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Abstract
Fish oil contains omega-3 as an anti-inflammatory effect that can inhibit the production of arachidonic acid 5-lipoxygenase (ALOX5), an enzyme that aggravates the inflammation of the lungs that cause asthma. This study aims to determine the effect of omega-3 from fish oil on improving lung function with peak expiratory flow (PEF) value in patients with outpatient asthma in Surabaya. The research design used in this research is pre-post test design and lung function examination by using a peak flow meter. Then follow up every week for four weeks during the use of fish oil, and the results of his research were analyzed by t test. The study was conducted from April 2017 until January 2018 in Surabaya. The sample of the study was 27 adult asthma patients. The results showed that most of the study subjects had an increase in PEF value every week. Besides, there was a significant increase of PEF values gradually at T0 (before intervention) to T4 (intervention for four weeks), indicating an improved effect after the use of fish oil in a four-week study sample. In conclusion, fish oil containing omega-3 is effective in improving lung function in outpatient asthma patients.
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Introduction

Asthma is defined as chronic inflammation of the airways, with respiratory symptoms such as wheezing, shortness of breath, chest tightness, and coughing that vary over time and intensity, together with variable limitations of expiratory airflow.¹ The Ministry of Health estimates that asthma is among the top 10 causes of illness and death in hospitals.² According to Global Burden data, asthma has increased and is now ranked 28th as a disease that affects one’s adjusted-life year.³ Adverse effects of asthma include decreased quality of life, decreased productivity, absence from school, increased health costs, increased risk of hospitalization, and even death.⁴

The treatment has now shifted from synthetic drugs to nutritional treatments. Therapy with synthetic drugs still often causes drug-related problems, both in drug selection, difficulties in using tools, the emergence of side effects, to the cost burden that is greater than it should be.⁵⁻¹² Lifestyle consumption of nutrients plays an essential role in developing several diseases, including inflammatory diseases as a cause of asthma.⁵ Consumption of fish can prevent asthma in adult patients. Research shows that fish consumption at least once a month can reduce the risk of asthma.¹³ Populations with high intakes of fish also have low levels of asthma. This theory states that fish oil content can reduce inflammation in the lung channels leading to asthma attacks.¹⁴ Fish oil is a very effective nutrient containing essential omega-3 fatty acids and can be absorbed easily. Fish oil contains docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA).¹³ Omega-3 contained in fish oil is considered a potential therapy for asthma and other inflammatory diseases. Fish oil inhibits the production of arachidonic acid 5-lipoxygenase (ALOX5), an enzyme that exacerbates inflammation of the lungs that causes asthma.¹⁵

Indonesia, a maritime country, has excellent fish production potential, which can process fish oil, such as salmon, cod, etc. Meanwhile, several studies related to fish oil have also been carried out, which contain omega-3 as conducted by Aprizayanti,¹⁶ and Santoso et al.¹⁷ However, there are no studies related to the effect of omega-3 in fish oil on asthma improvement. Control of asthma symptoms is also related to the limitations of the expiratory airflow variable. The function of lung expiration varies from time to time and is more significant than in healthy populations.¹ Many methods for assessing lung physiology have been widely accepted as a standard. It is spirometry and peak expiratory flow (PEF) examinations. PEF self-monitoring can be useful in asthma management, especially in those with low perceptions of their airways.¹⁸ Peak expiratory flow has a unique role in the initial investigation of asthma work, where pulmonary testing functions are often needed.¹⁸

Hopefully, this research can improve knowledge related to the nutrition of asthma. Also, pharmacists’ role supports the management of asthma and provides information, counseling, and education. So, they better understand the treatment regimen given so patients can play an active role in their treatment, improving their compliance with the drug. In the integrated team, the pharmacist’s role is to provide recommendations in the selection of appropriate drugs based on the patient’s condition obtained from the results of the interview and the results of the doctor’s diagnosis.¹⁴ This study aims to determine the effect of omega-3 from fish oil on lung function improvement with PEF values in outpatient adult asthma patients in Surabaya.

Methods

The research design was the pre-post test design, examining lung function using a peak flow meter. The study was conducted from April 2017 until January 2018, with ethical tests that have been conducted and get number 004/KE/1/2017 at the Institutional Ethical Committee of the University of Surabaya. The composition in fish oil given was 1000 mg omega-3, 180 mg EPA, 120 mg DHA. Fish oil was given to patients and then followed up every week for four weeks with a once-daily dose that was proven to consume fish oil at least once a month can reduce the risk of asthma, with a dose of 1 gram to 5.4 grams per day.¹⁹ So, in this study, selected fish oil with a dose of 1.0 gram.

This study’s variable was the value of PEF in outpatient asthma patients. The peak flow meter was a measure of PEF. Peak expiratory flow (PEF) can indicate the degree of limited airflow. For each type of peak flow meter, the PEF value obtained was also different. Respondents were asked to measure lung function’s value using a peak flow meter 3 times and see the best value. Measurement using a peak flow meter was performed by patients with the researchers’ assistance six times in 6 weeks after consuming.
fish oil for one week.

The study population was outpatient asthma patients. The research sample was with the following inclusion criteria were adults age 18–45 years, and patients were willing to participate in the study voluntarily after receiving informed consent. Exclusion criteria: (1) having a disease that can affect the data collection process requires a differential diagnosis. These diseases include bronchitis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), sinusitis, vocal cord dysfunction, bronchiectasis, heart disease, alpha1 deficiency-antitrypsin, pulmonary embolism, and kidney disorders. It also excludes patients, which is (2) smoking or stopping smoking <2 years, and (3) patients who used routine asthma medication (controller). The research subjects were obtained by purposive sampling method.

A paired t test was used to determine the presence or absence of an average difference between 2 groups of related samples. One sample underwent two different treatments. Test the difference between observations before and after therapy, using paired t test. This pooled t test was used to determine whether there was an average difference between the two unrelated sample groups. Test the difference between observing PEF values at week 0 and week 4 in patients receiving fish oil therapy.

**Results**

In Table 1, explaining the number of samples (subjects) of male research was less than female respondents, with most ages ranging from 17–25 years. Most subjects (10 of 27) used a short-acting beta-2 agonist by the inhalation route
(salbutamol MDI) as asthma therapy was used only when asthma symptoms appeared.

Most research subjects experienced an increase every week after the use of fish oil (Table 2). In Table 3, it was known that there was an increase in PEF values at T0 to T4. It showed an improved effect after the use of fish oil in the study sample for four weeks. However, the increase did not occur similarly every week. T2–T1 had an average increase of 22.41 L/sec, T3–T2 had an average increase of 3.33 L/sec, and T4–T3 had an average increase of 26.11 L/sec.

The normality test used Shapiro-Wilk because of the number of samples <50 subjects. Normality tests regarding the research samples’ PEF values at T0, T1, T2, T3, and T4 found that T1, T2, T3, and T4 groups were normally distributed (p>0.05). However, the t0 group was not normally distributed (non-parametric) (p<0.05, Table 4).

The normality test in Table 4 used a paired t test if it is normally distributed while a normally distributed Wilcoxon signed-rank test is used. Table 5 showed that there was a significant

Conclusion: all have increased but the numbers are not significant every week

Table 3 Average Peak Expiratory Flow Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of Data Collection for PEF</th>
<th>Average PEF Value (L/sec)</th>
<th>Changes in PEF values from Before Therapy compared to when Given Fish Oil Therapy</th>
<th>Average (x̄)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>217.96</td>
<td>x̄T0-T0=55.19</td>
<td>x̄T0-T4=107.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>273.15</td>
<td>x̄T1-T0=55.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>295.56</td>
<td>x̄T2-T1=22.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>298.89</td>
<td>x̄T3-T2=3.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>325.00</td>
<td>x̄T4-T3=26.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: PEF=peak expiratory flow; T0=week 0, the study sample has not received the intervention; T1=in the first week, the test group’s research sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for one week; T2=in the 2nd week, the test group sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for two weeks; T3=in the 3rd week, the test group’s research sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for three weeks; T4=in the 4th week, the test group’s study sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for four weeks

Conclusion: all experienced an increase in PEF values after 4 weeks on average by 107.04

Table 4 Normality Test for Peak Expiratory Flow Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>0.089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Shapiro-Wilk test; T0=week 0, the study sample has not received the intervention; T1=in the first week, the test group’s research sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for one week; T2=in the 2nd week, the test group sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for two weeks; T3=in the 3rd week, the test group’s research sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for three weeks; T4=in the 4th week, the test group’s study sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for four weeks; p>0.05 means normal distribution and p<0.05 means that the distribution is not normal

Table 5 Statistical Tests of Peak Expiratory Flow Intervention Groups by Ratio Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To and T1</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To and T2</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To and T3</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To and T4</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 and T2</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 and T3</td>
<td>0.013**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 and T4</td>
<td>0.017**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Wilcoxon signed-rank test; */paired t test; T0=week 0, the study sample has not received the intervention; T1=in the first week, the test group’s research sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for one week; T2=in the 2nd week, the test group sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for two weeks; T3=in the 3rd week, the test group’s research sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for three weeks; T4=in the 4th week, the test group’s study sample had received the intervention (fish oil) for four weeks; p>0.05: Ho is rejected, meaning there is no significant difference; p<0.05: Ho accepted means that there are significant differences
increase every week in the PEF values of study subjects. The most significant increase occurred in the first week (T1) of fish oil administration compared to before therapy (T0, Table 3).

Discussion

The PEF value estimated the peak expiratory rate. It is the fastest measure of airspeed exhaled by the lungs after inhaling a long breath expressed in units of liters per second (L/sec). Peak flow meters were used to assess the PEF. The peak flow in patients may show changes before the patient experiences an exacerbation.20 Previous studies using PEF values as clinical outcomes for asthma therapy have been conducted by Burkhart et al.,21 Harrison et al.,22 and Ramsay et al.23

Table 2 showed an increase in PEF values at T0 to T4, indicating an improved effect after using fish oil in the study sample for four weeks. Table 5 showed a significant change every week, but the increase does not occur the same every week. It was due to several factors that can affect the PEF value, such as differences in activity and weather every week that cannot be controlled. The researcher cannot control the activity because the study sample is a student who has a dense activity. Besides that, the research is also carried out during the rainy season, which can increase the research sample's sensitivity so that it causes the emergence of asthma exacerbations.

It was also strengthened by the results of statistical analysis test results of PEF values between T0, T1, T2, T3, T4, and K1, which have p=0.000 (p<0.005) then Ho was accepted, meaning that the value of PEF after the use of fish oil was significantly different statistics. Researchers choose four weeks as the minimum time for fish oil intervention because a previous study stated that fish oil's effects appeared after a minimum consumption of 4 weeks. However, there was no further research if fish oil is consumed for more than four weeks. It was expected that further research would be on the effect of improving PEF values after fish oil use for more than four weeks.

Several factors affect the PEF value and can be controlled by researchers, such as food and drugs consumed by research subjects. Control of this factor is done by providing a logbook containing a list of foods and medicines consumed daily by the study sample for four weeks of research. Factors characteristic of the study sample that can affect the PEF value include gender, physical activity, nutrition/food intake, asthma treatment used, occupation, and lung function.

According to Zein and Erzurum,24 in women, the influence of estrogen and progesterone hormones cause a high risk of asthma during and after puberty. Asthma is, therefore, more common in women after puberty compared to men.1 The same thing was expressed by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in 2016, which said that women's prevalence was higher than men's.25 Respondents in this study were teenagers aged 17–25 years at 96.15%, early adulthood 41–60 years at 3.85%, and late adulthood at 36–45 years at 0%.

Exercise-induced asthma is a symptom of asthma that arises in non-asthmatic patients due to excessive physical activity. When someone is doing strenuous physical activity, they will breathe more, faster through the mouth. It then causes the air that enters the lungs to be colder and drier than normal air. A bronchial membrane in the lungs can swell, which then appears asthma symptoms such as wheezing. Exercise-induced asthma generally occurs in winter.26 Entertaining physical activity classified as heavy can trigger an exacerbation in patients with asthma that is not controlled. Some also suffer from exacerbations only during physical activity.1

The appearance of asthma symptoms can be related to food chemicals that can cause individual reactions. It is related to a person's level of intolerance.1

Outpatient asthma treatment is divided into two, namely the controller and reliever. The controller is a treatment used daily in the long term to keep asthma under clinical control through its anti-inflammatory effects. Whereas reliever is a treatment used when necessary and quickly to reduce bronchoconstriction and reduce the acute symptoms that accompany it.1 In this study, all respondents were in step 1, which means that none of the respondents used a type of asthma control controller to maintain asthma control daily. All respondents only used asthma reliever when experiencing worsening symptoms (reliever). This type of reliever's primary choice is short-acting beta-2 agonist (SABA), which is generally salbutamol by the route of inhalation administration. The inhalation route is preferred because it is topical, so side effects tend to be smaller and can work directly to the bronchioles' target site.1 However, from the
respondents' treatment data, some respondents use corticosteroids (oral or inhalation) and methylxanthine group, which is an asthma therapy in the controller group.

In this study, 26 subjects were taken as respondents who have jobs as students (100%). Wijnhoven et al.,27 in their study, stated that asthma patients with a higher level of education had a better quality of life than asthma patients with a lower level of education. Therefore, in this study, the work factor involves respondents who have status as students.

Measurement of lung function in this study assesses PEF with peak flow meters of the same type and brand. The condition of patients experiencing asthma exacerbations also affects the ability of research subjects when blowing peak flow meters. The amount of air will influence the device breathed (inspiration) and the amount of air exhaled (expiration). Whereas when patients have asthma exacerbations, the patient experiences impaired limitations in expiration.1 Also, other factors can influence PEF measurements, such as body weight and socioeconomic status.

In this study, the best value prediction examination was not carried out because the patient first used the device and could not get the patient's height due to the condition or condition of the patient, which made it impossible to measure height in patients who had asthma exacerbations in the emergency room, so the predictive value in patients using the average height of Indonesians, namely for men at 162.5 cm and women 151.2 cm.28

There are still weaknesses and shortcomings in conducting research, although researchers have tried their best to make the research results perfect. Researchers realize that the study's limitations include: (1) respondents' inclusion criteria such as heart and kidney history data were not obtained accurately. Because when the respondent said that there was no history of heart and kidney, it was not supported by checking the doctor first by using an electrocardiogram (EKG); (2) the type of fish oil used in this study is fish oil from abroad. Researchers used this type of fish oil because the dose was by the desired fish oil dose of 1.0 gram. Therefore respondents felt uncomfortable consuming fish oil, which was considered quite large because some respondents commented on the large soft capsule; and (3) there are time variations that can affect PEF values. The PEF value variability depends on the diurnal cycle (morning and evening values are different), and the expected value of this variability <20%.1,4

Conclusion

Fish oil containing omega-3 effectively increases lung function every week in asthma patients, from the first week of therapy to the fourth week.
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