

ISSN 2345-0282 ONLINE
<http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4>

ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES

7(4)
2020

ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES

ISSN 2345-0282 (online) <http://jssidoi.org/jesi/>

2020 Volume 7 Number 4 (June)

<http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4>



Publisher

<http://jssidoi.org/esc/home>

Volume 7

Number 4

June 2020

ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES

2020
7(4)

The *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues* ISSN 2345-0282 (online) is a peer-reviewed journal, which publishes original research papers and case studies. It is international journal published cooperating with universities, social companies, consultancies and associations. It is published quarterly.

Areas of research include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Areas of research include, but are not limited to, the following:
- Conceptual/Practical Approaches and Methodologies towards Sustainable Entrepreneurship
- Globalization, Internationalization and Solutions for Low-Carbon Economies of Scope or Scale
- Innovations and Technology Transfer Pilot Results Advancing Entrepreneurship and Sustainability
- Information Technologies (IT) and Information Communication Technologies (ICT) for Entrepreneurship Sustainability, and Socio-Economic Innovations
- Environmental Engineering for Sustainability and Entrepreneurial Applications/Ventures
- Smart Electricity Grid Solutions for Sustainable Entrepreneurship
- Implementation of Renewable Energies (e.g. Harvesting, Storage, New Technologies Being Deployed/Developed, Innovative Market or Business Model Paradigms, etc.)
- Entrepreneurship, Security and Safety
- Sustainable Development, Entrepreneurship, Safety
- Threats to Society, Entrepreneurship and Regional Development
- Security and Safety of Countries, Regions and Society as Precondition of Sustainable Development and Sustainable Entrepreneurship
- Boarder Guard Issues. Regional Development and Entrepreneurship
- Migration and Sustainable Regional Development and Entrepreneurship
- Terrorism, International Crime, Regional Development and Sustainability
- Security and Safety Models for Sustainable Development of Societies and Businesses
- Emerging Business Drivers, Opportunities, or Constraints in Future Sectors of Current Markets
- Retrofitting Techniques and Technologies Near-Zero Energy Demand Buildings
- Urban Planning and Advanced Construction Materials for Energy-Efficiency or Smart Cities
- Modern Economics in the Context of Security, Comfort, or Legislation
- PPPs for Developments in Sustainable Entrepreneurship, Finance, and Investments
- Accounting and Entrepreneurship Issues
- Sustainable Entrepreneurship in the Context of Management or Life-Long Learning
- Strategic Management Practices and Creative Marketing Techniques for Sustainability
- Organizational Studies and Sustainable Entrepreneurship
- Entrepreneurship in the Context of Marketing, ICT, and Creative Industries
- Intercultural Communication for Sustainable Entrepreneurship
- Institutions and Entrepreneurship
- Case Studies on Entrepreneurship and Sustainable Development
- Social Cohesion and Social Innovation and Social Entrepreneurship
- Business Models and Strategic Management for Sustainable, Secure and Safe Futures
- Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Development
- Entrepreneurship in the Context of Psychology, Education, or Women
- Intuitions about Emerging Phenomena in Business and Society
- Start-Ups, Spin-Offs, SMEs in the Context of Market Growth And Exit
- Global Value Chain Management for Sustainable Entrepreneurship
- Knowledge Management for Sustainable Entrepreneurship, Safety and Security

All papers published in the *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues* are indexed/abstracted by:

Web of Science



Scopus® - Coverage starting with 2017 material, Q1

- ECONIS of the ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics <http://www.zbw.eu/EconBiz>
 - RePEc <http://repec.org/EconBiz>
 - Ulrich's Periodicals Directory
Serials Solutions <http://www.serialssolutions.com/>
 - ProQuest business <http://www.proquest.co.uk/en-UK/>
 - Crossref
 - Google Scholar
 - The European Library <http://www.theeuropeanlibrary.org>
 - Database Lituania <http://www.lituanistikadb.lt>
 - Index Copernicus International
-

Publisher:

ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SUSTAINABILITY CENTER

<http://jssidoi.org/esc/home>

Editorial correspondence including manuscripts and submissions:

Prof. dr. Manuela Tvaronavičienė

Tel.: +37068783944

E-mail: submissions@jssidoi.org or manuela.tvaronaviciene@jssidoi.org

This is an open access journal and all published articles are licensed under a
[Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

International Editorial Board

ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES

ISSN 2345-0282 (online)

Editors-in-Chief

- *Prof. **Abel Femi Adekola***, Wilkes University, USA

Editors

- *Prof. **Salvatore Monni***, Rome Tre University, Italy
- *Prof. **Armenia Androniceanu***, The Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Faculty of Administration and Public Management, Bucharest, Romania
- *Prof. **Fernando García***, Department of Economics and Social Sciences, Faculty of Business Administration and Management, Universitat Politècnica de Valencia (Polytechnic university of Valencia), Spain
- *Prof. **Malgorzata Porada Rochoń***, Faculty of Management and Economics of Services, University of Szczecin, Poland
- *Prof. **Francisco Guijarro***, Universitat Politècnica de Valencia (Polytechnic university of Valencia), Spain
- *Dr. **Nadia Simoes***, Instituto Universitário de Lisboa (ISCTE-IUL), ISCTE Business School Economics Department, Portugal
- *Dr. **Michal Fabuš***, School of Economics and Management in Public Administration in Bratislava
- *Dr. **Christopher J. Rees***, University of Manchester, United Kingdom
- *Dr. **Richard Haigh***, University of Salford, United Kingdom
- *Director, **Mercy Maclean***, Health Psychology Management Org. Services, United Kingdom
- *Prof. **Sharda Nandram***, HAN University of Applied Sciences and Nyenrode Business University, the Netherlands
- *Assoc. prof. Dr. Ing. **Rastislav Rajnoha***, Tomas Bata University in Zlín, Czech Republic, Paneuropean University Bratislava, Slovak Republic
- *Prof. **Inga Žalėnienė***, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
- *Prof. **Kristel Mari Skorge***, Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, Norway
- *Dr. **Leonardo Piccinetti***, Europe for Business, Brussels, Belgium
- *Prof. **Gunnar Prause***, Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia
- *Prof. **Irina Sennikova***, Riga International College of Economics and Business Administration, Latvia
- *Prof. **Natalja Lace***, Riga Technical University, Latvia
- *Prof. **Ona Gražina Rakauskienė***, Mykolas Romeris University, Lithuania
- *Prof. **Danuta Diskienė***, Vilnius University, Lithuania
- *Prof. **Mirjana Radović Marković***, Institute of Economic Sciences, Serbia
- *Prof. Ing. **Zuzana Dvorakova***, University of Economics, Czech Republic
- *Prof. **Ani Matei***, National School of Political Studies and Public Administration, Romania
- *Prof. **Murtala S. Sagagi***, Bayero University Kano, Nigeria
- *Dr. **Kavita Singh***, University of Delhi, India
- *Dr. **Ulku Yuksel***, The University of Sydney, Australia
- *Dr. **Juris Ulmanis***, Experiential Simulations, Canada
- *Dr. **Sarvar Gurbanov***, Qafqaz University, School of World Economy, Azerbaijan
- *Prof. **Mehmet Huseyin Bilgin***, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Turkey
- *Assist.Prof. **Meltem Caber***, Akdeniz University, Tourism Faculty, Turkey
- *Prof. **Marina Sheresheva***, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russian Federation
- *Prof. **Bora Aktan***, University of Bahrain, the Kingdom of Bahrain
- *Prof. **Svetlana Drobyazko***, European academy of sciences, Coventry, UK
- *Prof. **Malgorzata Porada Rochoń***, Faculty of Management and Economics of Services, University of Szczecin, Poland

Field editorial board members

- *MS. Ing. **Sylvain Robert***, Commissariat a L'Energie Atomique CEA, Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- *Prof. **Wolfgang Birk***, Computer Science, Lulea tekniska Universitet, Sweden
- *MSC. **Johan Desmedt***, Unit Energy Technology, Genk, Belgium
- *Dr. **Rizal Sebastian***, BArch, MSc., Expertise Centre of Building and Civil Engineering, Delft, Netherlands / DEMO Consultants BV Delft, Netherlands
- *Dr. **Edoardo Patti***, Politecnico di Torino, Italy
- *Dr. **Ilias Lamprinos***, Intracom Holdings SA, Telco Software Department, Greece

CONTENTS

1. P. Eko Prasetyo, N. Rahayu Kistanti. HUMAN CAPITAL, INSTITUTIONAL ECONOMICS AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP AS A DRIVER FOR QUALITY & SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC GROWTH	2575
2. Valdonė Indrasiene, Violeta Jegeleviciene, Odeta Merfeldaite, Daiva Penkauskiene, Jolanta Pivorienė, Asta Railienė, Justinas Sadauskas, Natalija Valaviciene. THE CRITICALLY THINKING EMPLOYEE: EMPLOYERS' POINT OF VIEW	2590
3. Marc Sanchez-Roger, María Dolores Oliver-Alfonso, Carlos Sanchís-Pedregosa, Norat Roig-Tierno. BAIL-IN AND INTERBANK CONTAGION RISK: AN APPLICATION OF FSQCA METHODOLOGY	2604
4. Sagyngali Seitzhanov, Nurlan Kurmanov, Mariana Petrova, Ulukbek Aliyev, Nazgul Aidargaliyeva STIMULATION OF ENTREPRENEURS' INNOVATIVE ACTIVITY: EVIDENCE FROM KAZAKHSTAN	2615
5. Henryk Dzwigol, Mariola Dzwigol-Barosz, Radosław Miskiewicz, Aleksy Kwilinski. MANAGER COMPETENCY ASSESSMENT MODEL IN THE CONDITIONS OF INDUSTRY 4.0	2630
6. Sugra Ingilab Humbatova, Sabuhi Miledin Oğlu Tanriverdiev, Igar Nariman Oglu Mamadov, Natig Gadim-Oglu Hajiyev. IMPACT OF INVESTMENT ON GDP AND NON-OIL GDP IN AZERBAIJAN	2645
7. Rita Remeikienė, Ligita Gasparėnienė, Viktoras Chadyšas, Evaldas Raistenskis. LINKS BETWEEN CORRUPTION AND QUALITY OF LIFE IN EUROPEAN UNION	2664
8. Lilik Sugiharti, Rudi Purwono, Miguel Angel Esquivias. ANALYSIS OF DETERMINANTS OF INDONESIAN AGRICULTURAL EXPORTS	2676
9. Mikhail V. Vinichenko, Alexander V. Melnichuk, Peter Karácsony. TECHNOLOGIES OF IMPROVING THE UNIVERSITY EFFICIENCY BY USING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: MOTIVATIONAL ASPECT	2696
10. Dinh Tran Ngoc Huy, My-Linh Thi Nguyen. EVALUATING IMPACTS OF A SEVEN FACTOR MODEL ON VIETCOMBANK STOCK PRICE – A CASE IN COMMERCIAL BANKING INDUSTRY IN VIETNAM	2715
11. Vasiliy Nechaev, Andrey Paptsov, Pavel Mikhailushkin, Sergey Arzhantsev. PRECONDITIONS OF SEEDS' PRODUCTION ENHANCEMENT: A CASE STUDY	2731
12. Svitlana Khalatur, Svitlana Khaminich, Oksana Budko, Olesia Dubovych, Oleksandr Karamushka. MULTIPLE SYSTEM OF INNOVATION-INVESTMENT DECISIONS ADOPTION WITH SYNERGETIC APPROACH USAGE	2745

13. Madina Serikova, Lyazzat Sembiyeva, Maryna Karpitskaya, Lyazzat Beisenova, Balsheker Alibekova, Aigerim Zhussupova. THE IMPORTANCE OF INNOVATIVE TOOLS APPLICATION IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF STATE TAX AUDIT	2764
14. Bagdat Spanova, Gulmira Nakipova, Galina Pestunova, Aigul Mukatay, Baldyrgan Jazykbayeva. NON-PROFIT SECTOR IN KAZAKHSTAN: INFLUENCE ANALYSIS AND DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES	2784
15. Alma Baiguzhinova, Rakymzhan Yelshibayev, Vladimir Meshkov. ECONOMIC POTENTIAL OF THE COMPANY: EVALUATION AND RESERVES OF ITS INCREASING: A CASE STUDY	2803
16. Sarapee Chanatup, Somnuk Aujirapongpan, Supit Ritkaew. THE INFLUENCE OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISM ON THE INTEGRATED FINANCIAL REPORTING AND INVESTMENT RISK OF THAI LISTED COMPANIES	2818
17. Bambang Tjahjadi, Iman Harymawan, Nur Sigit Warsidi. IMPLEMENTATION OF INTEGRATED REPORTING: A CROSS-COUNTRIES STUDY	2832
18. T.P. Danko, V.M. Kiselev, L.A. Chaykovskaya, P.A. Smelov, V.D. Sekerin, A.E. Gorokhova. MARKETING POSITIONING OF COUNTRIES IN THE FIELD OF INNOVATIONS: QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	2851
19. Mohamed Ali Al Mazrouei, Khalizani Khalid, Ross Davidson. DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION OF A SAFETY CLIMATE SCALE FOR UNITED ARAB EMIRATES OIL AND GAS INDUSTRIES TO ENHANCE THE PROCESS SAFETY PERFORMANCE	2863
20. Ivita Faituša, Rasa Subačienė, Aida Mačerinskienė. CHALLENGES OF OUTSOURCING ACCOUNTING IN LATVIA AND LITHUANIA	2883
21. Alexey Mikhaylov, Nikita Moiseev, Kirill Aleshin, Thomas Burkhardt. GLOBAL CLIMATE CHANGE AND GREENHOUSE EFFECT	2897
22. Revaz Gvelesiani, Murman Kvaratskhelia, Merab Khokhobaia, Giorgi Gaprindashvili. ENTREPRENEURIAL POLICY OF THE GERMAN MINING COMPANIES AND ITS IMPACT ON THE GEORGIAN ECONOMY IN THE LATE 19TH AND EARLY 20 TH CENTURIES	2914
23. Tatyana Pritvorova, Dinara Temirbyeva, Yelena Petrenko, Stanislav Benčíč. INCOME DISTRIBUTION PECULIARITIES OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN: A CASE STUDY	2924
24. Mithun S Ullal, Iqbal Thonse Hawaldar, Suhan Mendon, Nympha Joseph. THE EFFECT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON THE SALES GRAPH IN INDIAN MARKET	2940
25. Jaturon Jutidharabongse, Somnuk Aujirapongpan, Supit Ritkaew. DYNAMIC KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT CAPABILITY AND STRATEGIC INTUITION OF THAI ENTREPRENEURS	2955

26. Judith J. Hernández García de Velazco, Rafael Ravina Ripoll, Ana Cecilia Chumaceiro Hernandez. RELEVANCE AND SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY OF SUSTAINABLE UNIVERSITY ORGANIZATIONS: ANALYSIS FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF ENDOGENOUS CAPACITIES	2967
27. Ekaterina Y. Litau. SCORING METHOD AS APPLIED TO INNOVATION PROJECT EVALUATION FOR STARTUP SUPPORT	2978
28. Zeyad Alkhazali, Ayman Abu-Rumman, Naser Khdour, Khaleel Al-Daoud. EMPOWERMENT, HRM PRACTICES AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE: A CASE STUDY OF JORDANIAN COMMERCIAL BANKS	2991
29. Natalia Leonidovna Borscheva, Yulia Vyacheslavovna Fedorova, Marina Ivanovna Glukhova, Guzal Ilgizovna Islamova. IMPACT OF INNOVATIVE ACTIVITY OF ORGANIZATIONS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY: A CASE STUDY	3001
30. Iyabo Adeola Olanrele, Adedoyin Isola Lawal, Samuel Olatunde Dahunsi, Abiola Ayopo Babajide & Joseph Ojo IseOlorunkanmi. THE IMPACT OF ACCESS TO ELECTRICITY ON EDUCATION AND HEALTH SECTORS IN NIGERIA'S RURAL COMMUNITIES	3016
31. Tareq Rasul, Md. Rakibul Hoque, Md. Shamsul Arefin. THE INFLUENCE OF SOCIAL MEDIA ON FEMALE ENTREPRENEURS: A PRISMA COMPLIANT EXPLORATION	3036
32. Joo Yeon Park, Chang Soo Sung. A BUSINESS MODEL ANALYSIS OF BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY-BASED STARTUP	3048
33. Nuryakin, Tri Maryati. GREEN PRODUCT COMPETITIVENESS AND GREEN PRODUCT SUCCESS. WHY AND HOW DOES IS MEDIATING AFFECT EFFECT ON GREEN INNOVATION PERFORMANCE?	3061
34. Rafael Robina-Ramírez, Gert Human. HOW MACRO LEVEL FOUNDATIONS INFLUENCE EMERGING MICRO ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITIES: THE CASE OF SOUTH AFRICA	3078
35. Jeffrey O'Neal London, Nasir Jamil Sheikh. INNOVATION IN AFRICAN-AMERICAN HIGH-TECH ENTERPRISES: A MULTI-AGENT MODELING AND SIMULATION APPROACH	3101
36. Kristina Samašonok, Margarita Išoraitė, Lina Žirnelė. EDUCATION OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP BY PARTICIPATION IN A BUSINESS SIMULATION ENTERPRISE ACTIVITIES: CONDITIONS OF EFFECTIVENESS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT	3122
37. Arkadii A. Simdiankin, Pavel S. Probin, Larisa N. Gerasimova, Zhanna A. Kevorkova, Vadim A. Dikikh, Aslan O. Nurmanov. METHOD FOR EVALUATING THE POSSIBILITY OF CLUSTER FORMING	3145
38. Roman P. Bulyga, Alexsei A. Sitnov, Liudmila V. Kashirskaya, Irina V. Safonova. TRANSPARENCY OF CREDIT INSTITUTIONS	3158

39. Vladimir Lvovich Vasilev, Almaz Rafisovich Gapsalamov, Elvir Munirovich Akhmetshin, Tatyana Nikolaevna Bochkareva, Alexei Valerievich Yumashev, Tatyana Ivanovna Anisimova. DIGITALIZATION PECULIARITIES OF ORGANIZATIONS: A CASE STUDY	3173
40. Maigul Zhalelovna Kizatova, Sanavar Tuglukovna Azimova, Galiya Kuandykovna Iskakova, Farrukh Abdigapurovich Makhmudov, Almira Anuarbekovna Bekturganova. THE INTRODUCTION OF PECTIN-CONTAINING FOODS FOR THE COMPETITIVENESS OF ENTERPRISES	3191
41. Altynay B. Abuova, Saniya A. Tulkubayeva, Yuriy V. Tulayev, Svetlana V. Somova, Maigul Zh. Kizatova. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF THE CROP SECTOR WITH ELEMENTS OF PRECISION AGRICULTURE IN THE NORTHERN REGION OF KAZAKHSTAN	3200
42. Olena Kolomytseva, Olena Verenysh, Olena Danchenko, Olena Bielova, Tetyana Palonna, Serhii Pepchuk. FORMING OF INTEGRATED UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT TERMS: FAULK'S ALGORITHM AS ONE OF THE FORMALIZED APPROACHES	3215
43. Miloš Hitka, Silvia Lorincová, Milota Vetráková, Iveta Hajdúchová, Imrich Antalík. FACTORS RELATED TO GENDER AND EDUCATION AFFECTING THE EMPLOYEE MOTIVATION	3226
44. Rizky Eriandani, Kashan Pirzada, Melly Karina Kurniawan. THE ROLE OF AUDITOR CHARACTERISTICS: EARNINGS MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE EFFECTIVENESS	3242
45. Alexandr N. Dunets, Nataliia A. Gerasymchuk, Vladimir M. Kurikov, Elena E. Noeva, Mariya Y. Kuznetsova, Rustem A. Shichiyakh. TOURISM MANAGEMENT IN BORDER DESTINATIONS: REGIONAL ASPECTS OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF PROTECTED NATURAL AREAS	3253
46. Karlygash Kazbekova, Ainagul Adambekova, Sariya Baimukhanova, Gulzhihan Kenges, Daurenbek Bokhaev. BANK RISK MANAGEMENT IN THE CONDITIONS OF FINANCIAL SYSTEM INSTABILITY	3269
47. Kalamkas Rakhimzhanova, Serik Makysh, Botagoz Saparova, Baurzhan Isakov. PROBLEM LOAN MANAGEMENT IN THE COUNTRIES OF THE EURASIAN ECONOMIC UNION	3286
48. Yerkara Aymagambetov, Nadezhda Grazhevskaya, Ainur Tyngisheva. ESTIMATION THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PUBLIC GOVERNANCE OF THE HEALTH SYSTEM IN THE CONTEXT OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT	3309
49. Oscar Chakabva, Robertson K. Tengeh, Jobo Dubihlela. A HOLISTIC ASSESSMENT OF THE RISKS ENCOUNTERED BY FAST MOVING CONSUMER GOODS SMEs IN SOUTH AFRICA	3321
50. Kristína Pompurová, Jana Sokolová, David Cole, Radka Marčeková, Radoslav Kožiak. 200106THE QUESTION OF DEMAND FOR DOMESTIC AND OUTGOING VOLUNTEER TOURISM IN SLOVAKIA ARE VISITORS INTERESTED IN VOLUNTEER TOURISM? EVIDENCE FROM SLOVAKIA	3339

51. Sergey Tishkov, Anton Shcherbak, Valentina Karginova-Gubinova, Alexander Volkov, Arsen Tleppayev, Antonina Pakhomova. EXPERIENCE OF ASSESSMENT THE ROLE OF RENEWABLE ENERGY IN SOCIO-ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF RURAL AND ARCTIC REGIONS	3354
52. Khalid Abdullah Alkhathlan, Tarek Tawfik Yousef Alkhateeb, Haider Mahmood, Wardah Abdulrahman Bindabel. CONCENTRATION OF OIL SECTOR OR DIVERSIFICATION IN SAUDI ECONOMY: CONSEQUENCES ON GROWTH SUSTAINABILITY	3369
53. Mária Srebalová, Matej Horvat, Juraj Vačok, František Vojtech, Stanislav Filip. LEGAL OBSTACLES TO FREEDOM TO CONDUCT A BUSINESS: EXPERIENCE OF THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC	3385
54. Enni Savitri, Andreas, Almasdi Syahza, Tatang Ary Gumanti, Nik Herda Nik Abdullah. CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISM AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: THE MEDIATING EFFECT OF ROLE OF EARNINGS MANAGEMENT	3395
55. Nur Feriyanto. DETERMINANTS OF THE INDONESIA'S CURRENT ACCOUNT BALANCE: AN ERROR CORRECTION MODEL APPROACH	3410
56. Minimol M C. WOMEN ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN COASTAL KERALA: ROLE OF SELF HELP GROUPS IN DEVELOPING A SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY	3426
57. Zhanat Abzhan, Toty Bekzhanova, Anar Nukesheva, Mirzatilla Abdurakhmanov, Lyazzat Aitkhozhina, Zhanna Bulkhairova. PECULIARITIES OF YOUTH UNEMPLOYMENT: A CASE STUDY	3438
58. Neneng Nurhasanah, Nunung Nurhayati, Maman Surahman. MICRO FINANCE IN SHARIA RURAL BANKS IN INDONESIA: A CASE STUDY	3455
59. Sumathi Kumaraswamy. GOODS AND SERVICES TAX SHOCK ON SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES WORKING CAPITAL IN INDIA	3464
60. Nikolay I. Dorogov, Ivan A. Kapitonov. JUSTIFICATION OF THE CHOICE OF THE CONCESSION MECHANISM FOR PROJECTS ECONOMICALLY EFFICIENT FOR THE INFRASTRUCTURE OWNER	3477
61. Laura Igaliyeva, Shakizada Niyazbekova, Madina Serikova, Zita Kenzhegaliyeva, Galym Mussirov, Anna Zueva, Yuliya Tyurina, Leila Maisigova. TOWARDS ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY VIA ENERGY EFFICIENCY: A CASE STUDY	3488



Publisher

<http://jssidoi.org/esc/home>



THE ROLE OF AUDITOR CHARACTERISTICS: EARNINGS MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE EFFECTIVENESS

Rizky Eriandani ^{1*}, Kashan Pirzada ², Melly Karina Kurniawan ³

^{1, 3} Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Surabaya, Indonesia

² College of Business Tunku Puteri Intan Safinaz School of Accountancy, Universiti Utara Malaysia, Kedah, Malaysia

E-mails: ^{1*} rizky.eriandani@staff.ubaya.ac.id (Corresponding author)

Received 15 January 2020; accepted 10 May 2020; published 30 June 2020

Abstract. This study aims to analyze the moderating effect of auditor characteristics on the relationship between audit committee effectiveness and earnings management. Mechanisms of good corporate governance can limit and control the opportunistic actions of management. A highly effective audit committee will reduce the prevalence of earnings management. In addition to the audit committee as an internal party that oversees the credibility of financial statements, it is also necessary to supervise external parties, through the use of external auditors. With expectations of reducing earnings manipulation, this study examines the effects of the combination of an effective audit committee and an independent auditor. The research sample selection uses a purposive judgmental non-probability sampling technique. The sample obtained is 754 firm years, consisting of three years of company observations in the Indonesian capital market between 2016 and 2018, except those in the financial sector. Earnings management is measured by accrual value using a modified Jones model. The independent variable of the study is the effectiveness of the audit committee (EFAC) which will be assessed using the DeZoort index. The results of the empirical testing support the research hypothesis; the more effective the audit committee is and the longer the external audit period is, the more prevalent earnings management will be. In addition, the more effective the audit committee is, coupled with the use of one of the big four auditors, the less prevalent earnings management will be, which means the auditor's reputation also strengthens the relationship between the effectiveness of the audit committee and earnings management. Further, the moderating effect of auditor specialization on the influence of the audit committee on earnings manipulation did not provide significant results.

Keywords: earnings management; specialist auditor; audit committee; audit quality; governance

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Eriandani, R., Pirzada, K., Kurniawan, M. K. 2020. The Role of Auditor Characteristics: Earnings Management and Audit Committee Effectiveness. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(4). 3242-3252. [https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4\(44\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2020.7.4(44))

JEL Classifications: M41, M42, M49

1. Introduction

There are two main causes of corporate governance issues (Zgarni, Hlioui & Zehri, 2016). First, strong stakeholder pressure, rapid technological change and ever-changing environmental and social culture promotes good governance and demand transparency of financial reporting. Second, financial reporting issues in a number of companies trigger a decline in confidence in the accuracy of the information provided and adversely affect the behavior of stakeholders. Recently, in Indonesia, an accounting firm called Satrio Bing Eny & Partners (KAP SBE), which is an affiliate of Deloitte in Indonesia, experienced difficulty with the auditing process. Both public accountants from SBE's public accountant office, Malinna and Merliyana Syamsul, received administrative sanctions from the OJK. Based on a decision from the Center for Financial Professional Development (P2PK), both of them are unable to provide audit services to financial service entities for a period of one year. Sanctions given to SBE public accounting firms include the prohibition on the addition of new clients in the financial services sector (CNBC Indonesia, 2018).

In agency theory, information asymmetry triggers earnings management practices. Information asymmetry is a condition of information disparity between management and shareholders. The management, as the managers of the company, have access to more information, particularly in relation to the company's financial affairs, than the shareholders (Christiani & Nugrahanti, 2014). The data contained in financial statements can be manipulated by choosing certain accounting policies or arranging transactions to appear different from the actual conditions; this is also referred to as earnings management, the ultimate goal of which can mislead readers of financial statements about the company's performance (Healy & Wahlen, 1999). Good governance can control and regulate a company to create added value for each stakeholder. According to Inaam and Khamoussi (2016), the function of the governance mechanism in financial reporting is to ensure consistency between maintaining the credibility and reliability of a company's financial statements with financial accounting standards. Specifically, this study analyzes audit committees as part of corporate governance. Halim (2015) shows that the audit committee can reinforce the relationship between independent auditors and management. High quality audits conducted by external auditors and audit committees encourage a high quality of earnings (Becker et. al., 1998). The higher the effectiveness of the audit committee, the less prevalent earnings management will be (Abbott, Park, & Parker, 2000).

This paper enriches the existing literature, both theoretically and empirically, concerning the impact of audit committee characteristics on earnings management. Theoretically, it will expand on the agency theory and governance in improving the quality of financial reporting. Empirically, the results of research on interactions between audit committees and external auditors on the quality of reporting have been carried out in countries with advanced capital markets. Conversely, studies in countries with developing capital markets are still rare.

This paper consists of several sections. The previous section explained the background, objectives, and research contributions. The second section provides theories and logical thinking about developing research hypotheses. The research methods and models are contained in part three followed by the empirical results in part four. A discussion and critical evaluation with a consideration of previous findings is presented in the fifth section and the last section concludes the paper.

2. Literature Review

Agency theory and corporate governance are used in this study as a theoretical framework to investigate the preferences of managers in companies. The role of the audit committee and the characteristics of the auditor can be explained using this theory. It recognizes this as the most critical oversight mechanism that can reduce agency costs, manage conflicts of interest, and reduce earnings management. Audit committees and external auditors, as corporate governance actors, provide supervision that is expected to reduce the potential for earnings

management. Agency theory predicts that supervision ensures the quality of financial reporting (Zgarni et. al., 2016).

The big four public accounting firms are often assumed to have better audit quality, because they have an international reputation, have large clients, and use sophisticated technology in their activities. The interaction between the audit committee and the external auditor is related to the honesty and objectivity of the auditor, thereby reducing earnings management. The audit committee and external auditor aim to publish high-quality reports so they can carry out high-quality audits to maintain their reputation and avoid legal liability. Hence, it can be said that the audit committee and the use of external auditors can reduce agency problems and thereby reduce the prevalence of earnings management practices.

H1: The more effective the audit committee and the use of reputable external auditors, the less prevalent earnings management will be.

Mutmainnah and Wardhani (2013) suggest that auditors specializing in industrial practices tend to be more solution-oriented and responsive in carrying out audit procedures. Extensive experience and working hours in the relevant field will help the audit committee to conduct and advance the quality of supervision and control of financial statements. Zgarni et. al. (2016) show that companies that have an effective audit committee and industry specialization auditors can reduce earnings management. This is because there is a complementary relationship between the effectiveness of audit committees and industry specialization auditors in limiting earnings management practices.

H2: The more effective the audit committee, coupled with the use of specialized external auditors, the less prevalent earnings management will be.

The moderation effect of the audit committee and independent auditors can increase with the length of the audit period. This is because more effective audit committees tend to retain their auditors as long as the auditor can provide high-quality financial reporting (Meixner & Welker, 1988). According to Fitriany, Utama, Martani and Rosietta (2016), the longer an auditor remains engaged by a company, the more competent the auditor will be, because the auditor will obtain greater knowledge about the company's specific risks and its internal control systems.

H3: The more effective the audit committee and the longer the audit tenure, the less prevalent earnings management will be.

3. Research Methodology

The research sample selection uses a purposive judgmental non-probability sampling technique. The sample obtained was 754 years of the company, consisting of three years of observation between 2016 and 2018. The data used came from the audited financial statements and annual reports. Data can be obtained from the Indonesian capital market website or company website. The total sample has complete data and consists of all industries, except the financial sector, which has specific regulations.

3.1 Measurement of Variables

The dependent variable of this study is earnings management which is proxied by discretionary accrual (DAC), measured using the Modified Jones Model on a cross-sectional basis according to Dechow et. al. (1995). The discretionary accrual calculation using the Modified Jones Model is as follows:

a. Total accruals (TA) calculated using a formula:

$$\frac{TA_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}} = \beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{A_{i,t-1}} \right) + \beta_2 \left(\frac{\Delta REV_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}} \right) + \beta_3 \left(\frac{PPE_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}} \right) + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

b. Non-discretionary accruals calculated using a formula:

$$NDA_{i,t} = \beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{A_{i,t-1}} \right) + \beta_2 \left(\frac{\Delta REV_{i,t} - \Delta REC_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}} \right) + \beta_3 \left(\frac{PPE_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}} \right) \quad (2)$$

c. Discretionary accruals calculated using a formula:

$$DAC_{i,t} = \frac{TA_{i,t}}{A_{i,t-1}} - NDA_{i,t} \quad (3)$$

The independent variables in this study are the effectiveness of the audit committee and audit quality. The measurement of the effectiveness of the audit committee refers to the research of DeZoort, Hermanson, Archambeault and Reed (2002), while the measurement of audit quality refers to the research of Zgarni et. al. (2016).

3.2 Audit Committee Effectiveness (ACEff)

Audit committee effectiveness is measured by the total score of the audit committee effectiveness index by reference to DeZoort et. al. (2002) with a maximum score of 14. The four dimensions that determine the effectiveness of the audit committee are (table 1):

Table 1. Audit Committee Effectiveness Index

Dimension	Code	Proxy	Scoring
Composition	ACIND	Audit Committee Independence All members of the audit committee must come from outside the company or independent parties	1;0
	ACEXP	Audit committee Expertise At least one member has a background in accounting or finance	1;0
Authority	ACCHART	Audit Committee Charter Proxy statement regarding the audit committee agreement (work program)	1;0
	ACDUTY	Audit Committee Responsibility If the company writes in detail about the duties and responsibilities of the audit committee on financial information, it is given a score of 2. If the company writes a brief statement about the review conducted by the audit committee on the company's financial information, it is given a score of 1. If there is no	2;1;0

		disclosure, then given a score of 0.	
Resources	ACSIZE	Audit Committee Size The audit committee has a minimum of 3 members	1;0
Diligence	ACMEET	Audit Committee Meeting The audit committee meeting at least 4 times a year	1;0
	ACVOLDIS	Audit Committee Voluntary Disclosure The audit committee voluntarily discloses more financial information than other regulations or companies	1;0

The measurement of audit characteristics is by reference to Zgarni et. al. (2016) using three proxies. First, the auditor's reputation (REPUT) is given a score of 1 if the company is audited by one of the Big Four Public Accounting Firm and 0 if it is audited by a Non-Big Four Public Accounting Firm. Second, specialization of the auditor industry (SPEC), if the company being audited with a market share of more than 10%, then it is worth 1 and if less than 10% will be worth 0. The total sales of clients audited by KAP in the industry are divided by total sales in the industry. Third, the audit period (TENURE) is the number of consecutive years the client maintains the KAP. The number of tenure years is counted backward, starting from year t (research year) and traced to the year the client moves to another auditor.

3.3 Regression Model

$$DAC_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 ACEff_{it} + \beta_2 REPUT_{it} + \beta_3 ACEff_{it} \times REPUT_{it} + \beta_4 FSIZE_{it} + \beta_5 LEV_{it} + \beta_6 MTB_{it} + \beta_7 ROA_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$DAC_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 ACEff_{it} + \beta_2 SPEC_{it} + \beta_3 ACEff_{it} \times SPEC_{it} + \beta_4 FSIZE_{it} + \beta_5 LEV_{it} + \beta_6 MTB_{it} + \beta_7 ROA_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$DAC_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 ACEff_{it} + \beta_2 TENURE_{it} + \beta_3 ACEff_{it} \times TENURE_{it} + \beta_4 FSIZE_{it} + \beta_5 LEV_{it} + \beta_6 MTB_{it} + \beta_7 ROA_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

DAC, Discretionary accruals at the company i for years t. ACEff_{it}, The effectiveness of the audit committee is measured using the Dezoort index. REPUT, auditor's reputation (1 if audited by a Big Four public accountant and 0 if not). SPEC, Specialization of auditor industry. TENURE, length of the audit engagement. ACEff x REPUT, Interaction between the effectiveness of the audit committee and the auditor's reputation. ACEff x SPEC, Interaction between the effectiveness of the audit committee and the auditor's specialization. ACEff x TENURE, Interaction between the effectiveness of the audit committee and the audit period. SIZE, company size (Ln of total assets). LEV, Leverage (total debt divided by total assets). MTB, market to book ratio (share market value divided by book value of equity). ROA, return on assets (net profit divided by total assets).

4. Results

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics for the 754 company years in the sample are presented in Table 2. The average absolute DAC is 4.11% of total assets, with a standard deviation of 0.17. TENURE has a value minimum and maximum of 1 and 20. Frequency statistical results show that Big Four auditors represent 27.6% of the sample companies, while companies audited by non-Big 4 audit firms represent less than 72.4% of the sample. In addition, the overall industry specialization (SEPC) average was 28.4%.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

	Min	Max	Mean	SD
DAC _{it}	-1,0113	1,5768	-0,0411	0,1771
ACEff	1	14	9,28	2,5040
TENURE	1	20	6,66	5,2340
ACEff x REPUT	0,00	14,00	3,0557	5,0600
ACEff x SPEC	0,00	14,00	3,0822	5,0409
ACEff x TENURE	1	252	65.0013	58,7541
FSIZE	9,9753	14,7541	12,4030	0,7145
LEV	0,00006	22.6105	0,5391	1,0019
MTB	-7,1200	26,2000	1,9554	2,6224
ROA	-1,0099	2,1920	0,0285	0,1376
n = 754				

4.2 Results of the Regressions

The results of the coefficient of determination are presented in Table 3. Models 1, 2, and 3 in this study show an adjusted R² value of 0.384, 0.383, and 0.395. Table 3 also shows that the independent variable has a significant simultaneous effect on DAC_{it} because the significance value is below 0.05 or 5%. For model 1, 2 and 3, the F test results were 68.150, 67.833, and 71.183 with a significance value of 0.000. The coefficient of the interaction variable between audit effectiveness and the auditor's reputation (ACEff × REPUT) is negative and significant. These results support H1, which is that a higher audit committee effectiveness, and the presence of Big Four auditors will reduce earnings management. The effectiveness score of the audit committee and auditor specialist (ACEff × SPEC) is not significant meaning H2 is not supported. The coefficient of the interaction variable between audit effectiveness and the auditor's tenure (ACEff × TENURE) is negative and significant meaning H3 is supported.

Table 3. Regression Results (Audit Committee and Earning Management)

	(1)		(2)		(3)	
	t	Sig.	t	Sig.	t	Sig.
Constant	-2,153**	0,016	-2.301**	0,011	-2.846***	0,003
ACEff	-0,562	0,287	-0.560	0,287	1.986**	0,023
REPUT	1,539*	0,062				
SPEC			1.144	0,126		
TENURE					3.946***	0,000
ACEff x REPUT	-1,337*	0,090				
ACEff x SPEC			-0.988	0,162		
ACEff x TENURE					-3.656***	0,00
FSIZE	1,638*	0,051	1.789**	0,037	1.422*	0,078
LEV	-1,072	0,142	-1.069	0,142	-1.156	0,124
MTB	-3,849***	0,000	-3.941***	0.00	-4.083***	0.00
ROA	21,056***	0,000	21,155***	0,00	21,274***	0,00
F	68,150***		67,833***		71,183***	
n	745					
Adj. R2	0,384		0,383		0,395	

* Significant level 0.1; ** Significant level 0.05; *** Significant level 0.01

5. Discussion

The relationship between ACEff x REPUT and DAC in the tables above shows that there is a significant and negative effect. This indicates that hypothesis 1 is accepted, which means that the more effective the audit committee is, coupled with the use of a big four auditor, the less prevalent earnings management will be. This is consistent with the study of Alves (2013) which shows that the interaction between the effectiveness of the audit committee and the use of a big four auditor has a significant negative effect on earnings management. Rahmadani and Haryanto (2018) also found that the use of the big four external auditors had a significant negative effect on earnings management. Big four auditors have better incentives to detect and disclose reporting errors made by management. Big four auditors are more at risk when an audit failure occurs, so that the big four auditor can more effectively monitor the auditee. The audit committee has a close relationship with external auditors. The audit committee and external auditor have obligation to publish high quality financial statements. The oversight mechanism by the audit committee or external auditor cannot be carried out independently and separately because supervision they provide is a unity in the corporate governance structure.

The results in the tables above show that ACEff x SPEC has no significant relationship to DACit. This indicates that hypothesis 2 is rejected, which means that the effectiveness of the audit committee and the use of specialist auditors do not have a significant effect on earnings management. These results contradict previous studies, such as Zgarni et. al. (2016), which concludes that the complementary relationship between the effectiveness of the audit committee and auditor specialists will lower the prevalence of earnings management. The inability of auditor specialization to reduce the level of earnings management in the Indonesian stock market is perhaps because specialization is still in the initial stages in Indonesia. Further, only a small number of companies are audited by specialized auditors. The results of this study are in line with Dian and Yuyetta (2013), which states that auditors who are specialized in specific industries have no significant effect on earnings management. This

might be due to weak law enforcement in Indonesia, which makes auditors less able to develop the ability to detect earnings management practices. Auditors who are specific to certain industries and those not specific to certain industries have not been able to detect earnings management because the object of manipulation is not a financial report, but a process of reducing discretionary costs. This research is also in line with Fung, Gul and Krishnan (2012), which states that auditors who have experience and expertise are not necessarily independent and objective because they tend to retain their clients. Hence, it can be concluded that the ACEff and SPEC variables respectively do not have a significant effect on earnings management, and if the ACEff variable is modulated with SPEC, this also does not have a significant effect on earnings management.

Table 3 shows that ACEff x TENURE has a significant effect on DACit. This indicates that hypothesis 3 is accepted, which means the more effective the audit committee is, and the longer the external audit period, the less prevalent earnings management will be. This result is in line with Inaam and Khamoussi (2016), who state that an effective audit committee and a long audit period can reduce earnings management. Meixner and Welker (1988) suggest that interactions between audit committees and external auditors can increase with increasing audit periods (tenure) because more effective audit committees tend to retain auditors as long as the auditor can provide high-quality financial reporting. Further, Fitriany et. al. (2016) suggest that the longer the audit period, the more competent an auditor will be because they are able to achieve a deeper understanding of the company's internal controls, accounting information systems, and company-specific risks. This is also supported by Gul, Jaggi and Krishnan (2007), who state that auditors who do not have a deep understanding of clients will tend to depend on estimates and information provided by client companies. Audit quality and tenure have a non-linear or quadratic relationship, so that when it reaches its' optimal point, the independence of the auditor will decrease which will affect the auditor's relationship with the client, and will ultimately result in decreased audit quality. In the early years of audit assignments, there are many audit errors meaning that a longer audit period will result in better audit quality.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study examines the effect of auditor characteristics on the relationship between audit committee effectiveness and earnings management practices in Indonesian companies. Acknowledging that Indonesia has an environment with different characteristics and regulations for company operations compared to other countries, this justifies an investigation of the problem in the Indonesian context. This study contributes to agency theory, enriching our understanding of how to effectively reduce agency costs by forming audit committees in Asian countries such as Indonesia. Countries with inadequate legal protection such as Indonesia will need more effective audit committees than countries with good legal protection.

The empirical results support the agency theory and corporate governance theory. The relationship between the audit committee and the quality of the external audit, which is an important mechanism of corporate governance, can reduce the manipulation of financial statements. The increased effectiveness of the audit committee and the high quality of auditors, which is characterized by the Big Four auditor, will be able to mitigate the possibility of earnings management. The effectiveness of the audit committee and the length of the audit work period can also reduce earnings management. However, specialist auditors and audit committee effectiveness cannot reduce earnings manipulation.

This study has some limitations. First, this research cannot be generalized to the entire world, particularly for developed countries. The results of the study may illustrate the condition of states with diffuse or no pyramid ownership, low investor protection, family ownership is common, and weak dependence on public debt. In addition, managers in developed countries often use more complex techniques, which are less likely to be captured with the accrual model in this study, thus making information for investors more opaque.

Secondly, earnings manipulation will be able to eliminate or reduce the ability of the cross-sectional expectation accrual model to detect earnings management actions. Third, estimates and choices of accounting policies are usually decided to signal private information. The use of one model to measure earnings management is subject to criticism.

Future research may wish to include aspects or other measures of earnings quality, such as actual earnings management or income smoothing. Further, it is also recommended that other measures of good corporate governance be used in future research. Other considerations include politically connected variables in the selection of company CEOs and state governments, as well as the role of audit committees in organizations with political connections in Indonesia.

References

- Abbott, L. J., Park, Y., & Parker, S. (2000). The effects of audit committee activity and independence on corporate fraud. *Managerial Finance*, 26(11) <https://doi.org/10.1108/03074350010766990>
- Alves, S. (2013). The impact of audit committee existence and external audit on earnings management. *Journal of financial reporting & accounting*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/jfra-04-2012-0018>
- Alexander, N. 2019. Ownership Structure and Earnings Management, *Acc. Fin. Review*. 4(2), 38-42. [https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2019.4.2\(1\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2019.4.2(1))
- Becker, C. L., DeFond, M. L., Jiambalvo, J., & Subramanyam, K. R. (1998). The effect of audit quality on earnings management. *Contemporary accounting research*, 15(1), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1911-3846.1998.tb00547.x>
- Christiani, I., & Nugrahanti, Y. W. (2014). The effect of audit quality on earnings management. *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 16(1), 52-62. <https://doi.org/10.9744/jak.16.1.52-62>
- DeZoort, F. T., Hermanson, D. R., Archambeault, D. S., & Reed, S. A. (2002). Audit committee effectiveness: A synthesis of the empirical audit committee literature. *Audit Committee Effectiveness: A Synthesis of the Empirical Audit Committee Literature*, 21, 38. <https://digitalcommons.kennesaw.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://scholar.google.com/&httpsredir=1&article=2496&context=facpubs>
- Dian, F. D. F., & Yuyetta, E. N. A. (2013). Effects of Free Cash Flow, KAP Size, KAP Industry Specialization, Tenure Audit and Auditor Independence on Profit Management. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 166-174.
- Firnanti, F., Pirzada, K., Budiman. 2019. Company Characteristics, Corporate Governance, Audit Quality Impact on Earnings Management, *Acc. Fin. Review*, 4(2), 43-49. [https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2019.4.2\(2\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2019.4.2(2))
- Fitriany, F., Utama, S., Martani, D., & Rosietta, H. (2015). The Effect of Tenure, Rotation and Specialization of Public Accounting Firms (KAP) on Audit Quality: Comparison Before and After Regulation of KAP Rotation in Indonesia. *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 17 (1), 12-27. <https://doi.org/10.9744/jak.17.1.12-27>
- Fung, S. Y. K., Gul, F. A., & Krishnan, J. (2012). City-level auditor industry specialization, economies of scale, and audit pricing. *The Accounting Review*, 87(4), 1281-1307. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-10275>
- Gul, F. A., Jaggi, B. L., & Krishnan, G. V. (2007). Auditor independence: Evidence on the joint effects of auditor tenure and nonaudit fees. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 26(2), 117-142. <https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2007.26.2.117>
- Hasib, F. F., Iswati, S., & Ramadhan, D. S. (2017). Islamic Model of Corporate Governance at The Islamic Boarding School, *J. Bus. Econ. Review*, 2(1), 56-63. [https://doi.org/10.35609/jber.2017.2.1\(7\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/jber.2017.2.1(7))
- Halim, A. (2015). *Auditing: Fundamentals of Audit of Financial Statements* (5th ed.). Jogjakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.

- Healy, P. M., & Wahlen, J. M. (1999). A review of the earnings management literature and its implications for standard setting. *Accounting horizons*, 13(4), 365-383. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch.1999.13.4.365>
- Husaini; Pirzada, K., Saiful. (2020). Risk Management, Sustainable Governance Impact on Corporate Performance. *Journal of Security and Sustainability Issues*, 9(3), 993-1004. [https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2020.9.3\(23\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jssi.2020.9.3(23))
- Inaam, Z., & Khamoussi, H. (2016). Audit committee effectiveness, audit quality and earnings management: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 58(2) <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-01-2015-0006>
- Jasrial; Puspitasari, S; Muktiyanto, A. (2018). Earnings Management, Effective Tax Rate (ETR) and Book-Tax Gap (BTG), *Acc. Fin. Review*, 3(1), 33-43. [https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2018.3.1\(5\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2018.3.1(5))
- Meixner, W. F., & Welker, R. B. (1988). Judgment consensus and auditor experience: An examination of organizational relations. *Accounting Review*, 505-513. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/247832>
- Mohanadas, N.D; Salim, ASA., Ramasamy, S. (2019). A Theoretical Review on Corporate Tax Avoidance: Shareholder Approach versus Stakeholder Approach, *J. Fin. Bank. Review*, 4(3), 82-88. [https://doi.org/10.35609/jfbr.2019.4.3\(1\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/jfbr.2019.4.3(1))
- Mutmainnah, N., & Wardhani, R. (2013). Analysis of the impact of the quality of the audit committee on the quality of the company's financial statements with audit quality as a moderating variable. *Indonesian Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 10(2), 147-170. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21002/jaki.2013.08>
- Nadhir, Z., Ratna Wardhani, R. (2019). The effect of audit quality and degree of international Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) convergence on the accrual earnings management in ASEAN countries. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(1), 105-120. [http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1\(9\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(9))
- Pirzada, K., Mustapha, M.Z. and Alfian, E.B., (2017). Antecedents of Ethnic Diversity: The Role of Nomination Committees. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 11(S1), pp.103-119.
- Pirzada, K. (2016). Providers and users' perception of voluntary need of human resource disclosure: A content analysis. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 14(2), 232-242. <http://doi.org/10.17512/pjms.2016.14.2.22>
- Pradipta, A; Zalukhu, A.G. (2020). Audit Report Lag: Specialized Auditor and Corporate Governance, *Global J. Bus. Soc. Sci. Review*, 8(1), 41-48. [https://doi.org/10.35609/gjbssr.2020.8.1\(5\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/gjbssr.2020.8.1(5))
- Rahmadani, S., & Haryanto, H. (2018). Profit Management: The Active Role of the Big Four Audit Committee and External Auditors (Empirical Study of Manufacturing Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2013-2015). *Journal of Actual Accounting*, 5(1), 46-62. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17977/um004v5i12018p046>
- Solikhah, B., Della Firmansyah, N., & Pirzada, K. (2017). The Influence of Effective BOC on Choice of Auditor. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 25(S), 271-280.
- Susanto, Y. K., Pirzada, K., & Adrienne, S. (2019). Is tax aggressiveness an indicator of earnings management?. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 20(2) 516-527. <http://doi.org/10.17512/pjms.2019.20.2.43>
- Suryani, A., & Pirzada, K. (2018). Analysis of opportunistic behavior of management to company performance. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 18(1), 379-388. <http://doi.org/10.17512/pjms.2018.18.1.28>
- Wati, L.N., Primiana, H.I., Pirzada, K., Sudarsono, R. (2019). Political connection, blockholder ownership and performance, *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(1), 52-68. [http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1\(5\)](http://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(5))
- Wimelda, L; Chandra, A. (2018). Opportunistic Behavior, External Monitoring Mechanisms, Corporate Governance, and Earnings Management, *Acc. Fin. Review*, 3(1), 44-52 [https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2018.3.1\(6\)](https://doi.org/10.35609/afr.2018.3.1(6))
- Zgarni, I., Hlioui, K., & Zehri, F. (2016). Effective audit committee, audit quality and earnings management. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 6(2) <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-09-2013-0048>

Rizky ERIANDANI

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0280-542X>

Kashan PIRZADA

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1186-0631>

Melly Karina KURNIAWAN

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8063-0122>

Copyright © 2020 by author(s) and VsI Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Center

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

