



ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/cbre20

On being a religiously tolerant Muslim: discursive contestations among pre-service teachers in contemporary Indonesia

Teguh Wijaya Mulya, Anindito Aditomo & Anne Suryani

To cite this article: Teguh Wijaya Mulya, Anindito Aditomo & Anne Suryani (2022) On being a religiously tolerant Muslim: discursive contestations among pre-service teachers in contemporary Indonesia, British Journal of Religious Education, 44:1, 66-79, DOI: 10.1080/01416200.2021.1917338

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/01416200.2021.1917338







On being a religiously tolerant Muslim: discursive contestations among pre-service teachers in contemporary Indonesia

Teguh Wijaya Mulya 🕞 , Anindito Aditomo 🕞 and Anne Suryani 🕞

^aFaculty of Psychology, The University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia; ^bMelbourne Graduate School of Education, The University of Melbourne, Parkville VIC 3053, Australia

ABSTRACT

This study examines the constitution of religiously tolerant subjectivity among Indonesian Muslim pre-service teachers. Complementing existing studies in religious tolerance education which were mainly survey-based and experimental, this qualitative research employed a discourse analysis methodology which connects individual-level analysis with the larger socio-religio-political situations in contemporary Indonesia. Specifically, this study aims to explore discourses drawn upon by young Muslim preservice teachers to understand religious tolerance in the context of contemporary Indonesia, a Muslim-majority Southeast Asian country struggling to navigate its history of moderate Islam and a recent surge of conservatism. The findings exhibited three key discourses through which participants' religiously tolerant subjectivity was constituted, namely, a discourse of spiritual Islam, a discourse of postmodern sensibilities, and a discourse of concern over the growing conservative, Islamist, and radical groups. The implications were discussed in relation to how religious tolerance education can be advanced by drawing upon these key discourses.

KEYWORDS

Religious tolerance; preservice teachers; Islam; Indonesia

Introduction

The current study seeks to contribute to the body of knowledge on religious tolerance education in at least two ways. Firstly, the study adds to the growing epistemological and methodological diversity within the field by employing a discourse analysis methodology. The majority of studies in this field has drawn upon a realist paradigm (Willig 2013) which mainly took the form of quantitative surveys aiming to identify psycho-social mechanisms underlying tolerant attitudes (e.g. Bertram-Troost and Miedema 2017; Sumaktoyo 2018), and experiments which evaluate the impact of tolerance education programmes (e.g. Al Sadi and Basit 2013; Berger et al. 2016). In recent years, a growing number of studies have also employed qualitative methodologies, including mixed methods, to investigate religious tolerance education (e.g. Bertram-Troost, Schihalejev, and Neill 2014). Underpinned by constructivist epistemologies like Jackson's (2011) interpretive approach, these qualitative researchers sought to explore a more complex, in-depth dynamic of religious tolerance education. More recently, there have been calls to further diversify the epistemological and methodological approaches in this field, such as Wijaya Mulya and Aditomo's (2019) call for more contextual, critical, and discursive forms of analysis on religious tolerance education - which the current study is responding to by employing a discourse analysis methodology.

Secondly, the current research complements existing studies on religious tolerance education at the macro (e.g. national policies and curricula) and meso levels (e.g. school policy, classroom strategy) with a micro, individual-level (e.g. student, teacher) analysis, but without disconnecting it from the larger operations of power in a given society. At the macro level, scholars have argued for educational policies and institutional strategies which are supportive of religious tolerance, such as non-confessional, non-theological religious education (RE) (Parker 2014), confessional but pluralismoriented RE (Van Der Walt, Potgieter, and Wolhuter 2010), and a whole-school approach to religious tolerance (Raihani 2011). At the meso level, curriculum contents and delivery methods effective for fostering religious tolerance have also been examined, including using resources for tolerance from one's own religion (Al Sadi and Basit 2013; Baidhawy 2007; Schweitzer 2007), facilitating positive interfaith interactions (Bertram-Troost, Schihalejev, and Neill 2014; Sumaktoyo 2018), and providing information about the religious Other (Windle 2011). At individual level, skills and competencies necessary for religious tolerance have been investigated, among others, epistemic cognition (Aditomo 2019), empathy (De Silva 2011), perspective taking (Berger et al. 2016), intellectual humility (Kraft and Basinger 2016), and dialogue competencies (Morris 2011). In these studies, the contact hypothesis – a now-classic term coined by the social psychologist Allport (1954, 281) – has repeatedly proved itself accurate, in which 'equal status contact between majority and minority groups in the pursuit of common goals ... and common humanity' significantly reduces prejudice and fosters tolerance. Seeking to (re)connect these ostensibly universal educational-psychological mechanisms with religio-political-ideological contestations in a specific context, the current study draws upon the notion of discourse to demonstrate the inextricable relationship between power, knowledge, and subjectivity (Foucault 1982) in the constitution of religiously tolerant subjects.

Specifically, this study aims to explore discourses drawn upon by young Muslim pre-service teachers to understand RE and religious tolerance in the context of contemporary Indonesia, a Muslim-majority Southeast Asian country struggling to navigate its history of moderate Islam and a recent surge of conservatism. Occupying a position between a student and a teacher, participants' subjectivity as both an Indonesian youth, a religious person, and a future teacher provided a unique window into the exploration of contextual, relevant, and effective ways of teaching religious tolerance. A focus on future teachers is crucial in this regard, because changes in educational policy must necessarily be translated into classroom practices by teachers, whose (un) willingness, (in)abilities, and (mis)interpretations will influence the impact of those policies. Therefore, by identifying these Muslim pre-service teachers' ways of being tolerant, we seek to offer insights for advancing religious tolerance education through a better understanding of the discursive 'logics' which are intelligible for these future teachers.

Theoretically, the current research is informed by discourse theories, particularly feminist readings of Foucauldian poststructuralism (Davies 1991; Weedon 1987). Discourse is a set of interconnected ideas through which an individual gives meaning to their social worlds and guides their actions. Since there are multiple and shifting discourses in any field of knowledge, there is always a range of possibilities to think, talk, and act differently about/on a specific phenomenon (Willig 2013). Depending on the availability of discourses in one's situation, an individual may exercise agency in drawing upon certain discourses and not others (Davies 1991); these discourses then enable different forms of subjectivity and action. Here, subjectivity refers to one's sense of self which is always-already discursively constituted (Weedon 1987). For instance, understanding religious minorities like Ahmadiyya groups through a discourse of heresy – as campaigned by some Indonesian Islamic hard-line groups – has resulted in incidents of persecution (Irawan 2017). There are other discourses to be drawn upon which may result in more tolerant attitudes and behaviours, such as a discourse of rights or citizenship. The circulation of these competing discourses in a society is not a 'neutral' process, but always-already political as it is a part of the operation of modern power in governing the society. Here, politics is about discursive contestation, a competition to 'fix' meanings into individual's subjectivity (Weedon 1987). Identifying and circulating alternative discourses and subjectivities are, therefore, acts of political resistance. This is precisely our agenda in the current



research, namely, to identify and circulate discourses that may enable religious tolerance among contemporary Indonesian youth against the backdrop of growing conservatism. Since discursive constellations in a society are always shifting, contextual, and continuously contested, the next section briefly introduces contemporary Indonesian contexts vis-à-vis religious relations and tolerance education.

Post-authoritarian Indonesia: public religiosity, pancasila citizenship, and tolerance education

As the world's fourth most populous country and home of the largest Muslim population, Indonesia has demonstrated both promising and disheartening moments in terms of religious tolerance throughout its 75 years of independence. In 1945, Indonesia's founding fathers and mothers expressed a commitment for religious tolerance in the formulation of the state ideology, *Pancasila*. Literally translated as five principles, Pancasila includes (1) a belief in one supreme God, (2) humanity, (3) national unity, (4) democracy, and (5) social justice. The first principle initially included the clause with the obligation for Muslims to carry out the Islamic law.' However, after considering religious diversity in Indonesia, particularly non-Muslim-majority regions, the leaders of two largest Islamic civil organisations (i.e. Nahdlatul Ulama and Muhammadiyah, more below) agreed to erase the clause, preferring a more religiously inclusive wording (Intan 2006). With the phrase 'unity in diversity' inscribed on the country's symbol of the Garuda bird, this promising beginning gave rise to Indonesia's frequently mentioned self-identification as 'not secular nor Islamic, but a religious, Pancasila country'. Although atheists, agnostics, and indigenous religions were largely silenced, Pancasila at least provided an official-ideological foundation for the possibility of a multicultural, tolerant, religious citizenship (Fearnley-Sanders and Yulaelawati 2008; Hoon 2017).

During Suharto's authoritarian regime (1966–1998), Islamists suffered repression as Suharto was quick to eliminate any threat to his ruling, including from political Islam. As result, moderate Muslim organisations like Nahdlatul Ulama (NU) and Muhammadiyah flourished. State-funded Islamic Institutes (IAIN) were established across Indonesia, where progressive Muslim thinkers such as Abdurrahman Wahid and Nurcholish Madjid became influential and had significant impacts on Indonesia's civil Islam (Hefner 2019). The 'fragile but functioning balance' between NU and Muhammadiyah (Schäfer 2019, 238) also successfully prevented a single version of Islam from being adopted or endorsed by the state. Nevertheless, the fall of Suharto in 1998 marked the beginning of Indonesia's democratic era which eased both militaristic and social repression on political and conservative Islamic groups (Fealy 2019). These groups have grown exponentially ever since, and influenced various fields from politics (e.g. Islamist-leaning parties), the economy (e.g. sharia banking, halal certification), entertainment (e.g. hijra/born-again celebrities), to urban spatial development (e.g. sharia residential complexes, Islamic-branded consumption spaces). Public expressions of religiosity, most noticeably women's veil, have become increasingly fashionable. After the 1998 democratic reformation, the number of terrorist bombings (e.g. in Bali, Jakarta, and Surabaya), large-scale religious conflicts (e.g. in Ambon and Poso), and persecution of religious minorities (e.g. against the Ahmadis, Shi'ites, and Christians) have also increased. One of the highprofile cases led to the imprisonment of Jakarta's Christian ex-governor Basuki Tjahja Purnama over a blasphemy criminal charge in 2017, where conservative and Islamist groups successfully demonstrated their mobilisational power in massive rallies against the ex-governor.

Scholars have examined these worrying transformations of Indonesia's religious landscape and offered at least two main suggestions: reviving *Pancasila* citizenship as the framework for Indonesia's democracy (Hefner 2019; Hoon 2017), and reinvigorating the moderate Islamic groups (Afrianty 2012; Fealy 2019). Each of these approaches has its own challenges. As the official ideology unifying Indonesia from the outset, Pancasila has enjoyed a wide socio-political acceptance across communities (Intan 2006). While it was re-appropriated by Suharto into an apparatus of oppression against his enemies and formally imposed on young generations in a top-down manner, there have been

recent efforts during the Jokowi presidency to revive Pancasila as fashionable for the millennials, particularly in responding to Islamist anti-democracy movements (Kuwado 2017). However, shifting its long history of tokenistic taking up by the young generation into a living, tolerant everyday philosophy might not happen overnight.

Similarly, the second suggestion – reinvigorating moderate Islamic groups – also requires substantial and consistent efforts to be able to compete with the new conservative groups. Enjoying dominance for too long, traditionally moderate groups like NU were not ready to adapt and compete with the more tactical, media-aware, and capital-accumulating conservatives who have gathered a large number of followers, including from among the new middle-class (Fealy 2019). Coupled with the lack of new progressive figures of the calibre of Abdurrahman Wahid and Nurcholis Madjid, scholars were not very optimistic when envisaging Indonesia's future vis-à-vis religious tolerance. Nevertheless, the result of 2019 election showed that grassroots support for moderate Islam was far from gone (Hefner 2019); Indonesia's religious discursive contestations will continue for years to come.

Complementing these macro-level suggestions and identification of challenges, the current study explores how the contemporary Indonesian young generation thinks about these discourses and in what ways such discourses might give rise to a more religiously tolerant subjectivity. Do *Pancasila* and moderate Islam still have appeal for them? Is conservatism considered 'sexier'? How do young people make sense of religious tolerance against this backdrop of contemporary Indonesia?

Corresponding with the aforementioned suggestions, educational studies on religious tolerance in Indonesia also proposed at least two key recommendations; fostering religious tolerance through Pancasila-based citizenship education, and drawing upon resources for tolerance from within religions themselves. Parker (2018), for example, investigated the current Indonesian curriculum and identified how Citizenship Education is based on Pancasila and strongly promotes tolerance, but in contrast, RE is confessional and advocates piety. She suggested Indonesian RE policies be nontheological, non-confessional, interfaith, participatory, and focusing on everyday religious coexistence and the rights of minorities based on the Pancasila citizenship framework (Parker and Hoon 2013; Hoon 2013). While Indonesian Education law requires students to be taught Religion by teachers of the same faith, and in practice, RE is largely confessional (Leirvik 2004), there have been initiatives by some NGOs (Parker 2010), individual teachers in specific schools (e.g. Parker 2014), and universities (e.g. Wijaya Mulya and Aditomo 2019) which experimented with such forms of RE without breaching the law or provoking protests from parents. One key element is drawing upon resources from students' own religion which promote tolerance (e.g. Rahmat, Firdaus, and Yahya 2019; Wijaya Mulya and Aditomo 2019). Examples of such resources are Baidhawy's (2007) Islamic multiculturalist theology which was built on concepts like ummah (living together), tafahum (mutual understanding), takrim (mutual respect), and salam (peace); and Christiani's (2005) proposal of Christian RE based on a liberation theology of religions, which she called 'RE behind, at, and beyond the wall'. However, by focusing on policies, curriculum content, and specific projects/schools, these studies have inadvertently positioned young people as passive recipients of educational initiatives and left their voices unheard. Our research, therefore, sought to complement these existing studies by listening to pre-service teachers' voices, recognising their agency (and its underpinning discourses), and, therefore, building religious tolerance from the bottom up. Listening to pre-service teachers would significantly contribute to designing and implementing effective tolerance education, and may avoid future bureaucratic compliance to policies or cosmetic adoption of curriculum content - which has been a common secret in Indonesian educational practices.

Methodology

The data in this article came from a mixed-method study on teaching religious tolerance in Indonesia. This current article reports on the qualitative part, while the quantitative part is reported elsewhere. The participants were students in teacher education from two public universities (one

Islamic and the other not religiously affiliated) in Surabaya, the second largest city in Indonesia. Surabaya was also the city where a family of six carried out suicide bombings at three churches in 2018.

After ethics clearance was granted, we contacted the Heads of Early Childhood and the Elementary Education Departments in these faculties, and all welcomed the project. They then distributed questionnaires to their students following an ethics-approved procedure (e.g. letting students freely choose whether to participate, and signing a consent form after being fully informed about the study). At the end of the questionnaire, there was a question asking whether or not the respondent was willing to participate in an audio-taped, semi-structured one-hour individual interview about religious tolerance. Those participants who indicated willingness were then contacted, completed another consent form, and interviewed at a public space at their campus according to their preferred schedule and availability of the interviewer (the first author). All interviews were conducted in the participants' and interviewer's first language, Bahasa Indonesia. The interview quide included guestions on participants' religious backgrounds, experiences with the religious Other, views on Indonesia's religious relations, and plans for teaching tolerance in their future work as teachers. A small amount of money (approx. USD 3.5) was given at the end of the interview as a token of appreciation for participants' time. As many as seven Muslim students were interviewed; four from the non-religiously-affiliated public university and three from the Islamic one, two males and five females (all five wearing a hijab). All but one of the participants were raised in a moderate Islamic tradition (NU or Muhammadiyah), which was unsurprising as Muslims from these groups are usually more willing to participate in an interview about religious tolerance. All participants' names in this article are pseudonyms, and data excerpts presented in this article were translated to English by the authors.

The data were analysed using a discourse analysis method (Willig 2013). The researchers read the interview transcripts over and over again to identify a set of ideas, assumptions, or 'logics' (i.e. discourses), which constitute participants' ways of understanding religious tolerance. These discourses were then categorised, reviewed, articulated, and discussed in the Findings section below. The credibility of the data and the analysis is not predicated on traditional criteria such as representativeness and generalisability, but instead on methodological integrity, authenticity, and the insightfulness of the findings for the advancement of knowledge in the field of religious tolerance education. The methodology emphasises the immediacy and verisimilitude of participants' narratives for the wider Indonesian audience and relevant readers; not sample size or its statistical representativeness.

Findings

In this section we discuss at least three dominant discourses through which the participants gave meaning to religious tolerance in contemporary Indonesia, namely, a discourse of spiritual Islam, a discourse of postmodern sensibilities, and a discourse of concern about conservative, Islamist, or radical groups. While participants' views about religious tolerance presented here might not always be explicitly spoken in relation to their future teaching roles, we believe that a tolerant teacher (including their tolerant religious beliefs) is the first step towards effective religious tolerance education in Indonesian contexts (Suryani 2017, 2020a, 2020b). Therefore, understanding how preservice teacher participants personally took up a religiously tolerant subjectivity and the discourses they drew upon are crucial for advancing religious tolerance and tolerance education.

A discourse of spiritual Islam

As opposed to conservative groups, which tend to emphasise (religious) truth claims, obligations, morality, and external expressions of religiosity, some pre-service teachers in this study understand their religion as a personal spiritual journey in which they seek existential meaningfulness,



cultivation of inner peace, and embracing uncertainty. We call this a discourse of spiritual Islam, and we argue that it is one of the key discourses through which religious tolerance was constituted in the ways participants understand themselves as a religious person.

During the interviews some participants' expressed a lack of interest in (religious) truth claims in preference for the more affective and spiritual aspects of religion, which in turn, shaped their approaches to the religious Other. Helmi (male, 7th semester, Islamic university), for example, narrated:

I once listened to one of those (conservative) preachers. He has a doctoral degree. But his words did not enter (touch) my heart. He can answer all questions, knows all (theological) bases of Islamic jurisprudence, but he was not pleasant to be listened to. It's very different with a preacher, like, a graduate from a *pesantren*. The face (of preachers graduated from *pesantren*) already radiates peacefulness, which is very calming, even before the sermon begins.

Helmi was referring to the new generation of Indonesian conservative preachers who are becoming popular; many of them were educated in the Middle East. Helmi contrasted their preaching style, which is centred on theological truth claims, with the traditional style of local preachers who graduated from *pesantren* (Islamic boarding schools, mainly affiliated with NU and located in rural areas or small towns). For Helmi, 'debating doctrines' and 'vilifying other preachers, like calling them stupid' do not connect with his ways of understanding and practising Islam; it does not bring peace to his heart. Growing up in *pesantrens* himself, Helmi understands Islam as 'refined' and 'tolerant', which then translates into his attitudes to other religions although he had very limited contact with non-Muslims throughout his life. Counter to Allport's contact hypothesis (1954), Helmi's very limited exposure to the religious Other did not necessarily result in prejudice, most likely due to the nature of Islamic teachings commonly taught in *pesantrens*. As Afrianty (2012) and Sirry (2020) noted, Indonesian *pesantren* graduates are traditionally moderate, therefore being relatively tolerant to the religious Other. In contrast, most of the new conservatives are the urban middle-class who were not traditionally religious and then found new religious enthusiasm in conservative teachings.

Constituting tolerance through a view of Islam as spiritual rather than doctrinal also implies an emphasis on internal processes of cultivating oneself, as opposed to overt rituals, symbols, identity, or other external expressions of religiosity. Arif (male, 7th semester, non-religiously-affiliated university) spoke about how he had enough of his friends who debated whether or not Muslim men should grow a beard and wear flood pants, which they believe are recommended in the sacred texts. Arif considers Islam is 'much deeper' than such superficial debate about external appearance. Helmi also used similar language of depth and interiority when discussing the incident of flag burning in Garut in 2018, when a group of youths burnt a flag of an illegal Islamist group with an Arabic word tauhid (literally translates 'Oneness of God' and often used to refer to God) on it, which then sparked outrage among Muslims. Helmi said:

It is okay to glorify the word *tauhid*, but what's more important is to let it sink deep into your soul, no need to overly glorify its printing on a flag. Islamic law becomes unimportant when you prioritise the more spiritual aspects of Islam. Islam does not need to be too controlling, because it is already, kind of, *tasawwuf* (Sufism/Islamic mysticism) for me.

The rhetoric of defending Islam has been effectively deployed by Indonesian Islamic hardliners to justify intolerance and persecution (Irawan 2017), such as against the Ahmadis or Jakarta's ex-Governor Basuki Tjahja Purnama. Contesting such rhetoric, Arif and Helmi drew upon the discourse of spiritual Islam to position intolerant attitudes in the name of defending Islam as superficial and missing the whole point of being religious, which for them, is mystical in nature. This understanding reflects the Sufic or mystical understandings and practices accommodated within NU traditions with which Arif and Helmi were affiliated. Correspondingly, Helmi added that he 'do(es) not feel comfortable with the new *sharia* trends' which emphasise compliance to Islamic law and external expressions of religiosity including in one's attire, consumption, and public morality.

Strict adherence to sharia or Islamic rules/recommendations has become a new trend in the last two decades in Indonesia, particularly since it was co-opted by businesses and industries. While it has been legally enforced through local regulations in some regions like Aceh, it also manifests in the wider economic contexts through products and services brandishing a sharia label, including fashion, food, banking, and gender-separated spatial designs. As Arif said during the interview, even glasses and toilet paper are now sold using 'halal' as the tag line. In youth social life, sharia trends develop into a tendency to 'correct' other Muslims who do not strictly follow those rules/ recommendations and, to a degree, positioning them as sinful. Contrasting this tendency with a more spiritual version of Islam, Mutiara (female, 5th semester, Islamic university) said: 'I don't like to give (religious) advice to others, perhaps, I think it is more meaningful if someone finds the Way themselves.' Mutiara observed that her hijra/born-again Muslim friends like to give advice about what is right, what should be worn, or with whom a Muslim girl should not accompany. However, although gentle advice was given, it still made her 'feel sinful'. Mutiara does not feel comfortable with those comments, as she believes religion is more about her personal journey of finding meaningfulness rather than obedience to authoritatively imposed rules. In a similar vein, Arif gave a powerful story about tolerance and not being too quick to judge others.

There is a story in a *Hadist*¹ about a prostitute who went to heaven because she kindly gave food and drink to a hungry stray dog. You know, she lived in sin everyday [because of her work] and dogs are considered unclean animals, right? So, then, who am I to decide who is sinful and will go to hell? Yes I pray five times a day, but it is up to God to receive or reject my observance.

The story Arif told contrasts kindness with religious observance/obedience as the criterion for salvation, with the former being prioritised but without abandoning the latter. Instead of truth claims, enforcement of rules, and judging others, a discourse of spiritual Islam espouses kindness, uncertainty, and humble submission to God, which all foster more tolerant attitudes towards the religious Other and even the socially-stigmatised, like sex workers and transgender people. The late former President and NU Chairperson, Abdurrahman Wahid, for example, attended a transgender beauty contest, gesturing support and acceptance of their gender identity (Pandia 2006). Later, this affirming position was adopted by the Wahid Foundation (2016). Through a discourse of spiritual Islam, transgender communities, which have frequently been persecuted by hardliners for deviating from conservative religious interpretations, become more possible to be tolerated and welcomed. Like Helmi said, spiritual Islam reduces gender identity into 'an external shell irrelevant for one's religious life'.

Perhaps, a crucial question is in what ways may this discourse of spiritual Islam be appealing for contemporary Indonesian youth, particularly the new conservative urban middle-class? As Fealy (2019) identified, the traditional style of NU preachers with long and reflective discussions may not be as appealing to contemporary urban audiences as compared to conservatives' highly effective use of websites, social media, emotionally charged language, and snappy images to incite intolerance. In order to rejuvenate the moderate groups, we propose that spiritual Islam might have potential appeals for contemporary Indonesian youth. As urban youth becomes more aware of and pays attention to their mental health and a deeper sense of meaningfulness, some participants' narratives in this study (like Arif, Helmi, and Mutiara) illustrate a possibility that popular appeals of conservatism may just be momentary, and youth may soon be tired of the self-righteousness, negativity, and sharia demands imposed upon them; evidence of this has been reported in a recent study (i.e. Sirry 2020). Akin to Bass (2013) vision of spiritual Christianity, it is within the contemporary contexts of the global environmental crisis, political conflicts, and the ethos of neoliberal hustle culture that a spiritual version of Islam might offer a consolation – a slower, gentler, friendlier, and deeper way of making sense the world. This in turn may better fulfill young people's psychological and existential needs. The increasing popularity of yoga and meditation, for instance, is a promising example of how a traditional spiritual practice (and worldview) can be reappropriated, commodified, and widely accepted by some segments of middle-class Indonesians.



A discourse of postmodern sensibilities

That's just no longer suitable for our era now.'

Irma (female, 5th semester, Islamic university),

on a claim of single religious truth

The second discourse underpinning participants' ways of being tolerant relates to postmodern sensibilities, where a sense of inevitable multiplicity of (grand) narratives results in a more careful and tolerant attitude for the religious Other. In their increasingly connected worlds, it is almost impossible for Indonesian youth to avoid encounters with the religious Other. These encounters have sometimes resulted in epistemic humility with regard to their own religious beliefs (Kraft and Basinger 2016), and at other times working on an affective level by familiarising participants with and humanising the religious Other (Wijaya Mulya and Aditomo 2019); both give rise to a more religiously tolerant subjectivity. As Irma's words above illustrate, most participants in this study take for granted the multiplicity of religions and religious truths, and consider it impossible in our time now to deny such multiplicity.

While Indonesia is religiously diverse, the segregated patterns of urban sociality (e.g. going to religion-based schools or living in a homogenous neighbourhood) may hinder many Indonesian youths from having meaningful interactions with the religious Other. The data in this study showed that participants from the non-religiously-affiliated university have more opportunities to meet friends from different religions. Laila (female, 1st semester, non-religiously-affiliated university), for example, enthusiastically told her experience of having a Catholic roommate in the university dormitory, which is her first non-Muslim close friend. Studying in the Islamic university, Helmi has no non-Muslim friends, but Mutiara likes to hang out in cafés where she made friends with non-Muslims who were mostly friends of her friends. According to Allport (1954), the key point is that these interactions need to be equal and promote common humanity. In the current study, most of participants' encounters with the religious Other were positive and friendly, some include conversations about their differing faiths in a relaxed atmosphere like Laila's and Mutiara's experiences. Other participants who have no non-Muslim friends have non-Muslim neighbours, and those who have no non-Muslim neighbours have a relative who married someone who converted from another religion. During the wedding and subsequent family events such as funerals or cultural celebrations, guests came into contact with the family of the converted. This was the case in Annisa's (female, 3rd semester, non-religiously-affiliated university) and Icha's (female, 3rd semester, non-religiouslyaffiliated university) experiences. Outside friendship and family relations, participants engaged in meaningful interactions with the religious Other in their teaching internships (e.g. a Christian student in their class), part time job as a tutor, and campus activities like organising a camp with the regional Scout leader (who apparently is a Buddhist). However segregated Indonesian contemporary societies may be, participants' narratives in this study showed there are plenty of opportunities for Indonesian urban youth to have meaningful and positive interfaith interactions (Sumaktoyo 2018), which have then helped them to realise the existence of the religious Other and the multiplicity of religious truth.

Various (sometimes conflicting) groups within Islam itself have also played a crucial role in enabling participants to see religion and religious truth as neither singular nor monolithic. Annisa grew up in a village where NU and Muhammadiyah traditions co-exist. Therefore Annisa is aware of different versions of Islamic tradition, and that there are people who strictly follow one and those like her parents who follow both. Similarly, in Irma's village there are NU, Muhammadiyah, and the more conservative LDII (*Lembaga Dakwah Islam Indonesia* or Indonesian Institute of Islamic Dawah); each has their own mosque/musholla. Growing up in an NU tradition, Irma has a memorable experience about when she once prayed at the LDII's musholla, as 'the place was immediately cleaned after I finished (praying), which made me think, am I dirty or what?' Experiences with different strands of Islam that have different levels of openness or tolerance facilitated participants to see the



intracategorical diversity within a religion, and as a result, they may see other religions as internally diverse too and not be too quick to overgeneralise. For instance, Mutiara was once 'treated not very nice' by the mother of her Chinese-Christian private tuition student and guessed it was because of her hijab; but she did not attribute the discriminatory gesture to Christians in general.

Another important consequence of the encounter with, and understanding of the multiplicity of religious truth, is that most of these pre-service teachers feel comfortable when disagreeing with religious authorities. Claims of a single truth and certainty, these pre-service teachers find, are no longer relevant. It was guite common among participants during the interview to begin a sentence with the phrase 'according to Islamic teachings, ... ' and then continued with the phrase 'but according to me personally, ... ' where they then expressed a different view. For instance, Mutiara explained that 'according to Islamic teachings, yes, all non-Muslims will go to hell; but my personal view, I cannot say it's true or false. I haven't died, so how can I know for sure?' Mutiara was confident to challenge one of the basic doctrines in Abrahamic faiths, namely, the doctrine of salvation, which often differentiates the exclusivist from the inclusivist positions. Other participants even took a form of pluralist position where all religions are seen as good, true in their own way, and even complementary. For example, Irma said several times during the interview that 'all religions are the same, they have the same objective' and 'my religion is not the only true one'. Also, Irma mentioned 'perhaps, religions complement each other'. Such pluralist view on religious relations, given rise by a sense of postmodern multiplicity, is a valuable discursive resource in the constitution of participants' religiously tolerant subjectivity because it fosters religious epistemic humility (Kraft and Basinger 2016).

How can the participants then translate these multiple truths into their everyday social living? If everyone exercises agency to differ from authority, how does authority then keep society together harmoniously? This is where the notion of Pancasila citizenship came onto the scene. Asked such questions, the participants drew upon ideas of democracy, citizenship, and Pancasila as regulatory frameworks, which hold all different (religious) groups together. Like Mutiara said,

I am fine with friends who wear burgas, or go to nightclubs, or even who are lesbians, I treat them all well. I don't judge their morality. As long as they don't disturb me or my privacy, I am anti-judging (laugh). But if they threaten me or violate my rights, I will fight back.

In explaining her tolerant position about those who are different and even stigmatised, Mutiara drew upon ideas of respecting rights, individuality, freedom, and ethics of reciprocity, all of which are features of liberal democracy. Other participants appeal more specifically to Indonesia's contexts, history, and foundations of democratic citizenship, such as Arif who spoke against Islamist groups enforcing their own truth using threats and violence: 'We should not betray our founding fathers [sic], those who fought for Indonesia's independence were not only Muslims. We need to do democracy better.' Similarly, Annisa takes for granted the unity of Indonesia as non-negotiable: 'They (the Islamists) should not do that, Indonesia would be broken. I don't understand why they are like that.' These participants' narratives substantiate Parker and Hoon's (2013) suggestion for religious tolerance education and citizenship education to be conjoined, where Pancasila becomes the basis for peaceful religious coexistence and protection of religious minorities. By drawing upon the notion of democratic citizenship, these pre-service teachers' sense of postmodern multiplicity does not necessarily result in relativism nor anarchism, as often presented as fear mongering by the conservatives (e.g. 'MUI Fatwa Haram' 2019), but instead as respect for individual freedom and tolerance for the religious Other.

A discourse of concern over conservative, Islamist, or radical groups

The final key discourse identified in this study relates to concern over conservative, Islamist, or radical groups, in which religious tolerance is constituted as being constantly haunted by the threats of hard-line Islamism. As can be seen in previous sections, most participants have a sensitive awareness about the growing existence of conservative and hard-line Islamic groups. Participants who came from other towns to study in Surabaya like Laila, Annisa, and Irma were repeatedly warned by their family to avoid any invitations to join a suspicious Islamic group. As Annisa explained, these groups are infamous for aggressively recruiting new members that include offering support such as emotional, food, money, helping hands, and a strong sense of community (see also Sirry 2020). Most participants have at least one friend who had joined an Islamic group with a tendency to be conservative, Islamist, or radical. Based on their experience with such friends, participants referred to these groups as 'close-minded', 'judgmental', 'fanatic', and 'backward'. Some participants themselves, like Irma, had been invited to such groups but they did not join, as they feared being 'brainwashed', 'radicalised', and 'unable to leave the community'. It is through this awareness of Muslims groups associated with intolerance operating around them that participants' ways of understanding tolerance were constituted.

Participants' undertone throughout the interview on this specific topic was 'we against them' or 'we are preyed on by them'. These participants realised that it is a difficult situation or even a paradox: How far should we tolerate potentially intolerant groups? While showing concerns and fear, some participants also believe that these groups should not be discriminated against nor frowned upon before proven guilty of radicalism, like those wearing burqas, as it could be overgeneralising and judgemental. Annisa argued that 'we should not see women in burqas negatively. I have a friend who now wears a burqa. This friend joined such a group at the time her parents were divorcing and she was provided with support and is not a radical.' Annisa drew attention to situations that may lead someone into such involvement and invited us to be considerate of the reasons behind their decisions.

One of the participants in this study, Icha, associated herself with conservative Islam. Icha, who was studying at the non-religiously-affiliated university, narrated difficult situations that led her to become a conservative:

It all begins at Year 7 [±13 years old] when I went to a school camp. You know, in a forest like that, there are [invincible] creatures/spirit, you know, who disturb people. It was my friend who got [possessed], I just tried to calm her, but it was angry or something so it followed me home. Every day it told me 'you are useless, you better kill yourself', every day for seven years! I was then too afraid to go out, go to school, I was just afraid. When it possessed me, I hurt myself, banged my head, sliced my skin. Other times, when I walked, it pushed me down, or choked me. Local Muslim people [in her hometown in Central Java] believe we should make friend/peace with it, like give offerings. But how can I make friend with someone who told me to kill myself? So my father had a friend who had been hijra [born again], and he took me for a rukiyah [spirit cleansing], and it was better. That was the beginning of our hijra. We then moved to this town [in the metropolitan area of Surabaya], so I can enroll in an Islamic school, which is more supportive. My [former] teachers in the public school were not [supportive] and they accused me of lying and truancy. In my hometown people were shallow-minded, like when my Dad was wearing flood pants or me wearing a large hijab, they looked at us from head to toe. In big cities like Surabaya, people are more tolerant about such things. Now I am not afraid [to the ghost] anymore, it has not completely gone, still sometimes disturbs me, but I don't care, because I have Allah with me.

At the time of the interview, Icha was actively involved in a conservative Islamic group and she hoped to be able to wear a burqa without being discriminated against. Icha's transition has involved wearing a regular hijab and face mask (long before the COVID-19 pandemic). Icha wishes that Indonesians could be 'more tolerant' with conservative Muslims like her and her family and not associate them with terrorists. While Icha is against 'any forms of religious violence', she personally believes that 'it is better if all Indonesians are Muslims' and that 'sharia law like in Aceh is ideal for Indonesia'.

In both scholarly publications on religious tolerance in Indonesia and the authors' experience with interfaith dialogues, a recognition of the voice of the conservatives like Icha's, beyond the context of deradicalisation is considerably rare, not to mention the complexity of dealing with it. A remotely relevant theorisation might be Mietzner's (2018) three strategies of democratically handling anti-democratic movements. The first is 'militant democracy', that is, defending democracy by aggressively criminalising and banning anti-democracy groups. While generally effective, this strategy, which

aggressively limits freedom of expression, may undermine democracy itself. Correspondingly, protecting tolerance by legally banning or socially repudiating intolerant groups may contradict the very notion of tolerance itself, like Icha felt. The second strategy is 'tolerating the intolerant', namely, accommodating anti-democratic groups with the hope of moderating their radical views. However, as evident in President Yudhoyono's (2004–2014) accommodation of Islamist groups (Mietzner 2018), it might have been radicalising the moderates, rather than vice versa. The third is 'concentric containment' in which anti-democratic actors are aggressively repressed, but issues driving their followers into anti-democracy are systematically addressed, such as poverty. In Icha's case, for example, if there are viable alternative solutions from moderate groups for her problem beyond the traditional animistic approach of befriending ghosts or modern psychiatry's heavily-stigmatised pathologisation, she might not need to resort to a conservative, less tolerant strand of Islam.

In line with the purpose of this article, we focused on the ways Muslim pre-service teacher participants in this study understand and respond to the growing conservatism in contemporary Indonesia. Within their everyday social contexts, it appears that most participants leaned towards the second strategy, namely, accommodation; and put the responsibility on individuals themselves to avoid being radicalised. Helmi, for example, did not like listening to debates between moderate and hardliner preachers on television or Youtube, such as on the ex-Jakarta Governor's blasphemy case. He said, 'I don't want to take sides, I just hope we all can get along well. The moderate leaders can bersilaturahmi (visit/befriend) the hardliners, and vice versa. Thus, people can feel at peace, instead of attacking each other like this.' Rather than politically banning or theologically addressing differences which give rise to (in)tolerance, elite diplomacy is considered more effective and peaceful for Helmi. Similarly, Irma was also not comfortable with the banning of radical preachers: 'If the government bans them, the people are not getting smarter. Young people must learn to be smart, able to filter out radical teachings themselves. Just don't follow messages you think are bad.' Living in an increasingly neoliberal Indonesia, Irma sees that responsibilisation of youth is a key to avoid radicalism and foster tolerance without being intolerant. In Irma's view, the ideal young people in the religious marketplace would be 'smart customers' who carefully choose from among various messages and ways of being a Muslim.

Coupled with the fact that participants in this study have a friend who is conservative, Islamist, or radical, their positions on these versions of Islam might have been caught up between fear, empathy, and a commitment to diversity. While we believe that the key is to strike 'a balance between state involvement in ensuring the protection of minority rights and tolerance of difference in the public sphere, while at the same time allowing space for civic participation and cross-cultural fertilisation to take place organically among the citizenry' (Hoon 2017, 489), it is indeed a complex and intricate task involving various actors and involves a significant amount of time to reach democratic maturity. Nevertheless, our argument in this section is much more modest, namely, to demonstrate that constituting religious tolerance in the contexts of contemporary Indonesia evidently and inevitably involves thinking through the tensions around the growing conservative, Islamist, and radical groups.

Conclusion: implications for religious tolerance education in Indonesia

This article has discussed three key discourses drawn upon by Indonesian Muslim pre-service teachers in understanding religious tolerance, namely, a discourse of spiritual Islam, postmodern sensibilities, and concern over conservatism, Islamism, and radicalism. A crucial question might be what the implications are in identifying these key discourses for religious tolerance education in contemporary Indonesia. The data showed that participants' access to discourses of religious tolerance was not through formal education. When explicitly asked during their interviews, most participants responded that tolerance was formally taught in Citizenship Education but had no real impact on their ways of being tolerant. They mostly learnt how to be tolerant from their own experiences. Unsurprisingly, participants' plans for teaching tolerance in their future work were vague and superficial, such as 'telling my students to be tolerant' (Laila).

As the authors, we propose that religious tolerance education may be more effective when connected to discourses which 'make sense' for students; some of which were identified in this study. While non-confessional, interfaith RE might still not be widely-adopted in the near future, the content of confessional RE can at least be more spiritual rather than doctrinal, such as stories or parables on kindness, empathy, uncertainty, personal journeys, and non-judgemental gestures, which are already available in each religious tradition. Learning assessments may not necessarily be written tests with one right answer, which implies single religious truth; but can be reflective assignments on experiential learning, such as spiritual pilgrimage to local sites, or positive conversations and experiences with the religious Other.

Facilitating students to encounter and discuss intra-categorical multiplicities within their own religion may also be beneficial to help students become less judgemental or overgeneralise about other religions. While opportunities for positive, meaningful interfaith interactions might not always be available, virtual experiences through movies or social media posts can also be incorporated into the classroom considering students' familiarity with such media. It may also be important for teachers to be supportive of students who disagree with them, as democratic spaces for critiques and multiple perspectives might be preferred by contemporary young Indonesians and could contribute to more tolerant subjectivity.

Finally, religious tolerance education in contemporary Indonesian contexts, particularly in universities, might need to include more complex discussions about conservative, Islamist, and radical groups, such as their politicisations, experiences of discrimination, and reasons for their distrust in democracy. At the classroom level, an accommodating approach might be seen as more tolerant whereby conservatives are considered as a legitimate 'option' of being an Indonesian Muslim, but simultaneously position them as one version of Islam, not the one and only. Here, *Pancasila* as a citizenship framework is still a valuable and powerful resource for teaching religious tolerance, but it needs to be taught in an inclusive, relevant, contextualised manner.

Note

1. Hadists are sayings of the Prophet Muhammad. In Islam, Hadists are considered to be the second primary source of Islamic jurisprudence, after the Quran.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by the Indonesia Democracy Hallmark Research Initiative, The University of Melbourne

Notes on contributors

Teguh Wijaya Mulya is a lecturer in the Faculty of Psychology at the University of Surabaya, Indonesia. He specialises in critical research in the areas of sexuality, gender, religion, youth, and education. His work is inspired by the work of Michel Foucault, post-structuralist feminism, and discourse theories.

Anindito Aditomo is a senior lecturer at the Faculty of Psychology, University of Surabaya, Indonesia. He holds a bachelor degree from Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia, and master and doctoral degrees from the University of Sydney, Australia. With a background in both psychology and education, he is particularly interested in how the sciences of learning can inform instruction and broader educational processes. Anindito was a guest researcher at the DIPF | Leibniz Institute for Research and Information in Education, Germany. His research at DIPF was funded by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and focused on the analysis of international large-scale assessments of learning data.



Anne Suryani is a Research Fellow at Melbourne Graduate School of Education, The University of Melbourne. She has completed a range of government-funded, consultancy and grant-based educational research, including on teacher motivation, teacher education, teacher professional development, and educational policy.

ORCID

Teguh Wijaya Mulya http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8899-1157 Anindito Aditomo http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3711-3773 Anne Suryani (D) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5338-2052

References

Aditomo, A. 2019. "At the Intersection of Science and Faith: Epistemic Cognition about 'Religiously-loaded' Scientific Issues." In Research in the Social Scientific Study of Religion, Volume 30, edited by R. W. Hood and S. Cheruvallil-Contractor, 193-214. Leiden: Koninklijke Brill NV.

Afrianty, D. 2012. "Islamic Education and Youth Extremism in Indonesia." Journal of Policing, Intelligence and Counter Terrorism 7 (2): 134-146. doi:10.1080/18335330.2012.719095.

Al Sadi, F. H., and T. N. Basit. 2013. "Religious Tolerance in Oman: Addressing Religious Prejudice through Educational Intervention." British Educational Research Journal 39 (3): 447-472. doi:10.1080/01411926.2011.652071.

Allport, G. W. 1954. The Nature of Prejudice. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.

Baidhawy, Z. 2007. "Building Harmony and Peace through Multiculturalist Theology-Based Religious Education: An Alternative for Contemporary Indonesia." British Journal of Religious Education 29 (1): 15-30. doi:10.1080/ 01416200601037478.

Bass, D. B. 2013. Christianity after Religion: The End of Church and the Birth of a New Spiritual Awakening. San Francisco: HarperOne.

Berger, R., J. Benatov, H. Abu-Raiya, and C. T. Tadmor. 2016. "Reducing Prejudice and Promoting Positive Intergroup Attitudes among Elementary-School Children in the Context of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict." Journal of School Psychology 57: 53-72. doi:10.1016/j.jsp.2016.04.003.

Bertram-Troost, G., and S. Miedema. 2017. "Fostering Religious Tolerance in Education: The Dutch Perspective." In Lived Religion and the Politics of (In)tolerance, edited by R. R. Ganzevoort and S. Sremac, 237–257. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.

Bertram-Troost, G., O. Schihalejev, and S. Neill. 2014. "Religious Diversity in Society and School: Pupils' Perspectives on Religion, Religious Tolerance and Religious Education: An Introduction to the Redco Research Network." Religious Education Journal of Australia 30 (1): 17.

Christiani, T. K. 2005. "Blessed are the Peacemakers: Christian Religious Education for Peacebuilding in the Pluralistic Indonesian Context." PhD diss., Boston College.

Davies, B. 1991. "The Concept of Agency: A Feminist Poststructuralist Analysis." Social Analysis 30: 42-53.

De Silva, P. 2011, "Tolerance and Empathy: Exploring Contemplative Methods in the Classroom," In Religious Tolerance, Education and the Curriculum, edited by E. B. Coleman and K. White, 99-110. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Fealy, G. 2019. "Reformasi and the Decline of Liberal Islam." In Activists in Transition: Progressive Politics in Democratic Indonesia, edited by T. Dibley, M. Ford, and Y. L. M. Sastramidjaja, 117–134. New York: Cornell University Press.

Fearnley-Sanders, M., and E. Yulaelawati. 2008. "Citizenship Discourse in the Context of Decentralisation: The Case of Indonesia." In Citizenship Curriculum in Asia and the Pacific, edited by D. L. Grossman, W. O. Lee, and K. J. Kennedy, 111-126. Dordrecht: Springer.

Foucault, M. 1982. "The Subject and Power." Critical Inquiry 8 (4): 777-795. doi:10.1086/448181.

Hefner, R. W. 2019. "Whatever Happened to Civil Islam? Islam and Democratisation in Indonesia, 20 Years On." Asian Studies Review 43 (3): 375-396. doi:10.1080/10357823.2019.1625865.

Hoon, C.-Y. 2013. "Multicultural Citizenship Education in Indonesia: The Case of a Chinese Christian School." Journal of Southeast Asian Studies 44 (3): 490-510. doi:10.1017/S0022463413000349.

Hoon, C.-Y. 2017. "Putting Religion into Multiculturalism: Conceptualising Religious Multiculturalism in Indonesia." Asian Studies Review 41 (3): 476-493. doi:10.1080/10357823.2017.1334761.

Intan, B. F. 2006. "Public Religion" and the Pancasila-Based State of Indonesia: An Ethical and Sociological Analysis. New York: Peter Lang.

Irawan, A. M. 2017. "They are Not Muslims': A Critical Discourse Analysis of the Ahmadiyya Sect Issue in Indonesia." Discourse and Society 28 (2): 162-181. doi:10.1177/0957926516685462.

Jackson, R. 2011. "The Interpretive Approach as a Research Tool: Inside the Redco Project." British Journal of Religious Education 33 (2): 189-208. doi:10.1080/01416200.2011.543602.

Kraft, J., and D. Basinger, Eds. 2016. Religious Tolerance through Humility: Thinking with Philip Quinn. New York: Routledge.



Kuwado, F. J. 2017. "Apa Itu Unit Kerja Presiden Pembinaan Pancasila?" *Kompas*. https://nasional.kompas.com/read/2017/06/02/08475691/apa.itu.unit.kerja.presiden.pembinaan.pancasila

Leirvik, O. 2004. "Religious Education, Communal Identity and National Politics in the Muslim World." *British Journal of Religious Education* 26 (3): 223–236. doi:10.1080/0141620042000232283.

Mietzner, M. 2018. "Fighting Illiberalism with Illiberalism: Islamist Populism and Democratic Deconsolidation in Indonesia." *Pacific Affairs* 91 (2): 261–282. doi:10.5509/2018912261.

Morris, R. W. 2011. "Cultivating Reflection and Understanding: Foundations and Orientations of Québec's Ethics and Religious Culture Program." *Religion and Education* 38 (3): 188–211. doi:10.1080/15507394.2011.609118.

MUI: Fatwa Haram 'Sepilis' Harus Disosialisasikan Lagi. 2019. https://www.moeslimchoice.com/read/2019/09/23/26832/mui:-fatwa-haram-%E2%80%98sepilis%E2%80%99-harus-disosialisasikan-lagi

Pandia, S. 2006. "Wakil Jatim Menyabet Putri Waria 2006." *Liputan6*. https://www.liputan6.com/news/read/124866/wakil-jatim-menyabet-putri-waria-2006

Parker, L. 2010. "Teaching Religious Tolerance." *Inside Indonesia*. https://www.insideindonesia.org/teaching-religious-tolerance

Parker, L. 2014. "Religious Education for Peaceful Coexistence in Indonesia?" South East Asia Research 22 (4): 487–504. doi:10.5367/sear.2014.0231.

Parker, L. 2018. "Commitment and Inconsistency in Teaching Tolerance: The New Curriculum in Indonesia." In *Routledge International Handbook of Multicultural Education Research in Asia Pacific*, edited by Y.-K. Cha, S.-H. Ham, and M. Lee, 120–131. New York: Routledge.

Parker, L., and C.-Y. Hoon. 2013. "Secularity, Religion and the Possibilities for Religious Citizenship." Asian Journal of Social Science 41 (2): 150–174. doi:10.1163/15685314-12341296.

Rahmat, M., E. Firdaus, and M. W. Yahya. 2019. "Creating Religious Tolerance through Quran-Based Learning Model for Religious Education." *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam* 5 (2): 175–188. doi:10.15575/jpi.v5i2.6467.

Raihani. 2011. "A Whole-School Approach: A Proposal for Education for Tolerance in Indonesia." *Theory and Research in Education* 9 (1): 23–39. doi:10.1177/1477878510394806.

Schäfer, S. 2019. "Democratic Decline in Indonesia: The Role of Religious Authorities." *Pacific Affairs* 92 (2): 235–255. doi:10.5509/2019922235.

Schweitzer, F. 2007. "Religious Individualization: New Challenges to Education for Tolerance." *British Journal of Religious Education* 29 (1): 89–100. doi:10.1080/01416200601037551.

Sirry, M. 2020. "Muslim Student Radicalism and Self-Deradicalization in Indonesia." *Islam and Christian–Muslim Relations* 31 (2): 241–260. doi:10.1080/09596410.2020.1770665.

Sumaktoyo, N. G. 2018. "Essays on Social Relationships and the Making of Religious Tolerance." PhD diss., University of Notre Dame.

Suryani, A. 2017. "Motivations and Aspirations of Teacher Education Students in Indonesia." In *Global Perspectives on Teacher Motivation*, edited by H. M. G. Watt, P. W. Richardson, and K. Smith, 248–296. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Suryani, A. 2020a. "I Chose Teacher Education because . . . ': A Look into Indonesian Future Teachers." *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*. doi:10.1080/02188791.2020.1783202.

Suryani, A. 2020b. "The Role of Religious Beliefs in Teacher Education Students' Career Aspirations." In *Preparing Indonesian Youth: A Review of Educational Research*, edited by A. Suryani, I. Tirtowalujo, and H. Masalam, 66–88. Leiden: Brill.

Van Der Walt, J. L., F. J. Potgieter, and C. C. Wolhuter. 2010. "The Road to Religious Tolerance in Education in South Africa (And Elsewhere): A Possible 'Martian Perspective'." *Religion, State and Society* 38 (1): 29–52. doi:10.1080/09637490903500507.

Wahid Foundation. 2016. "LGBT People Have Right to Live Equally with Others: Yenny Wahid." http://wahidfoundation. org/eng/index.php/news/detail/LGBT-People-Have-Right-to-Live-Equally-With-Others-Yenny-Wahid

Weedon, C. 1987. Feminist Practice and Poststructuralist Theory. Oxford: Blackwell.

Wijaya Mulya, T., and A. Aditomo. 2019. "Researching Religious Tolerance Education Using Discourse Analysis: A Case Study from Indonesia." *British Journal of Religious Education* 41 (4): 446–457. doi:10.1080/01416200.2018.1556602.

Willig, C. 2013. Introducing Qualitative Research in Psychology. Berkshire: Open University Press.

Windle, J. 2011. "What Knowledge for Understanding?: Addressing Ignorance of Islam in Australian Schools." In *Religious Tolerance, Education and the Curriculum*, edited by E. B. Coleman and K. White, 67–84. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.

Home ▶ All Journals ▶ British Journal of Religious Education ▶ List of Issues ▶ Volume 44, Issue 1

British Journal of Religious Education

Publish with us Submit an

article >

About this journal 🗸 **Explore** Browse all articles & issues >

Latest issue

Alerts & RSS feed >

Subscribe

+ Purchase a subscription



Browse this journal

- Latest articles
- > Current issue
- List of issues
- Special issues
- > Open access articles
- Most read articles
- Most cited articles





ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/cbre20

A very long walk to freedom

Julian Stern

To cite this article: Julian Stern (2022) A very long walk to freedom, British Journal of Religious Education, 44:1, 1-3, DOI: 10.1080/01416200.2021.1992873

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/01416200.2021.1992873

	Published online: 25 Nov 2021.
	Submit your article to this journal 🗷
ılıl	Article views: 1391
Q ^N	View related articles 🗷
CrossMark	View Crossmark data ☑



EDITORIAL



A very long walk to freedom

Nelson Mandela's autobiography had the evocative title, *Long Walk to Freedom* (Mandela 2008). It was a personal history intertwined with a history of South Africa. Every country, every community, every person who is walking towards freedom is aware of what a very long walk it is. Sometimes, walking to freedom involves walking *away* – escaping, avoiding, creating a new life on the other side of the world. Sometimes, the long walk is *through* the fire of battle, the struggle within oneself and within one's own community or country. And the character of freedom itself can be described as freedom *from* and freedom *to*, the two concepts of liberty described by Berlin([1950–2004] 2013). Religious Education (RE) has a distinctive, problematic, relationship to freedom. Some see RE as restrictive of freedom, and whole nations have attempted to limit RE in order to 'free' young people from religion's restrictions. The USA and France both walk *away* from religion in their (public) school curriculum. Others see RE as an opportunity to address religious and nonreligious conflicts directly, dialogically. Those putting diversity, intersectionality and decolonisation at the centre of RE are hoping the subject will walk to freedom *through* encounters with religion. And there is a third set of educationalists who see RE as useful if and only if it avoids any controversy and focuses instead on (only) religious knowledge or 'literacy'.

A whole range of such positions is represented in this issue of the BJRE. There are articles promoting the idea that RE is itself necessary for the walk to freedom, articles that argue for this with empirical evidence drawn from the views of young people and teachers, evidence from relatively peaceful contexts and from contexts riven with conflict. And there are articles explaining some of the difficulties of RE, difficulties of avoiding challenges, and difficulties of facing challenges. There is research from predominantly Christian contexts (the USA, Australia, Ireland, Finland), from predominantly Muslim contexts (Indonesia, Iran), and from mixed contexts (Wales, Hong Kong, Israel). As I say at several points in this editorial, the walk to freedom is unlikely to be straightforward or easy to follow. Nevertheless, I think the research here provides substantial evidence of the potential value of RE in the complex social and political situations in which we find ourselves.

In the first article of this issue, Philip Barnes goes to the heart of several of these matters, in his debate with those who claim religious education should not be part of the school curriculum. Does RE contribute to an education for freedom, or does it walk in the opposite direction? There are some empirical issues ('does RE contribute to religious tolerance?', for example) and some matters of principle ('what is the relationship between religion and rationality?'). What is clear is that these are complex matters, not easily dismissed by simple arguments. Other articles in this issue take the debate further. The article by Peter Wiens, Andromeda Hightower, Paul Yoder and Annie Chou explores how teaching – in a private Christian school in the USA – might integrate religious induction and (other) subject teaching. One might say it explores the practice of teaching religiously, rather than (simply) teaching *religion*. Is this a route to freedom through religion, or an unreasonable restriction on freedom by religion? The teachers in this study seemed to find more of the former, and were comfortable with teaching religiously across the curriculum. They found it harder, however, to work with the parents of their students in the same way. The competing rights – the right to be educated, the rights of the child, the rights of parents, and so on – are often tested by the relationship between teachers and parents, described by Lawrence-Lightfoot as an 'essential conversation' between 'natural enemies' (Lawrence-Lightfoot 2003, 42).

Another article reporting on schools of a religious character addresses Roman Catholic RE in Australia. Bill Sultmann, Janeen Lamb, David Hall and Gary Borg provide empirical evidence of how teachers were supported in the introduction of a new RE syllabus. That syllabus, reflecting the diversity of the student population in Catholic schools as well as the Catholic identity of those schools, attempted to reflect the depth of the Catholic tradition through (and not despite) educational processes that put student agency, equity and authenticity at the centre of RE. In terms of freedom, this is attempting freedom *through* religion. It will be interesting to see how the new syllabus is regarded after it has been established for a longer time. If Catholic education is challenging in a predominantly secular Australian context, then the Irish context is even more contentious. As Patricia Kieran, Marie Parker-Jenkins, and Anne Ryan gently point out, 'religious diversity has underpinned political turmoil and educational and cultural division'. This study explores the views of students in higher education, in terms of religious self-identification and attitudes to religious diversity. The need for more or better RE, to increase understanding, was stressed by these young adults from across the island of Ireland. They were positive about diversity whilst being well aware of the tensions created by unwelcoming attitudes to members of different religions.

Following the three articles set in predominantly Christian contexts are two articles that address predominantly Muslim contexts. Samaneh Khalili, Arto Kallioniemi and Khosrow Bagheri Noaparast compare the RE in Finland with that in Iran. Central to the research is an articulation and exploration of the possibility of individual agency – and by implication freedom – within the nominally 'liberal' Finnish system and the Islamic system in Iran. The authors move the debate forward, not least by considering liberalism from a range of perspectives. It was the political philosopher John Rawls who pointed out that European liberalism was a post-Reformation response to the irresolvable fundamental *disagreements* between Protestant and Catholic Christians, rather than being, as it is so often portrayed, a kind of post-religious secular universalism (Rawls 2005, xxiv, 2007, 11). The modern idea of 'celebrating diversity', mentioned in the Finnish context, is not quite the same as the classical liberal idea of creating a political system that would not be destroyed by ongoing and irresolvable religious disagreements. Before and beyond the Reformation, there was little need for such forms of liberalism, Rawls suggests. So this article presents an excellent illustration of how agency can be promoted within a society outside the European liberal tradition. It is not a simple matter – as I need to say repeatedly in this editorial. But the framework for further discussion is well presented here.

More work on religious tolerance within Islam is described in the article by Teguh Wijaya Mulya, Anindito Aditomo and Anne Suryani. Trainee teachers in Indonesia were balancing their own 'religiously tolerant subjectivity' through discourses of spiritual Islam, postmodern sensibilities, and a concern over the growing conservative, Islamist, and radical groups. RE can help young Indonesians walk in either direction, towards or away from tolerance – and the authors explain how the different possibilities should be addressed directly, giving the next generation of teachers agency in their country's future. Agency and voice are no less significant for the younger Muslim and Christian children studied by Leslie Francis, David Lankshear and Ursula McKenna. Both Muslim and Christian children – in Welsh schools with Anglican Christian foundations – felt broadly positive towards their schools, but the Muslim children felt the schools were less positive about their religion than about Christianity. Although this may seem unsurprising (as the schools all had an explicitly Christian character), it is worth exploring further, as Christian education is so often described as supportive of religious diversity and inclusion.

Other challenges of religious diversity are presented by Ayman Agbaria and Daniel Statman, who explore Judaism and Islam in Israeli education. In some ways closer to the Irish situation (i.e. a long history of religious conflict that cannot be ignored) than the Indonesian situation (where a move to a more spiritual or postmodern religiosity may overcome much intolerance), the authors emphasise inter-religious *dialogue*. Such dialogue is well-founded in both Judaism and Islam, so the article – like that comparing Finnish and Iranian RE – draws on ideas that are central to religion, rather than creating a system that sits 'above' religion (as some versions of liberalism attempt). However, it is not a *system* that walks to freedom, but groups of *people* – and those involved in the *From the Wells*

project are walking courageously and optimistically through difficult territory. Will the optimism be fruitful? As Walter Benjamin noted, '[e]ach epoch dreams the one to follow' (Benjamin [1927–39] 1999, 4). Let us dream together, then. Celeste Y M Yuen and Kim Hung Leung researched the diversity in contemporary Hong Kong, China, where civic engagement is positively correlated with religious affiliation and 'spiritual health'. As in Israel, the walk to freedom is therefore likely to be done with, rather than despite or against, religion. But – once again – there are certainly no simple lessons for RE, other than that RE is or should be central to education, one way or another.

An idea linking all the research in this issue is that it is 'we', rather than 'l', who walk to freedom. RE is a 'we' subject, in the sense that it typically links the pupils studying the subject with bigger communities and traditions. There are dangers that RE can also become an 'us and them' subject, separating rather than bringing together different communities. Relationality, though, is always central to the subject. In the final article, Jo Fraser-Pearce considers spiritual education as a 'subspecies' of relational education. Spirituality as relationship to the 'transcendent', promoted in this article, of course begs the question of what transcends, with broader models of spirituality such as those of Hay, quoted in the article, or Buber ([1965] 2002), seeing all genuine dialogue between people as not only spirited but as a possible route to the divine. Yet Fraser-Pearce's article is an excellent account of the need to clarify the ways in which we are using 'spirituality' in education – the need to walk *through* the debates and controversies, rather than avoiding them in such a way that spirituality becomes 'universal and inoffensive' and no more than 'the aromatherapy of the curriculum' (Mason 2000, quoting Terence Copley).

Schools are communities directed at the future, they are intergenerational encounters – encountering previous generations (especially through RE and other humanities subjects) and looking towards future generations. It is a very long walk to freedom – a walk through the generations. Religious and spiritual education has much to offer. Let's walk.

References

Benjamin, W. [1927-39] 1999. The Arcades Project. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Belknap Press.

Berlin, I. [1950-2004] 2013. Liberty. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Buber, M. [1965] 2002. Between Man and Man. London: Routledge.

Lawrence-Lightfoot, S. 2003. *The Essential Conversation: What Parents and Teachers Can Learn from Each Other*. New York: Ballantine.

Mandela, N. 2008. Long Walk to Freedom: The Autobiography of Nelson Mandela. New York: Little, Brown and Company. Mason, M. 2000. "Spirituality – What on Earth is it?" Paper given at the International Conference of Children's Spirituality at Roehampton Institute, Roehampton, Surrey. Accessed 1 October 2021. https://humanists.uk/wp-content/uploads/SpiritualitywhatonEarthisit.pdf

Rawls, J. 2005. Political Liberalism: Expanded Edition. New York: Columbia University Press.

Rawls, J. 2007. Lectures on the History of Political Philosophy. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Belknap Press.

Julian Stern

Bishop Grosseteste University

☑ julian.stern@bishopg.ac.uk



British Journal of Religious Education, Volume 44, Issue 1 (2022)

See all volumes and issues < Vol 42 > Volume 44, 2022 Vol 43, 2021 Issue Issue Issue Issue 3 **↓** Display order (Default) ✓ Browse by section (All) **Editorial** Editorial A very long walk to freedom > Julian Stern Pages: 1-3 Published online: 25 Nov 2021 1391 0 Views CrossRef citations Altmetric



Article Religious education for free and equal citizens >	
L. Philip Barnes Pages: 4-13 Published online: 26 Nov 2020	
492 0 0 Views CrossRef citations Altmetric	
Article Antecedents of faith integration self-efficacy in PreK-12 Menn schools in North America >	
Peter D. Wiens, Andromeda Hightower, Paul J. Yoder & Annie Chou Pages: 14-25 Published online: 19 Jul 2021	
186 0 0 Views CrossRef citations Altmetric	
Article Into the deep: a quantitative analysis of teacher ratings of the development and implementation of a trial religious education curriculum >	
Willian Sultmann, Janeen Lamb, David Hall & Gary Borg Pages: 26-37 Published online: 15 Aug 2021	
346 0 0 Views CrossRef citations Altmetric	
Article Religions and beliefs in changing times: perspectives of student stakeholders in third-level educational contexts in the Republic of	

Ireland and Northern Ireland >

Patricia Kieran, Marie Parker-Jenkins & Anne Ryan

Pages: 38-52

Published online: 10 Jun 2020

427 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Altmetric

() Article

Characteristics of human agency in liberal and Islamic religious education based on the national core curricula of Finland and Iran

Samaneh Khalili, Arto Kallioniemi & Khosrow Bagheri Noaparast

Pages: 53-65

Published online: 26 Jan 2021

2046 0 0 Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

Article

On being a religiously tolerant Muslim: discursive contestations among pre-service teachers in contemporary Indonesia

Teguh Wijaya Mulya, Anindito Aditomo & Anne Suryani

Pages: 66-79

Published online: 19 Apr 2021

216 3
Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

Article

Comparing the attitudes of Muslim and Christian year 5 and 6 students within four Anglican primary schools in Wales >

Leslie J. Francis, David W. Lankshear & Ursula McKenna

Pages: 80-86

Published online: 02 Feb 2021

a



Article

'From the wells': teaching openness in Judaism and Islam towards a shared society in Israel? >

Ayman K. Agbaria & Daniel Statman

Pages: 87-97

Published online: 31 Jan 2021

154 1
Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

Article

The role of religion in civic engagement of young people from diverse cultures in Hong Kong >

Celeste Y.M. Yuen & Kim Hung Leung

Pages: 98-111

Published online: 25 Apr 2021

463 0
Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

Article

Spiritual education as a subspecies of relational education? >

Jo Fraser-Pearce

Pages: 112-121

Published online: 29 Jan 2021

2148 0
Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

Book Reviews

Book Review

Religious education and the Anglo-world: the impact of empire, Britishness, and decolonialisation in Australia, Canada and New Zealand >

by Stephen Jackson, Brill, 2020, 98 pp., €96.00 both, ISBN 978-90-04-43216-1 (softback); 978-90-04-43217-8 (E-book)

Jonathan Doney

Pages: 122-123

Published online: 13 May 2021

86 0
Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

) Book Review

Religious education from a critical realist perspective: *sensus fidei* and critical thinking >

by Johnny C. Go, London and New York, Routledge, 2019, 144 pp., £36.99 (pbk), £120.00 (hbk), £33.29 (ebook), ISBN: 978-1-138-49824-2

David Kennedy

Pages: 124-126

Published online: 10 May 2021

137 0
Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

) Book Review

Location, space and place in religious education >

edited by Martin Rothgangal, Kerstin von Bromssen, Hans-Gunter Heimbrock, Geir Skeie, Munster, New York, Waxmann, 2017, 234 pp., 34.90 euros (Paperback); 30.99 euros (e-book), ISBN 978-3-8309-3625-1

Janet Orchard

Pages: 127-128

Published online: 17 Oct 2021

In My Library

Article

Moving my library to an indigenous village: religious diversity in Mexican intercultural education >

Gunther Dietz

Pages: 129-131

Published online: 10 May 2021

78 0
Views CrossRef citations Altmetric

Explore articles



Dialogues between Ivan and Smerdyakov after the murder of their father from *The Brothers Karamazov*: their educational implications >

Eisuke Saito

Article | Published online: 20 Mar 2023

The possibility of a truth-beyond-being and givenness: engaging the work of Jean-Luc Marion in the hermeneutics of religious education >

David Kennedy

Article | Published online: 2 Mar 2023

The lived religious beliefs and experiences of English Hindu teenagers at home and at school >

Joseph Chadwin

Article | Published online: 1 Mar 2023

a

Addressing controversial issues in religious education by enacting and rehearsing democracy through Forum Theatre: student perspectives >

Aina Hammer

Article | Published online: 13 Feb 2023

View all latest articles>

Information for Open access

Authors Overview

R&D professionals Open journals

Editors Open Select

Librarians Dove Medical Press

Societies F1000Research

Opportunities Help and information

Reprints and e-prints Help and contact

Advertising solutions Newsroom

Accelerated publication All journals

Corporate access solutions Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Copyright © 2023 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG

Publish with us Submit an article ~

About this journal v

Explore Browse all articles & issues >

Latest issue

Subscribe Alerts & RSS feed ~

+ Purchase a subscription

Log in | Register



Ready to submit?

Start a new manuscript submission or continue a submission in progress

Go to submission site 🗹

Submission information

- > Instructions for authors
- ➤ Editorial policies

Editing services

➤ Editing services site

About this journal

- Journal metrics
- Aims & scope
- Journal information
- Society information
- > Editorial board
- News & call for papers
- Related websites
- > Advertising information



Understanding and using journal metrics

Journal metrics can be a useful tool for readers, as well as for authors who are deciding where to submit their next manuscript for publication. However, any one metric only tells a part of the story of a journal's quality and impact. Each metric has its limitations which means that it should never be considered in isolation, and metrics should be used to support and not replace qualitative review.

We strongly recommend that you always use a number of metrics, alongside other qualitative factors such as a journal's aims & scope, its readership, and a review of past content published in the journal. In addition, a single article should always be assessed on its own merits and never based on the metrics of the journal it was published in.

For more details, please read the Author Services guide to understanding journal metrics.

Journal metrics in brief

Usage and acceptance rate data above are for the last full calendar year and are updated annually in February. Speed data is updated every six months, based on the prior six months. Citation metrics are updated annually mid-year. Please note that some journals do not display all of the following metrics (find out why).

• **Usage:** the total number of times articles in the journal were viewed by users of Taylor & Francis Online in the previous calendar year, rounded to the nearest thousand.

within a two-year window. Only journals in the Clarivate Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE)



- **5 Year Impact Factor*:** the average number of citations received by articles in the journal within a five-year window.
- **CiteScore (Scopus)†:** the average number of citations received by articles in the journal over a four-year period.
- CiteScore Best Quartile†: the journal's highest CiteScore ranking in a Scopus subject category.
 Q1 = 25% of journals with the highest CiteScores.
- **SNIP** (**Source Normalized Impact per Paper**): the number of citations per paper in the journal, divided by citation potential in the field.
- **SJR (Scimago Journal Rank):** Average number of (weighted) citations in one year, divided by the number of articles published in the journal in the previous three years.

Speed/acceptance

- **From submission to first decision:** the average (median) number of days for a manuscript submitted to the journal to receive a first decision. Based on manuscripts receiving a first decision in the last six months.
- From submission to first post-review decision: the average (median) number of days for a manuscript submitted to the journal to receive a first decision if it is sent out for peer review. Based on manuscripts receiving a post-review first decision in the last six months.
- **From acceptance to online publication:** the average (median) number of days from acceptance of a manuscript to online publication of the Version of Record. Based on articles published in the last six months.

For more details on the data above inlease read the Author Services guide to





R&D professionals

Publish

with us

Explore

Latest issue

Open iournals

Funchase a subscription

Opportunities Help and information

Reprints and e-prints Help and contact

Advertising solutions Newsroom

Accelerated publication All journals

Corporate access solutions Books

Keep up to date

Register to receive personalised research and resources by email



Sign me up











Copyright © 2023 Informa UK Limited Privacy policy Cookies Terms & conditions

Accessibility

Registered in England & Wales No. 3099067 5 Howick Place | London | SW1P 1WG