± 0.48^{b}
Curcuma xanthorriza	$7.38 \pm 0.40^{\circ}$	$2.77 \pm 1.58^{b,c}$
Phyllanthus niruri	$7.22 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	$8.34 \pm 0.74^{\rm d}$
Sonchus arvensis	2.62 ± 0.15^{d}	$3.78 \pm 0.09^{\circ}$
Apium graveolens	2.16 ± 0.05^{d}	6.67 ± 0.31^{d}
Centella asiatica	$0.67 \pm 0.03^{\rm e}$	$4.06 \pm 0.27^{\circ}$
Stelechocarpus burahol	$5.85 \pm 0.18^{\rm f}$	9.74 ± 0.27^{e}

*,**Values in the column represent average \pm SD of 3 determinations. Averages followed by different notation within same column show significant differences (P < 0.05).

Flavonoids such as 3'-hydroxy-5,6,7,4'-tetramethoxyflavone, sinensetin, as well as eupatorin have been identified from *O. stamineus*, in addition to rosmarinic acid, a caffeic acid derivative. Moreover, total phenolics and flavonoids of this crude drug varies according to the geographical origin [25]. Phenolics content of *O. stamineus* correlates with the solvent, duration, and temperature of extraction. The optimum conditions for phenolics extraction from *O. stamineus* were 40% alcohol for 120 min at 65°C [26].

3.2. Antioxidant activity

Antioxidant activity of eight Indonesian plant species has been determined with free radical scavenging method against stable DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl). DPPH is a commonly used free radical in the antioxidant screening assay. It has an unpaired electron from nitrogen atom. When a

compound or material with capability to donate hydrogen is reacted with the DPPH, it will reduce DPPH become DPPH-H (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyn) (figure 3) [27].




Figure 3. Reduction mechanism of 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), R:H = antioxidant component; $R \bullet =$ antioxidant radical [27].

DPPH is a crystal with violet color. Its solution in ethanol absorbs UV light at the wavelength of 519 nm. If a phytochemical is able to donate hydrogen atom, it will scavenge the DPPH and this is indicated by the changing of the solution color, from violet to yellow, and the absorption will be lower as well. The extent of decrease in DPPH absorption is directly proportional to the concentration of radicals that are being inhibited. Assay was simply performed using a UV-visible spectrophotometer at ambient temperature, and the antioxidant capacity is represented as the percentage of DPPH radical inhibition. Figure 4 shows the correlation between the concentrations of *O. stamineus* and *P. niruri* extracts with the DPPH radical inhibition. This graph reveals that the higher the concentration of the plant extracts, the higher the inhibition of DPPH.




Figure 4. DPPH radical inhibition of various concentration of *O. stamineus* and *P. niruri* extracts.

The DPPH radical scavenging method is based on two reactions i.e. electron transfer as well as hydrogen atom transfer. This assay is widely used than other non-enzymatic antioxidant assay since its simplicity, rapidity, and low cost. To compare the antioxidant activity among the extracts, IC_{50} values (Table 3) were calculated based on the linear regression of concentration (µg/ml) versus inhibition (%).

Table 3 reveals that *O. stamineus* and *P. niruri* are the most active extracts as a radical scavenger. The IC₅₀ value is one parameter to classify the potency of an antioxidant. According to Jun et al. [28], antioxidant activity is classified into 5 groups: highly active (IC₅₀ < 50 µg/ml), active (IC₅₀ = 50-100 µg/ml), moderate (IC₅₀ = 101-250 µg/ml), weak (IC₅₀ = 250-500 µg/ml), and inactive (IC₅₀ > 500 µg/ml). Table 2 indicates that *O. stamineus* and *P. niruri* have moderate antioxidant activity, whereas the others are weak and inactive antioxidants.

Extract of plant species	IC ₅₀ (µg/ml)
Orthosiphon stamineus	133 ± 6.6^{a}
Curcuma domestica	363 ± 9.7^{b}
Curcuma xanthorriza	$540 \pm 12.8^{\circ}$
Phyllanthus niruri	102 ± 1.7^{a}
Sonchus arvensis	1135 ± 7.1^{d}
Apium graveolens	$2263 \pm 61.8^{\circ}$
Centella asiatica	ND
Stelechocarpus burahol	$481 \pm 2.7^{\rm f}$
Ascorbic acid*	31 ± 1.6
Trolox*	76 ± 1.1

Table 3. IC₅₀ value of DPPH scavenging activity by selected extracts of Indonesian plant species.

*standard compound; ND: not detected; Values in the column represent average \pm SD of IC₅₀ (inhibitory concentration 50, n = 4). Averages followed by different notation within same column show significant differences (P < 0.05).

Flavonoids and phenolics are ubiquitous compounds which can be found in many species of plants. They are main source of natural antioxidants in plants, fruits, and vegetables. In addition to flavonoids especially lipophilic flavones as well as caffeic acid derivatives, O. stamineus contains terpenoids and essential oil. Lipophilic flavones and caffeic acid ester of 3-(3.4dihydroxyphenyl)lactic acid were main phenolics compounds found in the crude extract of O. stamineus and their antioxidant activities have been well determined [29, 30]. However, in our research, there was no linear correlation between the antioxidant capacity and the total phenolics concentration ($R^2 = 0.238$) as well as between antioxidant power and the total flavonoids concentration $(R^2 = 0.079)$. The same pattern on the correlation between antioxidant capacity of plant extracts and the phenolics concentration have been previously investigated ($R_{xy} = 0.048$) [25, 31]. Phenolics is not the only phytochemical with antioxidant properties. The existence of various other anti-oxidant compounds in the plant extracts, which are able to donate hydrogen may erroneously contribute to the content of the total phenols determined with Folin-Ciocalteau reagent. Therefore, it is no simple correlation between the level of total phenolics and the antioxidant activity when compare among herb materials [25].

4. Conclusion

Phyllanthus niruri and *Orthosiphon stamineus* showed the most potent antioxidant with an IC₅₀ value of 102 and 133 µg/ml, followed by *C. domestica, S. burahol,* and *C. xanthorriza* with the IC₅₀ value of 363, 481, and µg/ml ppm, respectively. *Sonchus arvensis, A. graveolens,* and *C. asiatica* exhibited poor antioxidant capacity with the IC₅₀ value > 1000 ppm. Total phenolics content from the highest is as follow: *O. stamineus* > *C. domestica* > *C. xanthorrizha* > *P. niruri* > *S. burahol* > *S. arvensis* > *A. graveolens* > *C. asiatica,* whereas the total flavonoids content order is *O. stamineus* > *S. burahol* > *P. niruri* > *A. graveolens* > *C. asiatica* > *S. arvensis* > *C. xanthorrizha* > *C. domestica. Orthosiphon stamineus* contains the highest concentration phenolics and flavonoids. *Orthosiphon stamineus* was the most suitable herbs to be used as a source of bioreductors in the green synthesis of silver nanoparticles for foot ulcer.

Acknowledgements

We thank to the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia who has supported this work under Fundamental Research Scheme with the contract number: 004/SP2H/LT/MULTI/L7/2019.

References

- [1] Clayton Jr W and Elasy T A 2009 Clin. Diabetes 27 52
- [2] Kavitha K V, Tiwari S, Purandare V B, Khedkar S, Bhosale S S and Unnikrishnan A G 2014 *World J. Diabetes* **5** 546
- [3] Singh P, Kim Y-J, Zhang D and Yang D-C 2016 Trends Biotechnol. 34 588
- [4] Reiber G E 2002 *The Diabetic Foot* (Netherland: Springer Netherlands)
- [5] Richard J-L, Sotto A and Lavigne J-P 2011 World J. Diabetes 2 24
- [6] Shanmugam P and Jeya M 2013 J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 7 441
- [7] Tiwari S, Pratyush D D, Dwivedi A, Gupta S K, Rai M and Singh S K 2012 J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 6 329
- [8] Abdulrazak A, Bitar Z I, Al-Shamali A A and Mobasher L A 2005 J. Diabetes Complications 19 138
- [9] Viswanathan V, Kesavan R, Kavitha K and Kumpatla S 2011 Indian J. Med. Res. 134 168
- [10] Elfahmi, Woerdenbag H J and Kayser O 2014 J. Herb. Med. 4 51
- [11] Wientarsih I, Winarsih W and Sutardi L N 2013 Jurnal Veteriner 13 251 (In Indonesian Language)
- [12] Shameli K, Ahmad M B, Zamanian A, Sangpour P, Shabanzadeh P, Abdollahi Y, et al 2012 *Int. J. Nanomedicine* **7** 5603
- [13] Logeswari P, Silambarasan S and Abraham J 2015 J. Saudi Chem. Soc. 19 311
- [14] Singh K, Panghal M, Kadyan S and Yadav J P 2014 JNMNT 5 1
- [15] Singh N, Armstrong D G and Lipsky B A 2005 Jama 293 217
- [16] Keat CL, Aziz A, Eid A M and Elmarzugi N A 2015 Bioresour. Bioprocess. 2 47
- [17] Ahmed S, Ahmad M, Swami B L and Ikram S 2016 J. Adv. Res. 7 17
- [18] Duan H, Wang D and Li Y 2015 Chem. Soc. Rev. 44 5778
- [19] Mishra M, Kumar H and Tripathi K 2008 Dig. J. Nanomater. Bios. 3 49
- [20] Beara I N, Lesjak M M, Jovin E D, Balog K J, Anačkov G T, Orčić D Z et al 2009 J. Agric. Food Chem. 57 9268
- [21] Singleton V L, Orthofer R and Lamuela-Raventos R M 1999 Methods Enzymol. 299C 152
- [22] Chang C-C, Yang M H, Wen H M and Chern J C 2002 J. Food Drug Anal. 10 178
- [23] Galvez M, Martin-Cordero C, Houghton P J and Ayuso M J 2005 J. Agric. Food Chem. 53 1927
- [24] Chen Z, Bertin R and Froldi G 2013 Food Chem. 138 414
- [25] Akowuah G, Zhari I, Norhayati I, Sadikun A and Khamsah S 2004 Food Chem. 87 559
- [26] Chew K, Khoo M, Ng S, Thoo Y, Aida WW and Ho C 2011 Int. Food Res. J. 18 1427
- [27] Liang N and Kitts D 2014 *Molecules* **19** 19180
- [28] Jun M, Fu H Y, Hong J, Wan X, Yang C and Ho CT 2003 J. Food Sci. 68 2117
- [29] Brand-Williams W, Cuvelier M E and Berset C 1995 LWT Food Sci. Technol. 28 25
- [30] Cuvelier M-E, Richard H and Berset C 1992 Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 56 324
- [31] Maillard M-N and Berset C 1995 J. Agric. Food Chem. 43 1789



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution \odot • of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

LIST OF COMMITTE ICOBIO 2019

To cite this article: 2020 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 457 011002

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Design and Optimization of Static</u> <u>Characteristics for a Steering System in an</u> <u>ATV</u>
 L. Rajeshkumar, V. Bhuvaneswari, B. Pradeepraj et al.
- Quantum steering: a review with focus on semidefinite programming
 D Cavalcanti and P Skrzypczyk
- Evaluation of Acceptability of Steering Support Method Using Impedance Control with Multiple HMIs
 Takuya Hirata, Soichiro Hayakawa, Shigeyoshi Tsutsumi et al.



ECS Membership = Connection

ECS membership connects you to the electrochemical community:

- Facilitate your research and discovery through ECS meetings which convene scientists from around the world;
- Access professional support through your lifetime career:
- Open up mentorship opportunities across the stages of your career;
- Build relationships that nurture partnership, teamwork—and success!

Join ECS!

Visit electrochem.org/join



This content was downloaded from IP address 203.114.224.229 on 02/06/2022 at 08:45

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science **457** (2020) 011002

LIST OF COMMITTE ICOBIO 2019

Steering Committe

Dr. Miftahudin (IPB University, Indonesia) Dr. Sri Nurdiati (IPB University, Indonesia) Prof. Dr. Aris Tri Wahyudi (IPB University, Indonesia) Prof. Dr. Anja Meryandini (IPB University, Indonesia) Dr. Chatchawan Jantasuriyarat (Kasetsart University, Thailand) Prof. Dr. Ahmad Ismail, FASc (Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia) Dr. Martyna M. Kotowska (Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, German) Dr. Jun Kohyama (Keio University, Japan)

Organizing Committee (IPB University)

Dr. Ence D.J .Supena (Chairman) Dr. Berry Juliandi (Vice-Chairman) Dr. Rika Indri Astuti (Secretary) Dr. Puji Rianti (Secretary) Dr. Nina Ratna Djuita (Secretary) Dr. Sulistijorini (Treasurer) Prof. Dr. YM Diah Ratnadewi Dr. Triadiati Dr. Sri Budiarti Dr. Nampiah Sukarno Dr. Ibnul Qayim Mafrikhul Muttaqin, MSi Ivan Permana Putra, MSi Windra Priawandiputra, PhD Dr. Rika Rafiuddin Dra. Hilda Akmal, MSi Hirmas Fuady Putra, MSi

Scientific Editors

Dr. Miftahudin Dr. Berry Juliandi Windra Priawandiputra, PhD Mafrikhul Muttaqin, MSi

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Editorial Board Members

To cite this article: 2016 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 120 011002

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- Editorial board

- Editorial Board
- Editorial board



This content was downloaded from IP address 36.92.60.81 on 30/09/2020 at 04:05

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 120 (2016) 011002 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/120/1/011002

Editorial Board Members

Subramaniam Ananthakrishnan (Mumbai, India)

Pavel Belov (Russia)

Charles Cavalcante Casimiro (Brazil)

Sergio Colafrancesco (Italy)

Mérouane Debbah (France)

Lars Jacob Foged (Denmark)

Debatosh Guha (India)

Sébastien Lalléchère (France)

Jean-Daniel Lan Sun Luk (France)

Dominique Lesselier (France)

André de Lustrac (France)

James McLean (USA)

Eric Mokole (USA)

Vikass Monebhurrun (France)

Shailendra Oree (Mauritius)

Lionel Pichon (France)

Blaise Ravelo (China)

Tapan Sarkar (India)

Russell Taylor (USA)

Bernard Veyret (France)

NOTICE: There are currently some performance issues with IOPscience, which may also cause error messages to appear. Apologies for the inconvenience..

Table of contents

Volume 457

2020

♦ Previous issue
 Next issue ▶

The 3rd International Conference on Biosciences 8 August 2019, IPB International Convention Centre, Bogor, Indonesia

Accepted papers received: 10 February 2020 Published online: 26 March 2020

Open all abstracts

Preface

OPEN ACCESS			011001
The 3 rd Internatio	onal Conference on	Biosciences (The 3rd ICoBio)	
	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			011002
LIST OF COMM	AITTE ICOBIO 201	.9	
	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			011003
Logo			
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			011004
Peer review state	ement		
	Tiew article	🔁 PDF	

Biodiversity, Conservation Ecology, Climate Change

OPEN ACCESS	010001
	012001
Synurbic avian species in Greater Jakarta Area, Indonesia	
A Mardiastuti Y A Mulyani, D Rinaldi, W Rumblat, L K Dewi, A Kaban and H Sastranegara This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more,	0
Second Raisaracand Cookies policy ice PDF	Θ


+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF
-----------------	--------------	-------

OPEN ACCESS			012038
Selection and cha using some sugar	•	potential from pineapple for bioethanol pr	oduction
N Chasanah, A Me	ryandini and T C Suna	ti	
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012039
diversifolia (HA	MSLEY) and citrus	leaf <i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L, marigold leaf japansche citroen peel <i>Citrus limonia</i> agai ector of citrus HLB disease	
S Wuryantini, Harv	vanto and R A Yudisti	a	
	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012040
Selection of pote	ntial Indonesian pla	nt species for antioxidant	
K Kartini, F Setiaw	van, J Sukweenadhi, O	Yunita and C Avanti	
+ Open abstract	View article	🄁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012041
Antimicrobial ac Bakh. (Eboni) ex		zed silver nanoparticles using <i>Diospyros c</i>	elebica
W Handayani, H Z	ukhrufa, Yasman and O	Imawan	
	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012042
•••	esis and characteriz and <i>Diospyros disc</i>	tion of silver nanoparticles synthesized front from the silver from the synthesized from the second se	om
W Handayani, I No	olia, R M Sundari and	2 Imawan	
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012043
	racterization endop p. on onion plants (nytic bacteria as biological control of fung <i>Illium cepa</i> L.)	us
A Murtado, N R M	ubarik and A Tjahjole	sono	
+ Open abstract	View article	🔁 PDF	
OPEN ACCESS			012044
Ethnopharmacol	ogy and chemical so	reening of piper from ketambe research st	

Gunung Leuser National Park, Southeast Aceh This site uses cookies. By continuing to use this site you agree to our use of cookies. To find out more, E S Kuncari see our Privacy and Cookies policy.

8

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science



IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science


Country	United Kingdom - IIII SIR Ranking of United Kingdom	12
Subject Area and Category	Earth and Planetary Sciences Earth and Planetary Sciences (miscellaneous)	10
	Environmental Science Environmental Science (miscellaneous)	H Index
Publisher	IOP Publishing Ltd.	
Publication type	Conferences and Proceedings	
ISSN	17551315, 17551307	
Coverage	2010-2020	
Scope	The open access IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (EES) provides a fast effective proceedings publication service.	, versatile and cost-
?	Homepage	
	How to publish in this journal	
	Contact	
	igsirphi Join the conversation about this journal	

Test Potensi Akademik Online

Tingkatkan kemungkinan anda diterima bekerja dgn melampirkan hasil Test Potensi Akademik

tokopedia.com

BUKA





Author search Sources

Sign in

×

Source details

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science Scopus coverage years: from 2010 to Present	CiteScore 2019 0.4	(j)
ISSN: 1755-1307 E-ISSN: 1755-1315 Subject area: Environmental Science: General Environmental Science Earth and Planetary Sciences: General Earth and Planetary Sciences	sjr 2019 0.175	(j)
View all documents > Set document alert Save to source list Journal Homepage	SNIP 2019 0.514	0
CiteScore CiteScore rank & trend Scopus content coverage		

i Improved CiteScore methodology CiteScore 2019 counts the citations received in 2016-2019 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2016-2019, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2016-2019. Learn more >

0.4 =

CiteScoreTracker 2020 ①

Last updated on 07 September, 2020 • Updated monthly

17,363 Citations to date

42,393 Documents to date

CiteScore 2019

 $0.4 = \frac{11,544 \text{ Citations 2016 - 2019}}{32,872 \text{ Documents 2016 - 2019}}$

52,872 Documents 2010 - 2017

 \sim

Calculated on 06 May, 2020

CiteScore rank 2019 ①



View CiteScore methodology > CiteScore FAQ > Add CiteScore to your site &

About Scopus

What is Scopus Content coverage Scopus blog Scopus API Privacy matters

Language

日本語に切り替える 切換到简体中文 切換到繁體中文 Русский язык

Customer Service

Help Contact us The 3rd International Conference on Biosciences (IcoBio) 2019 IPBICC, Indonesia, 8 August 2019



This is to certify that

Kartini, S.Si., M.Si., Apt., Ph.D.

has attended as

Oral Presenter

in the 3rd International Conference on Biosciences (ICoBio) 2019 organized by the Department of Biology, IPB University on 8 August 2019 in IPB International Convention Center (IPBICC), Bogor, Indonesia



Dr. Ir. Sri Nurdiati, MSC Dean of Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, IPB University Bogor, 8 August 2019

Dr. Ence Darmo Jaya Supena Organizing Committee Chairman The 3rd IcoBio 2019



The Committee of The 3rd International Conference on Biosciences (ICoBio) 2019 Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, IPB University Gedung Biologi, Jl. Agatis-Kampus IPB Dramaga Bogor 16680 Indonesia https://biologi.ipb.ac.id/web/en/page/icobio | social media: bioipb

IPB University