

JIA (Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi) • 7 (1), 40-58 • June 2022



The Value Relevance of Quantity and Quality of Sustainability Reporting: Evidence from Indonesia

Merelyn, Rizky Eriandani^{*} Universitas Surabaya, Jl. Raya Kalirungkut, Surabaya, Indonesia *rizky.eriandani@staff.ubaya.ac.id

CITATION:

Abstract

Merelyn, Merelyn, & Eriandani, Rizky (2022). The Value Relevance of Quantity and Quality of Sustainability Reporting: Evidence from Indonesia. JIA (Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi), 7 (1), 40-58.

ARTICLE HISTORY:

Received: December 26th, 2021 Revised: March 29th, 2022 Accepted: July 5th, 2022

DOI: 10.23887/jia.v7i1.41533

This study aims to determine the value relevance of the quantity and quality of sustainability report disclosure by examining its effect on firm value. The population of this research are companies from all sectors that are listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange and published sustainability reports in 2015-2020. The final sample that meets the research criteria is 267 companies. This study uses a multiple linear regression test model with 3 dependent variables as a proxy for firm value, namely log Tobin's Q (TQ), Return on Assets (ROA), and Market Capitalization (MC). Two independent variables in the model, namely the quantity and quality of sustainability report disclosures are calculated by analyzing the content of the sustainability report according to the 2018 GRI standards. This study provides results where both the quantity and quality of the sustainability report disclosures have no effect on the value of the company, which means they are not value relevant.

Keywords: firm value; value relevance; quantity of sustainability disclosure; quality of sustainability disclosure

INTRODUCTION

Recently, the world economy, including Indonesia, is growing. The business environment is faced with a volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous market (VUCA) or, in short, changes that are difficult to predict (Bennett and Lemoine, 2014). These changes encourage rational investor demand for adequate and relevant information as input for decision-making the capital in market. Rational investors develop a multidimensional focus on both the financial aspects reflected in the company's financial statements and non-financial aspects. The financial longer considered aspect is no adequate as the only input for decision-making due to the limitations of describing the company's performance only in the short term.

This is supported by the fact that the value phenomenon of relevance deterioration in accounting information in financial statements, especially earnings, has significantly occurred within 50 years (Barth et al., 2017). This phenomenon shows that financial statements alone cannot be relied on as the only source of information in investor decisionmaking and effectively reduce information asymmetry between stakeholders and the company. This deterioration causes the entity to transform in reporting all aspects of the entity, both financial and nonfinancial aspects such as social and environmental performance, to stakeholders. This non-financial information is expected to be used as supplementary information relevant to decision-making and reduces agency conflict between the company and its stakeholders.

According to Melzatia et al. (2018),Sustainability reports are necessary for companies and have a crucial role in communicating social and environmental aspects to stakeholders. Sustainability reports are essential to serving as strategic documents in placing issues, challenges, and opportunities for sustainable development in the entity's core business. Disclosure of sustainability reports contains crucial

information value on the company's long-term success. survival. and organizational growth, as Lozano and Huisingh (2011)stated. This is because with companies good performance are accountable and prove their concern for the surrounding environment tend to get support and have a good image in the community, thus supporting business continuity in the long term. On this basis, the disclosure of sustainability reports is considered essential for the company and the presentation of financial statements that only describe the company's performance in the short term.

Disclosure of sustainability reports in Indonesia is still voluntary, so organizations have flexibility in preparing sustainability reports. OJK (2017) notes that only about 9% of publicly listed companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) publish sustainability reports based on the Global Reporting Index (GRI) framework. This condition received attention and encouragement from several parties, such as the Indonesia Stock Exchange, which collaborated with the Global Reporting Initiative Indonesia is holding a Business Reporting seminar on the Sustainable Development Goals in 2019. The rules for carrying out social and environmental responsibility for

entities in Indonesia have also been listed in Undang-Undang Number 40 of 2007 Article 74 paragraph (1), which discusses the responsibilities of companies that run their business in the field or related to natural resources which are required to carry out Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).

In reality, not all companies are responsible for social and environmental issues. One case of environmental damage to a 1,232hectare mangrove forest in East Nusa Tenggara due to an oil spill shows that its level of concern is still low on the environmental damage caused by its operational activities. This encourages questioning the mindset company's that only prioritizes profit and causes negative externalities to the environment in carrying out its business processes. Therefore, a sustainability report is expected to be a motivation for increasing the concern and accountability of entities to the society condition of and the environment. This is in line with Liu et al. (2018), which reveals that reporting on the company's sustainability performance is mandatory to balance the aspects of the triple bottom line or 3P (Profit, Planet, People) within the entity.

In response to the increasing stakeholder demand for relevant and valuable information on corporate sustainability reports, several previous studies have attempted to examine the value relevance of the information contained in the sustainability report. This research on the value relevance of sustainability report information is based on the theory of decision usefulness and relevance value approach. which states that not only financial information is considered in making investment decisions. Several studies the value relevance of reveal sustainability report disclosures, such as Alotaibi and Hussainey (2016), who found a positive association between social responsibility corporate disclosure and market capitalization. Supporting this finding, Zahller et al. (2015), who tested the accuracy and completeness of CSR information, also found that higher quality of social responsibility disclosures led to higher investor perceptions of entities. This causes measurable, consistent, and comparable social responsibility reporting to be crucial for companies to reduce exogenous shocks in the market by providing a positive signal for investors.

Social responsibility is believed to reduce company risk (Eriandani & Wijaya, 2021). Rational investors assume the entity's disclosure of sustainability report information as a form of entity transparency and accountability. The implication is that investors process this information as positive signal for а better а interpretation of the company's financial performance and, ultimately, is reflected in a higher company value.

However, several other studies have given conflicting results. Narullia and Subroto (2018) examined the value relevance of accounting and CSR information in calculating the value of companies in Indonesia and Singapore, found results where CSR information was irrelevant in the two countries. This is because apart from the increasing number of companies publishing sustainability reports, the quality of the reports still has limitations due to the non-uniform reporting of the GRI index, which is considered the "gold standard" or other applicable indexes. The implication is that information users do not know how well the company's sustainability report reports and reduces the quality of comparability between sustainability reports.

Likewise, Amrousy *et al.* (2012), who examined companies in America and Israel, found no difference in market reactions when companies published sustainability reports and those that did not. The rationale for

finding is the potential for this opportunistic manager behavior who has terrible intentions by taking advantage of increased sustainability disclosures to reporting cover in imperfections their financial statement information. causing agency conflict.

This study aims to understand the relevance of the quantity and quality of sustainability report disclosure by examining its effect on firm value. This research provides two main contributions. First, it enriches the empirical literature by providing a comprehensive description of the relevant value of both the quantity and quality of disclosure of sustainability reports in all corporate sectors in Indonesia. Second, this study uses three different variables as proxies for firm value in assessing the relevant value of the quantity and quality of sustainability report disclosure.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory states that the company must meet the information needs and interests of all its stakeholders in addition to the interests of shareholders. There are four primary keys in stakeholder theory by Freeman (1984). First, the entity consists of a network of relationships among the many organization. stakeholders of the Second, the manager's job is to create value for stakeholders. Third, the concept of integration of ethics with business decisions. Fourth, the company is built on a specific purpose that goes beyond the purpose of commercial profit.

Companies with large scale have incentives to disclose more additional information voluntarily due to pressure from various stakeholders (Elfeky, 2017). Given that the company's goal is to maximize stakeholder value, the company must expand the company's strategy and objectives that previously only focused on profit to social and environmental responsibility, as reflected in the sustainability report.

Legitimacy Theory

Legitimacy is defined as the general perception that an entity's actions are desirable, appropriate, and appropriate within the societally constructed system of norms, values, beliefs, and definitions (Suchman, 1995). Legitimacy theory explains that companies have a social contract with the community, so they are motivated to make voluntary disclosures to complete the disclosures required by regulations. Based on legitimacy

theory which is based on public perception, management must disclose information that can change the opinion of external users on the company's condition. CSR disclosure is a communication mechanism from entities to assure the public that they have fulfilled their social contract (Mobus, 2005). The legitimacy gap will occur when the entity violates the social contract. The entity gets an incentive to disclose the sustainability report as relevant supplementary information to close the gap and gain acceptance from the community. The sustainability report is an entity's communication mechanism to the community, including approaches and methods related to its contribution to environment. the society, and community. It is an effort to get the blessing and support of the community in its operational activities (Swarnapali, 2019).

Signalling and Agency Theory

Signaling theory closely related to the case of information asymmetry faced in the capital market. information Asymmetric can be reduced by disclosing information as a signal to other parties (Cotter et al., 2019). This theory underlies the motivation of corporate managers in providing additional information to the public to assist investors in making decisions. The purpose of signals conveyed to positive the market is to show the company's is better than position other companies in the industry, attract investment, and form а good reputation or image of the company in community. Signaling the theory have explains why companies incentives to report and disclose information to markets and stakeholders beyond what is required or regulated. The information contained in the sustainability report is expected to signal to the market so that it can increase the stock's market value (Reddy dan Gordon, 2010). Given that the disclosure of sustainability reports in Indonesia is still not mandatory, there is flexibility in preparing sustainability reports so that signaling becomes effective. This is because, in order to be able to give a signal, management must have the space to choose the policies in preparing the desired report (Scott, 2015). The level of sustainability will report disclosure increase transparency by communicating the company's risks and opportunities to stakeholders. Ultimately, it can improve the quality of decisionmaking by investors (Beerbaum dan Puaschunder. 2018). Furthermore, voluntary disclosure of sustainability reports helps reduce information

asymmetry from company managers (insiders) and stakeholders (outsiders) by providing more information related to the quality and actual value of the company, thereby reducing agency conflicts between them (Comyns dan Figge, 2015; Elfeky, 2017; Hahn dan Kühnen, 2013).

Sustainability Disclosure

The Global Reporting Initiative defines sustainability reporting as the practice of measuring, disclosing, and being accountable to internal and external stakeholders regarding an organization's performance in achieving sustainable development goals. The sustainability report is a forum for companies to disclose economic, environmental, and social aspects of the triple bottom line. The GRI is а globally standardized guideline and the most widely used in sustainability reporting. GRI assists businesses and governments in understanding and communicating impacts on sustainability issues such as climate change, human rights, governance, and social welfare. This reporting enables concrete actions to create social, environmental, and economic benefits for all parties Reporting concerned (Global 2021). Initiative, Several pillars underlie the concept of sustainability reporting, namely the economic aspect in creating output value, the environmental aspect that minimizes the environmental impact of operations, and the social aspect that develops working conditions and the quality of life of the community (Boychenko dan Pettinen, 2013).

Sustainability Report Regulation

Disclosure of sustainability reports in Indonesia is regulated based on Law Number 40 of 2007 concerning article 74 paragraph (1), which discusses the responsibilities of companies that run their business in the field and related to natural resources, which are mandatory in implementing Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR). In 2005, 5 organizations in Indonesia, namely the Institute of Management Accountants Indonesia (IAMI), the Forum for Corporate Governance in Indonesia (FCGI), the National Committee on Governance (NCG), the Indonesian Association of Issuers (AEI), and the Indonesian - The Association Netherlands (INA) established a non-profit organization National called the Center for Sustainability Report (NCSR). NCSR has been appointed a member of GRI since 2006 and is a training partner of GRI in Southeast Asia. Since 2005. NCSR has routinely awarded the Sustainability Reporting Award (SRA)

to organizations that have published sustainability reports as a form of recognition and appreciation. The existence of this SRA is expected to provide motivation and accelerate the of development sustainability reporting in Indonesia. This award is focused on reporting compliance and transparency of sustainability reporting against the GRI guidelines (National Center for Sustainability Reporting, 2021).

Sustainability Report Disclosure Quantity (SRL)

The quantity of sustainability disclosure indicates report the presence or absence of specific items in the GRI framework (Laskar and Gopal Maji, 2018). Laskar and Gopal Maji (2018) conducted a content analysis to measure the quantity of sustainability report disclosure by assigning a number 1 to each item disclosed and a number 0 to items that were not disclosed based on the applicable reporting framework. The 2018 GRI standard is the latest GRI standard issued in 2018, which contains 89 disclosure items divided into 17 items in the economic category, 32 items in the environmental category, and 40 items in the social category.

Sustainability Report Disclosure Quality (SRQ)

Sustainability report quality is defined as the quality and accuracy in disclosing items in the sustainability report. Referring to Cormier dan Magnan (1999), the sustainability report disclosure quality assessment is weighted on a scale of 0 to 3. The highest value is '3' for monetary '2' for disclosure. numerical disclosure, '1' is given for narrative form and '0' for non-disclosure. A higher value will describe a better quality of sustainability report disclosure.

Value Relevance

Scott (2015)defines *value relevance* as a condition in which security prices respond to accounting information. Meanwhile, Francis dan Schipper (1999) define value relevance as а statistical association of accounting information and firm value or stock returns. The concept of value relevance can measure the decisionusefulness of financial and nonfinancial information (Deegan, 2009). The value relevance approach believes that investors will predict future controls and can take advantage of all information valuable to make decisions. Information that has value relevance will be considered helpful by investors to reflect in stock returns or

company value (Barth et al., 2001). Kuzey dan Uyar (2017) found that the disclosure of sustainability reports drives the value of companies in Turkey, it is so said that sustainability reporting has relevant value. Referring to Alotaibi dan Hussainey (2016), the value relevance of CSR disclosure is seen from the effect of CSR disclosure on company value which is proxied by the value of the natural logarithm of Tobin's O, return on assets (ROA), and market capitalization.

Value Relevance of the Sustainability Report Disclosure Quantity

Alotaibi dan Hussainey (2016) found a positive association between non-financial corporate social responsibility disclosures in Saudi market capitalization Arabia and values that describe firm value. Based the legitimacv theory. on sustainability reporting is effective in increasing company value. Suppose the company can disclose activities related to its social responsibility objectively and appropriately. In that case, its operational activities will get support and approval from the community to run smoothly. Furthermore. based on signaling theory and agency theory, sustainability reports will be used as a communication medium to bridge information asymmetric between companies and stakeholders bv providing positive signals related to the company's economic, social, and environmental performance to reduce agency conflict. Supporting this. research Halimah et al. (2020), which examines the relevance of the value of sustainability reporting in Indonesia found and Singapore, that sustainability reporting significantly affects firm value. The sustainability report is relevant because it can be used as input for relevant information in investor decision-making and is reliably measured in reflecting the value of companies in Indonesia and Singapore. Based on the findings of the previous research, the researcher predicts that the quantity of sustainability report disclosure has a relevant value, so the hypothesis is formulated as follows:

H₁: The quantity of Sustainability report disclosure has a positive effect on firm value in Indonesia.

Value Relevant of the Disclosure Sustainability Report Quality

According to Ching *et al.* (2017), the quality of the sustainability report reflects information transparency and compliance with reporting principles. Reporting principles include materiality, stakeholder inclusiveness, completeness, comparability, balance, accuracy, and reliability. Zahller et al. (2015) tested the accuracy and completeness of CSR information and found that higher quality of social responsibility disclosure leads to higher investor perceptions of entities. According to signaling theory, this is because measurable, consistent, and comparable social responsibility can provide a positive signal for investors. Furthermore, the disclosure of sustainability report information encourages transparency and accountability so that investors will the better interpret company's financial performance and encourage higher company value. Based on the findings of the previous research, the researcher predicts that the quality of the sustainability report disclosure has a relevant value, thus formulating the following hypothesis:

H₂: Sustainability report disclosure quality has a positive effect on firm value in Indonesia.

METHOD

Research Sample

The study's target population is companies listed on the IDX that publish sustainability reports in the 2015-2020. Researchers used nonprobability sampling with purposive techniques based on the following criteria: (1) Issuers go public listed on the IDX and publish a sustainability report in 2015-2020; (2) issue an annual report in 2015-2020 using Rupiah as a monetary unit in the presentation of financial statements; (3) Issuers with a reporting period ending in December. Based on these criteria, 267 firm-years were obtained as the final sample size.

Research Model.

Equation on the SRL model:

 $TQ = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SRL + \beta_2 DER + \beta_4 AG + \beta_5 CAPEXAST + \varepsilon$ $ROA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SRL + \beta_2 DER + \beta_4 AG + \beta_5 CAPEXAST + \varepsilon$ $MC = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SRL + \beta_2 DER + \beta_4 AG + \beta_5 CAPEXAST + \varepsilon$

Equation on the SRQ model:

 $TQ = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SRQ + \beta_2 DER + \beta_4 AG + \beta_5 CAPEXAST + \varepsilon$ $ROA = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SRQ + \beta_2 DER + \beta_4 AG + \beta_5 CAPEXAST + \varepsilon$ $MC = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SRQ + \beta_2 DER + \beta_4 AG + \beta_5 CAPEXAST + \varepsilon$

Two independent variables - the quantity of sustainability report disclosure (SRL) and the quality of the sustainability report disclosure (SRQ) are calculated by the disclosure score formula. The SRL value is the percentage of the sum of the scores on company's the economic, environmental, and social items by maximum score. Each item the disclosed is given a value of '1', and items that are not disclosed are given '0'. The maximum score obtained is 89 from the number of GRI disclosure

dividing the total score of the entity's disclosure quality by the maximum value of quality. The quality score in question gives a score between 0 to 3 where the highest score of '3' will be given to monetary disclosure, '2' is given for numerical disclosure, '1' is given for narrative form and '0' for non-disclosure. While the maximum value of quality is 267. The three dependent variables as a proxy for company value used are TobinQ (TQ), Return on Assets (ROA), and market capitalization (MC). TO is the ratio of total debt and market value of equity to the book value of total assets is the ratio of the current year's profit to total assets. Meanwhile, MC is the result of multiplying the stock market price (end-year of reported, dec, 31) by the number of outstanding shares.

items in 2018. SRQ is obtained by

Meanwhile, the control variables used are DER, AG, and CAPEAXT. Alotaibi dan Hussainey (2016) state that the debt-to-equity ratio reflects the company's leverage level. Leverage has a significant influence on firm value, so capital structure is an important determinant of firm value (Aggarwal dan Padhan, 2017). DER is the ratio of total debt and total equity. The company's growth as reflected by asset growth will affect the company's ability to generate profits so as to encourage an increase in company value (Hamam *et al.*, 2020). AG is the difference between changes in assets in year t and total assets t-1. Trueman (1986) states that the level of capital expenditure provides a perfect signal regarding the value of the company. Therefore, the higher the amount of capital expenditure, investors value the company higher.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on table 1, descriptive statistics of eight variables with a total sample of 267 companies. The maximum and minimum values for the first dependent variable, namely TO, are 3.642 and -2.291, derived from the company data of PT Timah Tbk in 2017 and PT Bakrie & Brothers Tbk in 2019. The average TQ variable is 0.320, with a standard deviation of 0.689. Second, Return on Assets (ROA). The minimum value of the ROA variable is -0.549, which was owned by Bakrie & Brothers Tbk in 2016. This is because BNBR suffered substantial loss, namely а Rp. 3,598,601,000,000 with total assets of 6,558,438,000,000. The Rp. maximum value of the ROA variable is 0.527, which comes from Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk in 2017. The average value of the ROA variable is 0.049, with a standard deviation of 0.098.

The market Capitalization (MC) variable is the third dependent variable of the research model. The minimum and maximum MC values are 24,598 and 34,358, respectively, from PT Indika Energy Tbk in 2015 and PT Bank Central Asia Tbk in 2020. The minimum value for this variable is not negative, considering that the MC value is obtained from the natural logarithm of the product of the stock market value. On December 31, with the number of shares outstanding. MC has an average value of 30.611 with а standard deviation of 1.660.

The minimum value of the SRL variable is 0.022, which was owned by PT Adhi Karya (Persero) Tbk in 2015. While the maximum value of the SRL variable is 0.753, which PT Bukit Asam Tbk owned in 2015. This means that PT Adhi Karya Tbk only discloses a few disclosure items. Meanwhile, PT Bukit Asam Tbk disclosed many disclosure items, namely 67 items according to the 2018 GRI standards. The average value of the SRL variable is 0.260, with a standard deviation of 0.125. This shows that the quantity of sustainability report disclosure in Indonesia has only reached 25.98%. The minimum value of the SRQ variable is 0.007, which was owned by PT Adhi Karya (Persero) Tbk in 2015. Meanwhile, the maximum SRQ value

Variable	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
SRL	267	0,022	0,753	0,26	0,125
SRQ	267	0,007	0,367	0,138	0,059
DER	267	-3,366	11,396	2,679	2,536
AG	267	-0,287	2,17	0,12	0,228
CAPEAXT	267	0	0,278	0,07	0,059
TQ	267	-2,292	3,642	0,32	0,689
ROA	267	-0,549	0,527	0,049	0,098
MC	267	24,598	34,358	30,603	1,662

 Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Table	2.	Pearson	Correlation
-------	----	---------	-------------

	SRL	SRQ	DER	AG	CAPEAXT	TQ	ROA	MC
SRL	1	0,948**	-0,102	-0,059	0,014	0,046	0,006	0,019
SRQ	0,948**	1	-0,058	-0,082	0,025	0,032	0,001	0,055
DER	-0,102	-0,058	1	0,034	-0,031	-0,217**	-0,105	0,131*
AG	-0,059	-0,082	0,034	1	-0,023	-0,015	0,120*	0,050
CAPEAXT	0,014	0,025	-0,031	-0,023	1	-0,015	0,009	-0,128*
TQ	0,046	0,032	-0,217**	-0,015	-0,015	1	0,543**	0,427**
ROA	0,006	0,001	-0,105	0,120*	0,009	0,543**	1	0,208**
MC	0,019	0,055	0,131*	0,050	-0,128*	0,427**	0,208**	1

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

was 0.367 PT Aneka Tambang Tbk in 2015. This is because the entity discloses many items in the 2018 GRI standard in the form of financial and numerical disclosure. The average value of the SRQ variable is 0.138, with a standard deviation of 0.059.

Based on the Pearson correlation coefficient analysis in table 2, it can be seen that the SRL and SRQ variables do not affect TQ, ROA, and MC. This means that an increase in the value of the SRL and SRQ variables will not encourage an increase in the value of TQ, ROA, and MC. Furthermore, the DER variable has a significant negative effect on TQ, is significantly positive on MC, and has no effect on ROA. The AG variable does not affect TQ and MC but has a significant positive effect on ROA. In comparison, the CAPEAXT variable does not affect TQ and ROA and has a significant negative effect on MC.

Table 3 shows the significant value of the SRL variable in the three regression models in the level of sustainability disclosure modeling that is positive and greater than 0.05. This means the quantity of sustainability report disclosure does

	-						
Independent	T	Q	RC	ROA		МС	
maepenaem	В	Sig.	В	Sig.	В	Sig.	
SRL Model							
(Constant)	0,420	0,000	0,055	0,001	30,261	0,000	
SRL	0,127	0,689	0,002	0,967	0,482	0,552	
DER	-0,051	0,000	-0,004	0,077	0,077	0,035	
AG	-0,018	0,906	0,048	0,043	0,292	0,458	
CAPEAXT	-0,007	0,714	0,000	0,885	-0,103	0,044	
SRQ Model							
(Constant)	0,424	0,000	0,054	0,002	30,115	0,000	
SRQ	0,212	0,752	0,008	0,937	1,950	0,257	
DER	-0,051	0,000	-0,004	0,076	0,077	0,034	
AG	-0,018	0,909	0,048	0,042	0,314	0,424	
CAPEAXT	-0,007	0,712	0,000	0,886	-0,104	0,042	

Tabel 3. Result

not affect firm value. In Indonesia, the quantity of sustainability report disclosure has no relevant value.

These results align with research from Narullia and Subroto (2018), which assesses the effect of the quantity of CSR disclosure on firm value in Indonesia and Singapore. Due to limitations on the uniformity of information components reported in the sustainability report of each company. Therefore. information users do not have adequate knowledge regarding the disclosures made by the company and reduce comparability between reports. The implication is that investors do not make optimal use of the information in the sustainability report in their decision-making, which is reflected in the irrelevant quantity of the sustainability report disclosure on the company's value.

Another reason that causes the irrelevant value of sustainability report disclosure is the ambiguity that comes from incomplete sustainability reporting and the possibility of insincerity in reporting & Crowther, 2008). (Aras Sustainability reporting is still flexible reporting, and company management can determine disclosures that follow their discretion and cause bad news for investors. Environmental responsibility is also often seen as something that investors do not want. This is reflected in the high cost of equity value for companies that disclose environmental responsibility (Eriandani, Narsa, & Irwanto, 2019).

Furthermore, the significant value of the SRQ variable in the three

52

regression models in the quality of sustainability disclosure model is positive and greater than 0.05. That is, the quality of the disclosure of the sustainability report does not affect the company's value. Thus, this illustrates that in Indonesia, the of sustainability quality report disclosure has no relevant value. In line with research from Azkia and Mulyani (2018), which examines the difference in company value between those who have good sustainability report quality and those who are not. Whether or not the quality of the sustainability report is reasonable is based on the receipt of the SRI Kehati award. The results showed no significant difference in the value of the company between the winning companies and those that did not win the event. In other words, investment consider managers do not sustainability report information vital in making decisions. Sustainability reporting may not disclose the company's actual activities. In addition, high-quality sustainability undermines shareholder reporting awareness about the risks of a company's future cash flows (Bachoo al., 2013), which can harm et shareholder value.

Furthermore, a t-test was conducted on samples that have been categorized by size - big and small companies. The size determination is based on the average natural logarithm of the total sample assets. Samples with asset values exceeding the average value are categorized as big companies, while samples below the average will be categorized as small companies. Analysis using this subgroup refers to Sharma, Durand, and Gur-Arie (1981), which states that a subgroup analysis was used to identify the moderator variables. Based on categories, the research sample is 115 big companies and 152 small companies.

The results of the t-test on the big firm (Table 4) did not give different results from the total sample. The independent variable SRL does not affect the firm value as proxied by TQ, ROA, and MC. Likewise, SRQ is proven not to affect firm value in a big firm. Meanwhile, the results of the ttest on a sample of small companies give different results, where SRL and SRQ have a positive effect on firm MC. value as reflected in the Meanwhile, SRL and SRQ do not affect the value of small companies as proxied by TQ and ROA variables. Based on the results of further research by categorizing the sample based on size, it can be concluded that in small companies, the quantity of sustainability report disclosure has a positive effect on firm value as

Independent		ТQ				R	DA			M	IC		
Variable	Big		Sn	Small		Big		Small		Big		Small	
	В	Sig.	В	Sig.	В	Sig.	В	Sig.	В	Sig.	В	Sig.	
SRL Model													
(Constant)	2,809	0,006	1,643	0,102	3,987	0.000	0,37	0,712	67,546	0.000	85,534	0.000	
SRL	-0,213	0,832	0,201	0,841	-1,235	0,219	-0,048	0,962	-0,441	0,66	2,067	0,04	
DER	-3,174	0,002	0,043	0,966	-3,235	0,002	3,044	0,003	-4,197	0.000	-1,602	0,111	
AG	0,033	0,974	0,028	0,978	1,432	0,155	0,56	0,576	0,59	0,557	0,647	0,519	
CAPEAXT	0,402	0,689	1,41	0,161	-0,453	0,652	1,766	0,08	-2,675	0,009	2,555	0,012	
SRQ Model													
(Constant)	2,598	0,011	1,55	0,123	3,781	0.000	0,389	0,697	61,927	0.000	82,595	0.000	
SRQ	-0,203	0,84	0,24	0,811	-1,199	0,233	-0,088	0,93	-0,238	0,812	2,121	0,036	
DER	-3,175	0,002	0,041	0,968	-3,235	0,002	3,05	0,003	-4,198	0.000	-1,658	0,050	
AG	0,033	0,974	0,041	0,967	1,432	0,155	0,551	0,582	0,602	0,548	0,743	0,459	
CAPEAXT	0,391	0,697	1,386	0,168	-0,504	0,615	1,763	0,08	-2,657	0,009	2,439	0,016	

Tabel 4. Parsial	(t-test) -	Firm Si	ze categories
------------------	------------	---------	---------------

reflected by the MC value. Since larger companies carry out relatively more activities, have a more significant impact on society and the environment, and have more relevant shareholders (Cowen et al., 1987). The bigger the company, the more compelled the company to make a higher disclosure of sustainability reports. Under stakeholder theory, large-scale companies have incentives to voluntarily disclose more information due to pressure from varying stakeholders (Elfeky, 2017) compared to small companies. Thus, investors are more valued by small with relatively companies lower resources than large companies that disclose sustainability reports with a higher quantity, which is then reflected in the company's value. This is in line with signaling theory. The information contained in

sustainability reports on small companies positively signals to the market about their social activities to increase the stock market value (Reddy dan Gordon, 2010).

Furthermore, based on additional analysis by separating the sample by size, it is concluded that in small-scale companies, the quality of sustainability report disclosure has a positive effect on firm value as reflected by the MC value. Due to the nature of the disclosure of the sustainability report, which is still voluntary and based on management policy. Decisions on the quality of higher disclosures will undoubtedly cost more. Meanwhile, according to Almilia (2008), small-scale companies tend to hide important information in sustainability reports due to competitive disadvantages. Thus, investors appreciate and respond to

the higher quality of sustainability report disclosure as a positive signal from the small company for its transparency.

CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION AND LIMITATION

This study aims to provide empirical evidence of the relevance of quantity the and quality of sustainability report disclosures to the value of companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2016 to 2020. Statistical results show that the quantity and quality of sustainability report disclosures do not affect firm value either as proxied by TQ, ROA, and MCs. That is, the information in the sustainability report cannot encourage an increase in company value. This phenomenon may be due to investors in Indonesia considered who have not the sustainability report information optimally in making their investment decisions.

This study has several limitations that are expected to be overcome in future research. First, the research method only looks at the direct effect of the sustainability report on firm value. Further research can use sustainability reports as a variable moderating between accounting information and market Second, the quantity and value.

quality of the sustainability report are only measured in aggregate. Future research can distinguish each dimension of disclosure. Third, the research sample is limited only to companies that publish sustainability reporting.

REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, D., & Padhan, P. C. (2017). Impact of Capital Structure on Firm Value: Evidence from Indian Hospitality Industry. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, 07(04), 982– 1000. https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2017 .74067
- Almilia, L. S. (2008). Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Pengungkapan Sukarela Internet Financial and Sustainability Reporting. Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Auditing Indonesia, 12(2), 117– 131.
- Alotaibi, K., & Hussainey, K. (2016). Quantity versus quality: The value relevance of csr disclosure of saudi companies. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, *13*(2), 167–177. https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv13 i2p15
- Amrousy, Z., Gavious, I., Katz, H., & Yosef, R. (2012). Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and Firm Performance. Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing, 8(11), 1691–1703.
- Aras, G., & Crowther, D. (2008). Corporate Sustainability Reporting: A Study in Disingenuity? Journal of Business Ethics 2008 87:1, 87(1), 279–288. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551 -008-9806-0

- Bachoo, K., Tan, R., & Wilson, M. (2013). Firm Value and the Quality of Sustainability Reporting in Australia. *Australian Accounting Review*, 23(1), 67–87. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1835-2561.2012.00187.X
- Barth, M. E., Beaver, W. H., & Landsman, W. R. (2001). The relevance of the value relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting: Another view. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, *31*(1–3), 77–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-4101(01)00019-2
- Barth, M. E., Li, K., & McClure, C. G. (2017). Evolution in Value Relevance of Accounting Information. SSRN Electronic Journal, 17–24, 1–57. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.29 33197
- Beerbaum, D., & Puaschunder, J. M. (2018). A Behavioral Economics Sustainable approach to а Architecture Finance Development of a Sustainability Taxonomy for Investor Decision SSRN Usefulness. Electronic 4(1), 1 - 30.Journal, https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.32 58405
- Bennett, N., & Lemoine, J. (2014). What a Difference a Word Makes: Understanding Threats to Performance in a VUCA World. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, *57 (3)*, 1– 7. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.24 06676
- Boychenko, M., & Pettinen, E. (2013). Addressing CSR issues in supplier-buyer relationships: Agency theory perspective.
- Ching, H. Y., Gerab, F., & Toste, T. H. (2017). The Quality of Sustainability Reports and

Corporate Financial Performance: Evidence From Brazilian Listed Companies. SAGE Open, 7(2), 1– 9.

https://doi.org/10.1177/215824 4017712027

- Comyns, B., & Figge, F. (2015). Greenhouse gas reporting quality in the oil and gas industry: A longitudinal study using the typology of "search", "experience" "credence" information. and Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 28(3),403-433. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-10-2013-1498
- Cormier, D., & Magnan, M. (1999). Corporate Environmental Disclosure Strategies: Determinants, Costs and Benefits. Journal of Accounting, Auditing & Finance, 14(4), 429– 451. https://doi.org/10.1177/014855 8X9901400403
- Cotter, J., Lokman, N., & Najah, M. M. (2019). Voluntary Disclosure Research: Which Theory Is Relevant? SSRN Electronic Journal, 6(2), 77–95. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.34 70466
- Cowen, S. S., Ferreri, L. B., Parker, L. D., Cowen, S. S., Ferreri, L. B., & Parker, L. D. (1987). The impact of corporate characteristics on social responsibility disclosure: A typology and frequency-based analysis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 12*(2), 111–122.
- Deegan, C. M. (2009). Financial accounting theory (3rd editio). North Ryde, N.S.W. : McGraw-Hill Australia,.
- Elfeky, M. I. (2017). The extent of voluntary disclosure and its determinants in emerging

markets: Evidence from Egypt. Journal of Finance and Data Science, 3(1–4), 45–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfds.20 17.09.005

- Eriandani, R., Nars, I. M., & Irwanto, A. (2019). Environmental risk disclosure and cost of equity. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 19(2), 123–131. https://doi.org/10.17512/pjms.2 019.19.2.10
- Eriandani, Rizky, & Wijaya, L. I. (2021).Corporate Social Responsibility and Firm Risk: Controversial Versus Noncontroversial Industries. Asian Journal of Finance, Economics and Business, 8(3), 953-965. https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2 021.vol8.no3.0953
- Francis, J., & Schipper, K. (1999). Have Financial Statements Lost Their Relevance? Journal of Accounting Research, 37(2), 319– 352. https://doi.org/10.2307/249141 2
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: a stakeholder approach. Pitman.
- Global Reporting Initiative. (2021). *About GRI*.
- Hahn, R., & Kühnen, M. (2013).
 Determinants of sustainability reporting: A review of results, trends, theory, and opportunities in an expanding field of research. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 59, 5–21.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro .2013.07.005
- Halimah, N. P., Irsyanti, A., & Aini, L. R. (2020). The Value Relevance of Sustainability Reporting: Comparison between Malaysia

and Indonesia Stock Market. *The Indonesian Journal of Accounting Research*, 23(03), 447–466. https://doi.org/10.33312/ijar.50 2

- Hamam, M. D., Layyinaturrobaniyah,
 L., & Herwany, A. (2020). Capital
 Structure and Firm's Growth in
 Relations to Firm Value at Oil
 and Gas Companies Listed in
 Indonesia Stock Exchange.
 Journal of Accounting Auditing
 and Business, 3(1), 14–28.
 https://doi.org/10.24198/jaab.v
 3i1.24760
- Kuzey, С., & Uyar, А. (2017).Determinants of sustainability reporting and its impact on firm value: Evidence from the market emerging of Turkey. Journal of Cleaner Production, 143. 27-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro .2016.12.153
- Laskar, N., & Gopal Maji, S. (2018). Disclosure of corporate sustainability performance and firm performance in Asia. Asian Review of Accounting, 26(4), 414– 443. https://doi.org/10.1108/ARA-02-2017-0029
- Liu, Q., Pan, X., & Tian, G. G. (2018). To what extent did the economic stimulus package influence bank lending and corporate investment decisions? Evidence from China. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, *86*, 177–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfi n.2016.04.022
- Lozano, R., & Huisingh, D. (2011). Inter-linking issues and dimensions in sustainability reporting. Journal of Cleaner 99-107. Production, 19(2-3),https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro .2010.01.004

- Mobus. J. L. (2005). Mandatory environmental disclosures in a legitimacv theory context. Accounting. Auditina and Accountability Journal, 18(4), 492-517. https://doi.org/10.1108/095135 70510609333
- Narullia, D., & Subroto, B. (2018). Value Relevance of Accounting Information and Corporate Social Responsibility in Indonesia and Singapore. Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen, 16(1), 9–19. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.ja m.2018.016.01.02
- National Center for Sustainability Reporting. (2021). About Asia SR Rating - National Center for Sustainability Reporting: National Center for Sustainability Reporting.
- Reddy, K., & Gordon, L. (2010). The effect of sustainability reporting on financial performance: An empirical study using listed companies. *Journal of Asia Entrepreneurship* and *Sustainability*, 6(2), 19–42.
- Scott, W. R. (2015). Financial accounting theory (7th ed.). Pearson Education Limited.
- Sharma, S., Durand, R. M., & Gur-Arie, O. (1981). Identification and Analysis of Moderator Variables. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*(3), 291. https://doi.org/10.2307/315097 0
- Shinta Melzatia, Caturida. Μ. Dewi Doktoralina, Anggraini, Safira. (2018). The Importance of Sustainability Reports In Non-Companies. Financial Jurnal Akuntansi, 368-384. 22(3),https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v22i 3.394

- Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing Legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches. *The Academy of Management Review*, *20*(3), 571–610. https://doi.org/10.2307/258788
- Swarnapali, R. N. C. (2019).Sustainability disclosure and earnings informativeness: evidence from Sri Lanka. Asian Journal of Accounting Research, 5(1),33-46. https://doi.org/10.1108/ajar-05-2019-0033
- Trueman, B. (1986). The Relationship between the Level of Capital Expenditures and Firm Value. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 21(2), 115– 129. https://doi.org/10.2307/233073 2
- Zahller, K. A., Arnold, V., & Roberts, Using R. W. (2015). CSR disclosure quality to develop social resilience to exogenous f shocks: А test investor perceptions. Behavioral Research in Accounting, 27(2), 155–177. https://doi.org/10.2308/bria-51118



JURNAL ILMIAH AKUNTANSI

VOL. 7, NO. 1, TAHUN 2022



Jurusan Akuntansi Program S1 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha



Editorial Team

Editor in Chief

Made Aristia Prayudi, [Scopus ID: <u>58176406500</u>], Accounting Department, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Indonesia

Editors

Putu Sukma Kurniawan, [Scopus ID: 57200983257], Accounting Department, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Indonesia

Putu Eka Dianita Marvilianti Dewi, Accounting Department, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Indonesia

Peer Reviewers

Anantawikrama Tungga Atmadja, [Scopus ID: <u>57201605689</u>] Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Indonesia

Gede Adi Yuniarta, [Scopus ID: <u>57210937073</u>] Accounting Department, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Indonesia

Heru Fahlevi, [Scopus ID: 57189895148], Accounting Department, Universitas Syiah Kuala, Indonesia

Ihyaul Ulum, [Scopus ID: <u>57191663625</u>], Accounting Department, Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia

Sylvia Veronica Nalurita Purnama Siregar, [Scopus ID: <u>23494293200</u>], Accounting Department, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Icuk Rangga Bawono, [Scopus ID: <u>57211989537</u>], Accounting Department, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Indonesia

Hafiez Sofyani, [Scopus ID: <u>57203309417</u>], Accounting Department, Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Grahita Chandrarin, [Scopus ID: <u>57204187575</u>] Accounting Department, Universitas Merdeka Malang, Indonesia

Hilda Rossieta, [Scopus ID: 57009112800], Accounting Department, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Wuryan Andayani, [Scopus ID: <u>57208060006</u>], Accounting Department, Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia

A Home
O Focus and Scope
Editorial Team
Peer Review Process
C Author Guidelines
Contact Us
Open Access Policy
© Copyright Notice
Author Fees



Vol. 7 No. 1: June 2022



DOI: https://doi.org/10.23887/jia.v7i1

PUBLISHED: 2022-07-20

ARTIKEL

Managerial Ability, Corporate Social Responsibility, Tone of Earnings Announcement and Market Reaction in Indonesia

Lies Indit Faidah, Bandi Bandi 01-18

🖾 PDF

Determinants of Audit Report Lag during the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Study on Companies Conducting IPOs and Indexed LQ-45

DOI: https://doi.org/10.23887/jia.v7i1.30835

Yanti Yanti, Bayu Pasupati, T. Husain 19-39

PDF

The Value Relevance of Quantity and Quality of Sustainability Reporting: Evidence from Indonesia

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.23887/jia.v7i1.41533</u>

Merelyn Merelyn, Rizky Eriandani 40-58

🖻 PDF

The Effect of Superior's Reputation and the Need for Achievement Character on Budgetary Slack: An Experimental Study

DOI: https://doi.org/10.23887/jia.v7i1.43117

Fitri Romadhon, Alfiana Fitri, Husnunnida Maharani, Niluh Putu Dian Rosalina Handayani Narsa 59-87

🕒 PDF

Presenteeism Among Accounting Educators: The Role of Work-Family Conflict, Work Engagement, and Organizational Support in Covid-19 Pandemic

DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.23887/jia.v7i1.43407</u>

Sakina Nusarifa Tantri, Hana Norhamida, Agus Prasetyo 88-110

🛆 PDF

The Role of Tax Literacy on Economics Undergraduated Students' Tax Awareness

Nyoman Trisna Herawati, I Nyoman Putra Yasa, Ni Nyoman Resmi, Ni Luh Gede Yastini

🖾 PDF

Determinants of the Financial Statements Quality of the Ministry at the State Treasure Services Office R.A. Rahayu Suryanti, Gede Adi Yuniarta, Desak Nyoman Sri Werastuti 128-146

🖪 PDF

Financial Inclusion and the Success of MSMEs
DOI: https://doi.org/10.23887/jia.v7i1.46966

Sri Rahayu 147-158

🔁 PDF

Operating Profit, Capital Adequacy and Operational Costs on Operational Revenues: Case of Vilage-

Owned Enterprises

Gede Putu Agus Jana Susila 159-165

🖹 PDF

A Home
O Focus and Scope
Editorial Team
Peer Review Process
Author Guidelines
Contact Us
Open Access Policy
© Copyright Notice
Author Fees

Accreditation



SINTA Accreditation Certificate

Article Template



Recommended Tools



Visitors

ID 99,557	SG 200
US 2,788	GB 138
рн 328	🔶 CA 112
MY 293	CN 98
IN 251	С рк 91



View My Stats

LANGUAGE

English

Bahasa Indonesia

BROWSE

KEYWORDS



Open Journal Systems

Published By:

FAKULTAS EKONOMI UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA



JIA (Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi) is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. Platform & workflow by OJS / PKP



<u>JIA (JURNAL ILMIAH AKUNTANSI)</u>

JURUSAN AKUNTANSI PROGRAM S1, FAKULTAS EKONOMI, UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN GANESHA

★ P-ISSN : 25274090 <> E-ISSN : 25281399 Subject Area : Economy



History	Accreditation
---------	---------------

2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024

Garuda Google Scholar

 Managerial Ability, Corporate Social Responsibility, Tone of Earnings Announcement and Market Reaction in Indonesia

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Image: State State

 Operating Profit, Capital Adequacy and Operational Costs on Operational Revenues: Case of Vilage-Owned Enterprises

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 159-165

 2022
 Dol: O Accred : Sinta 2

 The Role of Tax Literacy on Economics Undergraduated Students' Tax Awareness

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 111-127

 2022
 Doi: O Accred : Sinta 2

 Determinants of the Financial Statements Quality of the Ministry at the State Treasure Services Office

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Image: Statements Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 128-146

 2022
 Doi: OAccred : Sinta 2

Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 19-39

 The Value Relevance of Quantity and Quality of Sustainability Reporting: Evidence from Indonesia

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 40-58

 2022
 Im DOI: 10.23887/jia.v7i1.41533
 O Accred : Sinta 2

 The Effect of Superiorâs Reputation and the Need for Achievement Character on Budgetary Slack: An Experimental Study

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 59-87

 2022
 Dol: 10.23887/jia.v7i1.43117
 O Accred : Sinta 2

 Presenteeism Among Accounting Educators: The Role of Work-Family Conflict, Work Engagement, and Organizational Support in

 Covid-19 Pandemic

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 88-110

 2022
 Dol: 10.23887/jia.v7i1.43407
 O Accred : Sinta 2

 Financial Inclusion and the Success of MSMEs

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 1: June 2022 147-158

 2022
 Dol: 10.23887/jia.v7i1.46966
 O Accred : Sinta 2

 The Prevention of Bribery in Government Agencies: The Role of Big Data and Whistle-blowing Systems

 Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha
 Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Vol 7 No 2: December 2022 268-288

 2022
 Im DOI: 10.23887/jia.v7i2.41356
 O Accred : Sinta 2

View more ...