


<https://jmeche.uitm.edu.my/>



## We provide credible publication platform.

Sharing knowledge for humanity has always been our focal point; hence we would like researchers all around the world to have a reliable and credible platform to share their new findings.




Minimal APC for accepted papers

[Learn More](#)



Indexed by **SCOPUS**

[Learn More](#)



Indexed by **Google Scholar**

[Learn More](#)

Published by :

**PENERBIT  PRESS**  
**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MARA**

Penerbit UiTM  
Universiti Teknologi MARA  
40450 Shah Alam  
Selangor, Malaysia  
[Penerbit UiTM](#)



# Board of Editor

## **Editor-in-Chief:**

Prof. Ir. Dr. Jamaluddin Mahmud – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia  
College of Engineering Universiti Teknologi MARA  
40450 Shah Alam, Selangor  
Email: jm@uitm.edu.my

## **Managing Editors:**

Dr. Mohd Hanif Mohd Ramli – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia  
Dr. Siti Mariam Abdul Rahman – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

## **Section Editors:**

Assoc. Prof. Ir. Ts. Dr. Baljit Singh Bathal Singh – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia  
Ir. Ts. Dr. Kausalyah Venkatasen – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia  
Dr. Nurul Hayati Abdul Halim – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Abdul Malek Abdul Wahab – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Natasha Ahmad Nawawi – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

**Assistant Managing Editors:**

Dr. Mohd Afzan Mohd Anuar – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Mohd Nor Azmi bin Ab Patar – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Freddawati binti Rashidyy Wong – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Rosnadiyah Bahsan – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Ts. Muhamad Fauzi Othman – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Ahsana Aqilah binti Ahmad – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Izdihar binti Tharazi – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

Dr. Muhd Faiz bin Mat @ Muhammad – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

**Editorial Board:**

**Prof. Ir. Dr. Wahyu Kuntjoro** – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor

Email: wkuntjoro@uitm.edu.my

**Prof. Dr. Jörg Hoffmann** – Hochschule Osnabrück

Elektrische Meßtechnik, Prozeß- und Analysenmeßtechnik

Fakultät Ingenieurwissenschaften und Informatik, Hochschule Osnabrück, Osnabrück, Germany

Email: Joerg.M.Hoffmann@t-online.de

**Prof. Dr. Martin Bednarz** – Technische Hochschule Ingolstadt

Faculty of Engineering and Management, Technische Hochschule Ingolstadt,

Ingolstadt, Germany

Email: Martin.Bednarz@thi.de

**Prof. Dr. Ing. Naveed Ramzan** – University of Engineering & Technology

Faculty of Chemical, Metallurgical & Polymer Engineering, University of Engineering & Technology,

Lahore, Pakistan

Email: drnramzan@uet.edu.pk

**Prof. Abdelmagid Salem Hamouda** – Qatar University, Qatar

Mechanical and Industrial Engineering Department, College of Engineering, Qatar

University, Doha-Qatar  
Email: hamouda@qu.edu.qa

**Prof. Bernd Schwarze** – University of Applied Science, Osnabrueck, Germany  
University of Applied Science, Osnabrueck, Germany  
Email: b.schwarze@hs-osnabrueck.de

**Prof. Bodo Heimann** – Leibniz University of Hannover Germany  
Leibniz University of Hannover, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Institute of Robotics, Germany  
Email: bodo.heimann@imes.uni-hannover.de

**Prof. Darius Gnanaraj Solomon** – Karunya University, India  
School of Mechanical Engineering, VIT, Vellore, Tamil Nadu, India  
Email: dariusgnanaraj.s@vit.ac.in

**Prof. Essam E. Khalil** – University of Cairo, Egypt  
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University, Egypt  
Email: khalile1@asme.org

**Prof. Hazizan Md. Akil** – Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia  
School of Materials & Mineral Resources Engineering, Universiti Sains Malaysia,  
Penang, Malaysia  
Email: hazizan@usm.my

**Prof. Ichsan S. Putra** – Bandung Institute of Technology, Indonesia  
Faculty of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Bandung Institute of Technology, Bandung, Indonesia  
Email: isp@aero.pau-ir.itb.ac.id

**Prof. Masahiro Ohka** – Nagoya University, Japan  
Department of Complex Systems Science, Graduate School of Information Science,  
Nagoya University, Japan  
Email: ohka@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp

**Prof. Mirosław L Wyszynski** – University of Birmingham, UK  
School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK  
Email: m.l.wyszynski@bham.ac.uk

**Prof. Mohd. Zulkifly Abdullah** – Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

School of Mechanical Engineering, Engineering Campus, Universiti Sains Malaysia,  
Pulau Pinang, Malaysia

Email: mezul@usm.my

**Prof. Ir. Dr. Muhammad Azmi Ayub** – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor

Email: muhammadayub@uitm.edu.my

**Prof. Dr. Salmiah Kasolang** – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

College of Engineering Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor

Email: salmiahk@uitm.edu.my

**Prof. Shahrum Abdullah** – Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia

Department of Mechanical & Materials Engineering, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,  
Bangi, Selangor

Email: shahrum@ukm.edu.my

**Prof. Wirachman Wisnoe** – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor

Email: wira\_wisnoe@uitm.edu.my

**Prof. Xinkai Chen** – Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan

Department of Electronic and Information Systems, College of Systems Engineering and Sciences,  
Shibaura Institute of Technology, Japan

Email: chen@sic.shibaura-it.ac.jp

**Prof. Yongtae Do** – Daegu University, Korea

School of Electronic Engineering, Daegu University South Korea

Email: ytdo@daegu.ac.kr

**Dr. Yongki Go Tiauw Hiong** – Raytheon Company, USA

870 Winter Street Waltham, MA 02451

Email: yongki@alum.mit.edu

**Dr. Mohd. Afian Omar** – SIRIM Malaysia

Advanced Materials Research Center (AMREC), SIRIM Berhad, Kulim Hi tech Park, Kulim, Kedah

Email: [afian@sirim.my](mailto:afian@sirim.my)

**Dr. Valliyappan David Natarajan** – Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia

College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor

Email: [davidfkm@uitm.edu.my](mailto:davidfkm@uitm.edu.my)

# JOURNAL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

*An International Journal*

Vol 21 (1)	15 January 2024	ISSN 1823-5514	eISSN 2550-164X
------------	-----------------	----------------	-----------------

1	<p>Numerical Investigation of Influence of Extent and Position of a Bypass on the Performance of a Single Unit Liquid Desiccant Based Evaporative Cooler <i>Raja Naveen Pamu*</i>, <i>P. S. Kishore</i>, and <i>Siva Subramanyam Mendu</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9013-8770">*https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9013-8770</a></p>	1
2	<p>Analytical Estimation and Experimental Validation of the Bending Stiffness of the Transmission Line Conductors <i>Hadiya Pritesh Dulabhai*</i>, <i>Parthasarathy N. S.</i>, <i>Gurumoorthy S. Hebbar</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1925-3580">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1925-3580</a></p>	23
3	<p>Experimental Investigation of Infill Parameters Influence on Compressive Strength-to-Mass Ratio of PLA 3D Printed Part <i>The Jaya Suteja*</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9090-8650">*https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9090-8650</a></p>	45
4	<p>Design and Development of a Bowling Machine for Effective and Efficient Cricket Batting Training <i>Buddhika Sampath Kumara*</i>, <i>Amalka Indupama Samarathunga</i>, <i>Vimukkthi Vithanage</i>, <i>Najitha Dewmith Ranawaka</i>, <i>Sameera Sampath Gunwardane</i>, <i>Janaka Mangala</i>, <i>Damith Suresh Chathuranga</i>, and <i>Himan Punchihewa</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0369-4776">*https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0369-4776</a></p>	61
5	<p>Annealing Time Dependence in the Fabrication of Bismuth-based Perovskite Solar Cells (Bi-PeSCs) by the Hot Immersion Method <i>M. F. Achoi</i>, <i>S. Kato</i>, <i>N. Kishi</i>, and <i>T. Soga</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0670-088X">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0670-088X</a></p>	83



6	<p>Development of Porous Material and Hybrid Porous Ti6Al4V Dental Implants using Metal Injection Molding (MIM)  <i>Sugeng Supriadi*, Ana Wilda Widianoro, Daniel Jones, and Muhammad Faiq Zuhdi</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8153-4036">*https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8153-4036</a></p>	105
7	<p>Analysis and Experiment of Blank Holder Gap in the Cylindrical Cup Deep Drawing of Low Carbon Steel to Prevent Cracking-Wrinkling  <i>Susila Candra*, and Hudiyo Firmanto</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2785-5506">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2785-5506</a></p>	123
8	<p>The Influence of Graphite Filler on the Viscoelastic and Mechanical Characteristics of PC/ABS Hybrid Composite for Automotive Applications  <i>Basanta Kumar Behera, Shahitha Parveen J., Murali Manohar, Shamshath Begum, and Thirumurugan M.*</i></p>	143
9	<p>Regression Analysis of Heart Rate for Driving Fatigue using Box-Behnken Design  <i>Muhammad Shafiq Ibrahim, Seri Rahayu Kamat*, and Minoru Fukumi</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8417-7900">*https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8417-7900</a></p>	163
10	<p>Gravitational Vortex Water Turbine (GVWT) Conical Basin Design: The Effects of Cone Angle and Outlet Diameter on Vortex Characteristics  <i>Erna Septyaningrum, Ridho Hantoro, Naufal Khoodi Mouti, and Wiwit Ria Rahayu, Sutardi*</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2630-5385">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2630-5385</a></p>	177
11	<p>Preliminary Investigation on the Mechanical Properties of Carboxylated Nitrile Butadiene Rubber (XNBR) Blended with Different Loadings of Butyl Reclaimed Rubber (BRR)  <i>Zafrah Zainal Abidin, Siti Nur Liyana Mamaud*, Siti Salina Sarkawi, Muhammad Ilham Mamaud, and Dareen Khooi</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8768-2395">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8768-2395</a></p>	199
12	<p>Preparation and Characterization of Activated Palm Kernel Shell/Carboxylated Nitrile Butadiene Rubber (APKS/XNBR) Vulcanizate  <i>Syazwani Aqilah Zainal, Siti Nur Liyana Mamaud*, Nahrul Hayawin Zainal, Hanafi Ismail, and Muhammad Ilham Mamaud</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8768-2395">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8768-2395</a></p>	217
13	<p>Palm Gripper Measurement Device for Post-Stroke Rehabilitation Progressive Tracking  <i>Muhammad Najmi Hakim Bin Nasir, Noor Ayuni Che Zakaria*, Nurul Atiqah Othman, Khairunnisa Johar, Nadia Mohd Mustafah, and Azffanizam Abd Halim</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1609-0496">*https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1609-0496</a></p>	237

14	<p>Water:Ethylene Glycol Properties Alteration Upon Dispersion Of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles</p> <p><i>Muhammad Syafiq Idris, Irnie Azlin Zakaria*, and Wan Azmi Wan Hamzah</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7822-5715">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7822-5715</a></p>	255
15	<p>Mesh Independence Study on CFD for Cryo-CO<sub>2</sub> Cooling Strategy</p> <p><i>Nur Fatini Mohamad Fauzee, Nurul Hayati Abdul Halim*, Zainoor Hailmee Solihin, Izdihar Tharazi, Nor Hayati Saad, Zulaika Zulkifli, and Musfirah Abdul Hadi</i></p> <p><a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6232-4495">*https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6232-4495</a></p>	279

# Analysis and Experiment of Blank Holder Gap in the Cylindrical Cup Deep Drawing of Low Carbon Steel to Prevent Cracking-Wrinkling

Susila Candra\*, Hudiyo Firmanto

Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering,  
Faculty of Engineering, University of Surabaya, INDONESIA

\*susila\_c@staff.ubaya.ac.id

## ABSTRACT

*This paper aims to investigate Blank Holder Gap (BHG) in order to prevent wrinkles and crack defects in the deep drawing process of cylindrical cups with a diameter of 30 mm, using low carbon steel grade SPCC that is 0.7 mm thick. Mathematical and experimental approaches were applied to study the effect of BHG on wrinkle wave height and product quality. The analytical approaches used were the slab method and the Forming Limit Diagram (FLD) to determine the final thickness of the product specimen and the height of the wrinkling wave, respectively. Each method in this study had been compared to each other. Punch-die dimensions were determined based on the standard tool designed. According to the research, BHG is set 100% to 114% of the thickness of the initial material or equivalent about 0.70 to 0.80 mm. By using the value of this gap, the height of wrinkles can be avoided, the magnitude of the drawing force is still below the critical limit, and the product specimen does not experience wrinkles and cracks. The results of this study are applicable to the deep drawing of cup products on SPCC material with a thickness of no more than 1 mm.*

**Keywords:** Blank Holder Gap; Wrinkles and Cracks; Cup Deep Drawing; Forming Limit Diagram; Drawing Force

## Introduction

Wrinkles and cracks are the most common product defects in the deep drawing process. Usually, the cause of the problem is due to the incorrect

determination of the blank holder load. Nevertheless, the magnitude of blank holder load is influenced by several factors such as product dimensions, material mechanical properties, material thickness, and stress of the state [1]-[3]. If it is based on the state of the radial forming stress at each punch stroke position, the value of the blank holder load should not be constant [4]-[5]. Determining the inconstant blank holder load at each punch stroke position is quite difficult because it requires a load control device.

The determination of the blank holder load aims to control the flow of material and prevent the blank sheet from being lifted excessively in the flange area. Several studies related to the prevention of wrinkles and cracks are still interesting topics for researchers to discuss. The mechanism of the initiation and growth of wrinkles can be controlled with constant Blank Holder Force (BHF) [6]. Based on restraining energy, the researcher carried out mathematical modeling of the constant minimum blank holder force to avoid defects in the cylindrical cup. Generally, the wrinkling and cracking criteria can be based on the thinning and buckling criteria, respectively, and the blank holder implements a constant load [7]. Analytical and numerical investigations of the kink boundary diagrams in drawings in two-layer sheets with experimental verification were carried out to avoid product defects [8]. Development of a forming tool concept validator with variable rigidity empty holders and with logoless to avoid product defects. The implementation of this blank holder is based on the stiffness variable [9]-[10].

The semi-active control refers to the ability to dynamically adjust the blank holder force in real-time during the forming process [11], and this adjustment can be based on factors such as material properties, die geometry, or sensor feedback. By continuously monitoring and adapting the blank holder force, it can ensure that the material flows smoothly into the die cavity without any issues. The analytical study and FEM simulation of the maximum Varying Blank Holder Force (VBHF) provide valuable insights into the deep-drawing process, enabling the optimization of their processes and production of high-quality products with reduced defects [12]-[13]. Additionally, the data-driven accurate design of variable blank holder force is a modern approach that leverages data analytics and machine learning to enhance the deep-drawing process further and achieve better-formed products with reduced defects [14].

The proper combination of blank holding forces and draw beads plays a critical role in achieving high-quality deep-drawn products [15]-[16], as it allows the blank holder to control material flow, minimize defects, and produce parts with the desired geometry and dimensional accuracy. Additionally, the implementation of an antilock brake mechanic system for blank holder control is an innovative approach that leverages real-time data and feedback to optimize the deep-drawing process [17]-[18]. This system effectively controls the flow of material drawn into the die, resulting in higher-quality products, reduced defects, and increased process efficiency.

Moreover, draw-in control in the deep-drawing process by adjusting the force on the blank holder has been carried out to obtain products without wrinkling and cracking.

Analytical approaches and fast process optimization on cup-deep drawings have been done to avoid wrinkles and crack product defects in [19]. Wrinkling and fracture limits have been determined and BHF control methods have been developed to eliminate defects, improve part quality, and increase the draw depth. The results are still approximations using the Taguchi approach and simulations that still need to be proven [20]. Several research studies have analyzed and experimented with determining Limiting Drawing Ratio (LDR) in deep drawing [21], single-action stroke deep drawing without the blank holder [22], and deep drawing process using radial segmental blank holder based on electro-permanent magnet technology [23]-[24]. The three researchers aim to optimize the formation and use of other equipment blank holders that can improve process quality. The use of LDR in cup deep drawing, a single-action stroke of cup deep drawing, can be used as an initial reference for analysis and experiments.

The effect of the blank holder gap with the finite element method [25] and its several applications on micro deep drawing [26] are very important to maintain the magnitude of the clamping value so that wrinkles and cracks do not occur. The blank holder gap (BHG) is one of the effective parameters to control the material flow in the deep drawing process on the Hydro-mechanical Deep Drawing (HDD) of a cylindrical cup [27]. The application of BHG is to set the gap of the blank holder constantly, which is similar to the determination of varying blank holder force. The wrinkling and cracking criteria approach using the gap limits can be tested further by comparing it to other wrinkling and cracking criteria. Likewise, the cup shape also gives different results in determining the recommended gap. Based on some information from previous research, this paper aims to create simple analytical modeling and experiments on the value of the blank holder gap range in the cylindrical cup bolting process, where the product does not have cracks and wrinkles. Based on the research above, further studies were directed to determine the BHG, where the product is free from wrinkles and cracks.

## **Determining of Blank Holder GAP**

In the deep drawing process, wrinkles and cracks are phenomena caused by buckling at the flange area and breaking at the die radii, respectively. Wrinkles typically indicate the occurrence of excessive tangential deformation values of the material, while cracking is caused by excessive thinning due to tensile radial stress, which can lead to fracture. One important factor in preventing product defects is the determination of the

blank holder force as a process parameter. Nevertheless, the determination of the varying blank holder force during the punch stroke can be transformed into a constant value of the blank holder gap between the blank holder and the die. The gap distance can be approximated by the magnitude of the tangential strain and the final thickness of the flange and cup walls through mathematical modeling.

Based on the forming limiting diagram, the strain in the flange region can be represented by the deformation ratio or the ratio of major strain (radial-tensile strain) and minor strain (tangential strain). By using the strain approach, safe conditions can be achieved in this forming process by following the rules in the Forming Limit Diagram (FLD), as in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Forming limit diagram [11], [28]

The forming strain limit (major and minor strain ratio) in the flange area is used as a boundary condition for the minimum and maximum blank holder gap, which prevents wrinkling and cracking. The major forming strain which is expressed as radial strain ( $\epsilon_r = \epsilon_1$ ) in the product flange region can be calculated using the following equation [28]:

$$\epsilon_1 = \ln (d_0/d_p) \tag{1}$$

where  $d_0$  is the initial diameter of the blank sheet and  $d_p$  is the diameter of the punch. Assuming that the condition does not change in the direction of the

vertical axis (z-axis), the tangential stress can be considered the same as the stress in the direction of a thickness ( $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3$ ). The strain in the direction of thickness can be approximated using the equation [28]:

$$\varepsilon_2 = \ln(s_1/s_0) = \ln[Gap/s_0] \quad (2)$$

where  $\varepsilon_2$  is the strain in the direction of thickness, the gap is the distance between the blank holder and the die,  $s_0$  is the initial thickness of the blank sheet.

Based on the above assumption, the gap is considered equal to  $s_1$ . Likewise, referring to the formation boundary diagram, the maximum major and minor strain ratio is proportional to the LDR value of the material. By combining Equations (1) and (2), the following equation is obtained:

$$\varepsilon_2 = s_1 = s_0(d_0/d_p)^{1/LDR} \quad (3)$$

Another analytical approach can be described based on the theory of Levy-Mises, where the differential equation for formation in all directions of deformation is obtained as follows [28]:

$$d\varepsilon_1/\sigma'_1 = d\varepsilon_2/\sigma'_2 = d\varepsilon_3/\sigma'_3 \quad (4)$$

The decomposition of the stress tensor and the assumption of local stress conditions with plane stress, then Equation (4) becomes as follows [28]:

$$\sigma'_1 = \frac{(2\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)}{3}; \sigma'_2 = \frac{(-\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)}{3}; \sigma'_3 = \frac{(-\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_3)}{3} \quad (5)$$

where  $\sigma'_1$ ,  $\sigma'_2$  and  $\sigma'_3$  are stress tensors 1, 2, and 3, respectively.  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$ , and  $\sigma_3$  are principal stress 1, 2, and 3, respectively. By combining Equations (4) and (5) then the equation becomes:

$$d\varepsilon_2 = (\sigma'_2/\sigma'_3)d\varepsilon_3 \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) is integrated to obtain:

$$\varepsilon_2 = ((-\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)/(-\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_3))\varepsilon_3 \quad (7)$$

If  $\varepsilon_2$  is a change in thickness or gap, then Equation (7) will be obtained:

$$\varepsilon_2 = \ln(s_1/s_0) = ((-\sigma_r - \sigma_t)/(-\sigma_r + 2\sigma_t))\varepsilon_t \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) is used to estimate the final thickness of sheet metal ( $s_1$ ) as a representation of the gap with the following equation [28]:

$$Gap = s_1 = s_0 \ln(s_1/s_0) = Exp((-σ_r - σ_t)/(-σ_r + 2σ_t))ε_t \quad (9)$$

Referring to the forming limit diagram, the major and minor strain ratios are assumed to be 2, so that  $ε_t = (ε_r/2) = (LDR/2) = 1$  [28]-[29]. Where the LDR of low-carbon steel is 2. The material is used the general value for steel is 2. Then Equation (9) can be obtained as [11], [28]:

$$Gap = s_0 Exp\left(\frac{(-2σ_r - 1,15σ_{max.})}{(-σ_r + 2,3σ_{max.})}\right) \quad (10)$$

where  $σ_{max}$  is the maximum forming stress which is equal to the value of the ultimate tensile strength material (UTS). While  $σ_r$  is the radial stress and can be approximated by the equation [29]:

$$σ_r = UTS \left( (d_0/d_p) - 0,7 \right) \quad (11)$$

and drawing force ( $Fd$ ) can be used as Equation (12) [29]:

$$F_d = σ_r, π, d_p, s_0 \quad (12)$$

Equations (3), (9), (10), and (12) are used as references for analytical and experimental approaches to determine the minimum gap in the deep drawing process of cylindrical cup products.

Equations (9) and (10) are used to estimate the minimum gap, while the magnitude of the maximum gap has not been definitively determined in several studies. Therefore, this experiment aims to not only establish the minimum gap but also to determine the maximum gap. The maximum gap criterion is a condition where the product does not excessively wrinkle, and the product's body wall has no scratches due to wrinkling. Excessively high wrinkling waves can also be detected from the magnitude of the deep drawing force. Increasing the height of the wrinkling wave will have an impact on increasing the deep drawing force. Increasing the wrinkling wave will result in an increase in the deep drawing force. If the value of the deep drawing force is higher than the allowable deep drawing force limit, this condition will cause cracking.

This scientific study aims to determine the ideal conditions for the material to avoid breaking and while shrinking only to adjust the gaps permanently as illustrated in Figure 2.






Figure 2: Possible fixed blank holder gap options [11]

There is no single equation to calculate the blank holder gap, understanding several factors and conducting empirical testing will help in determining the appropriate gap for a successful deep drawing process. Therefore, a combination of theoretical considerations, empirical testing, and experience is necessary to determine the appropriate blank holder gap for a successful deep drawing process.

## Materials and Methods

Quantitative research methods were employed to compare analytical and experimental results. This study aimed to determine the effect of the blank holder gap on the material flow and product quality results. The cylindrical cup used as the specimen product is shown in Figure 3.

Punch-die dimensions based on standard tool designs that fall within acceptable tolerances and are capable of producing parts with the desired characteristics, as well as process conditions such as lubrication and punch speed, are presented in Table 1 [27]. Meanwhile, the mechanical properties of the specimen material for cup-deep drawing in this study are presented in Table 2 [28].

According to the theories, the experiment was set in several gap settings; 78.5%, 86%, 93%, 100%, 107%, 114%, 120%, 143% of the thickness of the blank sheet ( $s_0$ ) or equivalent to 0.55 mm, 0.60 mm, 0.65 mm, 0.70 mm, 0.75 mm, 0.80 mm, 0.85 mm, 0.90 mm, and 1 mm. Lubrication was not implemented and the punch speed was 1 mm per second.




Figure 3: Drawing product scheme (unit: mm)

Table 1: Dimensions of punch-die set, blank sheet, and process variable

Description	Value
$d_0$ (blank sheet diameter)	59 mm
$d_D$ (die diameter)	31.67 mm
$d_p$ (punch diameter)	30 mm
Clearance	0.84 mm
$s_0$ (thickness of material)	0.7 mm
$r_d$ (die radius)	2 mm
$r_p$ (punch radius)	6 mm
$h$ (height of cup)	20 mm
$\mu$ (coefficient of friction); no lubrication with smooth die surface	0.4 ÷ 0.5
Punch speed	0.5 mm/sec

A schematic of the punch-die set and the placement of the filler block gauge as a gap spacer can be seen in Figure 4(a). The gap spacers can be replaced as required to test the gap. It is important to note that the minimum

size increase of the feeler is 0.05 mm. A complete series of punch-die sets on the press machine can be seen in Figure 4(b).

Table 2: Mechanical properties of SPCC

Mechanical properties material of JIS G3141 SPCC	Value
Strength constant ( $K$ )	559 N/mm <sup>2</sup>
Strain hardening ( $n$ )	0.25
UTS	270 N/mm <sup>2</sup>
Yield stress	180 N/mm <sup>2</sup>
LDR <sub>raw material</sub>	2.0




Figure 4: (a) The schematic of the punch-die set [17], and (b) setting of the punch-die set on press machine

## Results and Discussion

Using Equations (3) and (10), the minimum and maximum blank holder gap heights for the cylinder cup deep drawing process of 0.7 mm thickness SPCC material were found to be between 0.73 mm and 0.96 mm. In other words, the total gap height is equivalent to 104% to 137% of the blank sheet thickness. By using Equation (12), the drawing force with the minimum gap is 21858 N or 2230 kgf. The maximum gap which is revealed from Equation (3), which is equal to 137% of the initial thickness, was then compared with the experimental results.

Table 3 and Figure 5 present the experimental results involving the average flange thickness and product specimen quality, based on several variations of the blank holder gap. When comparing the mathematical approach of Equation (12) with the experimental results, both do not show a

significant difference in the magnitude of the deep drawing force. The mathematical results of the deep drawing force are confirmed to be in accordance with the experimental results. Therefore, it is certain that the product will crack when the deep draining force is above 2230 kgf, which is confirmed by the experimental results. When the gap is set from 0.55 mm to 0.65 mm, the magnitude of the deep drawing force will appear in the range of 2233 to 2440 kgf. The magnitude of the tensile force has exceeded the critical value of 2230 kgf. Based on this experiment, the more impact it will have the smaller the BHG, the more impact it will have on increasing the internal tensile force and radial stress, resulting in thinning of the material at the edge of the cup. In addition, material thinning will continue to necking and cracking. In the implementation of BHG less than 0.65 mm, the drawing force that occurs is definitely more than 2233 kgf, and the product cracks. The critical drawing force in this study is 2233 kgf. When compared with several related studies, it shows similar indications. Insufficient BHG leads to products tending to crack, which is a consequence of employing very high BHF [6]-[7].

Similar results indicate that the cracking of the product occurred as a result of excessively high blank holding pressure and tight BHG [13]. When the BHF value is higher, there is a greater risk of splits and tearing. Conversely, excessive BHG can lead to the formation of wrinkles in the product. The research conducted by Palmieri et al. [18] confirmed the findings of this study, demonstrating that the force applied to the blank holder, represented by the BHG value in this case, significantly affects the achievement of an optimal draw-in profile and the production of a defect-free product. Generally, the blank holder gap should be set to provide sufficient restraint on the blank without causing excessive friction or wrinkling.

Table 3: Experimental results based on several variations of the BHG

Blank holder gap (BHG) (mm)	Final thickness of material (mm)			Average of flange thickness (mm)	Drawing force (kgf)	Remark; result of cup deep draw
	Replication					
	1	2	3			
0.55	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	2283	Cracking
0.60	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	2440	Cracking
0.65	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	2233	Cracking
0.70	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	2217	Good
0.75	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	2177	Good
0.80	0.8	0.82	0.82	0.81	2217	Good
0.85	0.88	0.9	0.88	0.89	2040	Wrinkling
0.90	0.94	0.98	0.98	0.97	2121	Wrinkling
1.00	1.1	1.1	1.3	1.17	2177	Wrinkling






















Figure 5: Blank holder gap vs. average of flank thickness

The experimental results, on the effect of the blank holder gap on the final dimensions of sheet thickness, wrinkling waves, and product quality conditions, are shown in Tables 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c).

Table 4(a) shows that if the BHG is employed below 100% of the initial blank sheet thickness or 0.55 mm, 0.60 mm, and 0.65 mm, the experimental results indicate that the product tends to crack. Moreover, if the blank holder gap is set smaller than 0.55 mm, the incidence of cracking becomes even more severe. The distribution of BHF applied by the radial blank holder is more reasonable and can effectively suppress corrugation. The use of BHF with electro-permanent magnet technology allows for maintaining a constant BHG distance, and importantly, prevents wrinkling [23]. This study aligns with BHG regulations, emphasizing the importance of maintaining a consistent BHG level that is neither too tight nor too loose to prevent product cracking and wrinkling.

The height value of the BHG has a direct impact on BHF value, which, when reaching a critical limit, can cause the product to crack. This phenomenon was confirmed by research on the effect of BHG on formation conditions, especially those related to wrinkling and cracking [24]-[25]. A small BHG value affects the flow resistance of material flowing into the die and deep drawing forces. If the BHG value is too small it will result in resistance to material flow and an increase in the value of the deep drawing force. If the value of the deep drawing force reaches a critical limit, cracking will occur [24]-[25].

Table 4(a): The final thickness dimension with fixed BHG is between 0.55 to 0.65 of the material thickness







Fixed No.	BHG (mm)	Product specimen (mm)	Flange thickness or height of flange (mm)	Remark
a.	0.55			Cracking
				
				
b.	0.60			Cracking
				
				
c.	0.65			Cracking
				
				

In other conditions, if the BHG is not tightened, it will have a positive effect on reducing the deep drawing force and the material will not thin out excessively, as shown in Table 4(b). Meanwhile, if the BHG is raised above 0.80 mm or 114% of the thickness of the material, excessive buckling and wrinkling on the flange area cannot be prevented. In addition, if the BHG is too narrow, the clamping becomes too tight and consequently, the material could not flow properly, leading to material locking and cracking. In other words, cracking is caused by obstructed material flow and consequently, the magnitude of radial stress exceeds the critical stress limit. Based on the results of calculations with the mathematical formula and the experiment, as a first conclusion, cracks occur when BHG is set below the thickness of the material.

In this experimental work, the interpretation of the safe blank holder gap refers to Table 4(b). For BHG above 0.70 mm to 0.8 mm or equivalent to 100% to 114% of the thickness, the blank sheet does not indicate thinning and increasing the thickness of the material in the flange area. This BHG range will safely provide good processing conditions. The blank sheet of material will still be able to flow safely without any obstacles, in every position of the punch stroke. When compared with stress analysis, the safe condition occurs if the radial stress does not exceed the maximum material stress and the tangential stress is not excessive. If this is compared to the material strain conditions and FLD, thus the major and minor strain ratios are still in the safe area. From the experimental results, the drawing force when cracking occurs is above 2230 kgf, at BHG values below the thickness of the material.

The magnitude of the drawing force from the results of analytical calculations compared to the experiment shows no significant difference. The experimental results, as shown in Table 4(b), are consistent with the research conducted by Hamaza Blala et al. [26], even when considering different types of material applications. This consistency is observed in the determination of the gap distance, which is based on the thickness of the deep-drawing material. The optimal gap should not be smaller than the material thickness and should also not be excessively loose.

Table 4(b): The final thickness dimension with fixed BHG is between 1.00 to 1.14 of the material thickness.













No.	Fixed BHG (mm)	Product specimen (mm)	Flange thickness or height of flange (mm)	Remark
d.	0.70 (1.00 of thickness)		 0.70 mm	Good
e.	0.75 (1.07 of thickness)		 0.70 mm	Good
f.	0.80 (1.14 of thickness)		 0.81 mm	Good



Furthermore, Figure 4(c). presents the experimental results with a blank holder gap of 121% to 143% of the thickness of the blank sheet or equivalent to 0.85 mm and 1.04 mm, respectively. Consequently, with these blank holder gaps, the wrinkling product starts to appear. The appearance of wrinkling begins at the height of the wrinkling wave in the flange above 138% of the material thickness or 0.97 mm. Within this gap range, wrinkling wave conditions did not result in a cracking product, although a scratch may appear on the body of the cup.

By following Equations (9) and (10), the BHG setting is confirmed to be similar to the experiment as shown in Tables 4(a), 4(b), and 4(c). The BHG value will affect the magnitude of the deep drawing force value. The deep drawing value is within the safe limit range, which is according to Equations (9) and (10), and the critical deep drawing force limit is according to Equations (11) and (12) so that the product can avoid cracks and wrinkles. The experimental results indicate that implementing both the BHF [18] and the BHG yields similar outcomes. However, the BHG system is significantly easier to use while effectively controlling material flow and preventing issues such as cracking and wrinkling defects. The blank holder gap system provides a simpler and more straightforward approach to controlling material flow during the deep drawing process. Adjusting the gap enables easy modification of the restraining force applied to the blank, resulting in improved control over material distribution and a reduced risk of defects. By optimizing the BHG, cracking and wrinkling can be minimized. Research conducted by Harith Yarub Maan et al. [25], Liang Luo et al. [26], and Hamza Blala et al. [27] confirmed the benefits of using BHG for controlling material formed in the deep drawing process and preventing cracking and wrinkling. However, these studies do not provide a precise description of the BHG height range, and its value is not associated with the thickness of the blank sheet material. By setting the correct BHG, obstructed material flow can be avoided, and forming stress conditions can be controlled properly and easily in a balanced manner. The recommended BHG value limit for which deep drawing processes can be implemented safely should be between 100% and 114% of the material thickness. In conditions of thickness above 1 mm and for different types of materials, further research is needed to ensure a safe BHG value.

Table 4(c): The final thickness dimension with fixed BHG is between 1.20 to 1.43 of the material thickness

No.	Fixed BHG (mm)	Product specimen (mm)	Flange thickness or height of flange (mm)	Remark
g.	0.85			0.89 Mm Wrinkling
				
				
h.	0.90			0.97 mm Wrinkling
				
				
i.	1.00			1.17 mm Wrinkling
				
				

## **Conclusion**

BHG adjustment is a simple and feasible way to prevent the cracking and wrinkling of cylindrical deep drawing cups. Nevertheless, it is necessary to ensure the use of punch-to-die set dimensions by the rules of punch-and-die design, such as punch-die radius, clearance, diameter, and a blank sheet. Determination of blank sheet dimensions and diameters can follow Table 1. Simple mathematical models such as Equations (3) and (10) can be used as a simple approach to determine the minimum and maximum BHG. The mathematical approach has been confirmed by experimental results. Based on experimental results, the recommended BHG for forming deep drawing cup products with SPCC material and 0.7 mm thick ranges between 100% to 114% of the thickness of the blank sheet. BHF above 114% of the thickness of the material indicates wrinkling and reveals a scratch on the cup body. This BHG range can be used as a simple reference for the industries involved in deep drawing cup manufacturing.

## **Contributions of Authors**

The authors confirm the equal contribution in each part of this work. All authors reviewed and approved the final version of this work.

## **Funding**

This work was supported by the Grant of Multiyear Competitive Fundamental Research scheme from the Directorate of Research and Community Services, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, the Republic of Indonesia. Decree Number 073/E5 PG.02.00. PT/2022, and agreement/ contract number: 004/SP2H/PT-L/LL7/2022, year 2022.

## **Conflict of Interests**

All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest

## **Acknowledgments**

The authors wish to thank the Directorate of Research and Community Services, Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology, the

Republic of Indonesia who funded the research through the Multiyear Competitive Fundamental Research scheme.

## References

- [1] Z.Q. Sheng, S. Jirathearanat, T. Altan, "Adaptive FEM simulation for prediction of variable blank holder force in conical cup drawing," *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 487-494, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2003.11.001>
- [2] L. Wang, L.C. Chan, T.C. Lee, "Process modeling of controlled forming with time variant blank holder force using RSM method," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 47, no. 12-13, pp. 1929-1940, 2007. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmachtools.2007.03.003
- [3] R. V. Reddy, T. A. J. Reddy, G. C. M. Reddy, "Effect of various parameters on the wrinkling deep drawing cylindrical cups," *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 53-58, 2012.
- [4] S. Tommerup, B. Endel, "Experimental verification of a deep drawing tool system for adaptive blank holder pressure distribution," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 212, no. 11, pp. 2529-2540, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2012.06.015>
- [5] S. Tommerup, B. Endelt, J. Danckert, "A novel feedback control system – Controlling the material flow in deep drawing using distributed blank-holder force," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 213, no. 1, pp. 36-50, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2012.08.003>
- [6] S. J. Qin, B. Q. Xiong, H. Lu, T. Zhang, "Critical blank-holder force in axisymmetric deep drawing," *Transaction of Nonferrous Metals Society of China*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 239-246, 2012. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326\(12\)61714-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1003-6326(12)61714-2)
- [7] R Ravindra, B.V. Rao, G. C. M. Reddy, P. R. Prasad, "Parametric studies on wrinkling and failure limits in deep drawing of cylindrical cup," *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 218-222, 2012. [https://ijetae.com/files/Volume2Issue6/IJETAE\\_0612\\_38.pdf](https://ijetae.com/files/Volume2Issue6/IJETAE_0612_38.pdf)
- [8] A. H. Bamdad and R. Hashemi, "Analytical and numerical investigation of wrinkling limit diagram in deep drawing of two-layer sheets with experimental verification," *Journal of Materials: Design and Applications*, vol. 235, no. 5, pp. 974-99, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464420720985315>
- [9] N. Peixinho, S. L. Costa, V. Blanco, "Development of forming tool concept validator with variable stiffness blank-holder for high strength steel applications," *International Journal of Lightweight Materials and*

- Manufacture*, vol 2, no. 2, pp 116 -122, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlmm.2019.04.008>
- [10] B. Berisha, A. Gjelij, “Modelling and design of deep drawing tools using logopress,” *International Transaction Journal of Engineering, Management, & Applied Sciences & Technologies*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp 1-13, 2022. doi: 10.14456/ITJEMAST.2022.95
- [11] S. Candra, I. M. L. Batan, W. Berata, A. Pramono, “Simulation of semi-active the blank holder force control to prevent wrinkling and cracking in deep drawing process,” *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, vol. 493, pp 473-479, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.493.473>
- [12] S. Candra, I. M. L. Batan, W. Berata, A. Pramono, “Analytical study and FEM simulation of the maximum VBHF to prevent cracking on cylindrical cup deep drawing,” *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 26, pp. 548-553, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2014.08.018>
- [13] R. Uday Kumar, “Numerical Analysis of Blank Holder Pressure in Deep Drawing,” *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, vol. 7, no. 11, pp 604-606, 2020.
- [14] Y. Feng, Z. Zhang, G. Tian, Lv. Zhihan, S. Tiana, H. Jia, “Data-driven accurate design of variable blank holder force in sheet forming under interval uncertainty using sequential approximate multi-objective optimization”, *Future Generation Computer Systems*, vol. 86, pp. 1242-1250, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2017.02.048>
- [15] S.V. Eklarkar and V. M. Nandedkar, “Effect of blank holding forces and drawbead on various blank shapes for complex geometry using FE simulation,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp 2218–2223, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.08.041>
- [16] S. Candra, I. M. L. Batan, “Experimental study and analysis of the influence of drawbead against restriction and deep drawing force of rectangular cup-cans with tin plate material,” *Journal of Applied Mechanic and Material*, vol. 315 pp. 246-251, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.315.246>
- [17] S. Candra, I. M. L. Batan, I M.L., A. S. Pramono, B. Pramujati, “Simulation of the metal flow to investigate in deep drawing process of cup by using antilock brake mechanic system”, *Advance Materials Research*, vol. 789, pp. 367-372, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.789.367>
- [18] M. E. Palmieri and L. Tricarico, “Control of draw-in in the deep-drawing process by regulating the force on the blank holder,” *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, vol. 1238, no. 1, pp. 1-10, 2022. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/1238/1/012071
- [19] T. Cwiekala, A. Brosius, A. E. Tekkaya, “Accurate deep drawing simulation by combining analytical approaches,” *International Journal*

- of *Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 374-386, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2011.02.007>
- [20] B. V. S. Rao, P. V. R. Ravindra, G. C. M. Readdy, K. M. Rao, "Optimization of blank holder force in deep drawing of the cylindrical using Taguchi approach," *International Journal of Engineering and Innovation Technology*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 144-149, 2012. [https://www.ijeit.com/vol%202/Issue%203/IJEIT1412\\_201209\\_26.pdf](https://www.ijeit.com/vol%202/Issue%203/IJEIT1412_201209_26.pdf)
- [21] A. C. S. Reddy and S. Rajesham, "Determination of LDR in deep drawing using reduced number of blanks," *Materials Today: Proceedings*, vol. 5, no. 13, part. 3, pp. 27136-27141, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2018.09.022>
- [22] A. Shaaban, A. S. Elakkad, "Numerical and experimental analysis of single-acting stroke deep drawing of symmetric low-depth products without blank holder," *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 2907-2919, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2020.11.015>
- [23] H. Zhang, S. Qin, L. Cao, L. Meng, Q. Zhang, C. Li, "Research on deep drawing process using radial segmental blank holder based on electro-permanent magnet technology," *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, vol. 59, pp. 636-648, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmapro.2020.09.071>
- [24] H. Huang, Q. Lv, Lei Li, Y. Xu, C. Liu, T. Zhang, Z. Liu, "Individually segmented blank holding system driven by electromagnetics for stamping: Modeling, validation, and prototype," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 313, p. 117883, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2023.117883>
- [25] H. Y. Maan, "The influence of blankholder gap on deep drawing process using finite element method," *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Technology*, vol. 9, no. 13, pp. 1510-1518, 2018. [https://iaeme.com/MasterAdmin/Journal\\_uploads/IJMET/VOLU\\_ME\\_9\\_ISSUE\\_13/IJMET\\_09\\_13\\_152.pdf](https://iaeme.com/MasterAdmin/Journal_uploads/IJMET/VOLU_ME_9_ISSUE_13/IJMET_09_13_152.pdf)
- [26] L. Luo, D. Wei, G. Zuc, Z. Jiang, "Influence of blank holder-die gap on micro-deep drawing of SUS304 cups," *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 191 pp. 1-12, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmecsci.2020.106065>
- [27] H. Blala, L. Lang, L. Li, E. Sherkatghanad, S. Alexandrov, "Investigation on the effect of blank holder gap in the hydroforming of cylindrical cups, made of fiber metal laminate," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 108, pp. 2727-2740, 2020. doi: 10.1007/s00170-020-05467-8
- [28] K. Lange, "Handbook of metal forming," *Journal of Applied Metalworking*, vol. 4, p. 1-568, 1986.
- [29] J. A. Schey, Introduction to Manufacturing Processes, 3rd ed., McGraw Hill, 2000.

## Works Where You Write

Grammarly

Install

## Journal of Mechanical Engineering

### COUNTRY

Malaysia



Universities and research institutions in Malaysia



Media Ranking in Malaysia

### SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Engineering  
└ Mechanical Engineering



### PUBLISHER

Institut Pengurusan Penyelidikan (RMI),  
Universiti Teknologi MARA



Universiti Teknologi MARA in Scimago Institutions Rankings

### H-INDEX

17

### PUBLICATION TYPE

Journals

### ISSN

18235514

### COVERAGE

2011-2022

### INFORMATION

[Homepage](#)



## SCOPE

Journal of Mechanical Engineering (formerly known as Journal of Faculty of Mechanical Engineering) or JMechE, is an international journal which provides a forum for researchers and academicians worldwide to publish the research findings and the educational methods they are engaged in. This Journal acts as a link for the mechanical engineering community for rapid dissemination of their academic pursuits. The journal is published twice a year, in June and December, which discusses the progress of Mechanical Engineering advancement.

 Join the conversation about this journal



1  
**International Journal of Mechanical and Production**  
 IND

**62%**  
 similarity

2  
**Australian Journal of Mechanical Engineering**  
 GBR

**56%**  
 similarity

3  
**Strojnski Vestnik/Journal of Mechanical Engineering**  
 SVN








**54%**  
 similarity

4  
**Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology**  
 MYS

**53%**  
 similarity

5  
**Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C**  
 IND

**53%**  
 similarity




Show this widget in your own website

←  
Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com" data-bbox="159 82 271 99">
```

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool**.



Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2023

E

**ekram alaskaree** 3 years ago

Hi

I want to publish my paper in JOURNAL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING please help me how to do that?

← reply



**Melanie Ortiz** 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Ekram, thank you very much for your comment, we suggest you look for author's instructions/submission guidelines in the journal's website. Best Regards, SCImago Team

M

**Mikhael** 3 years ago

Observed that coverage year is 2011-2019.. how about 2020? has it been discontinued?

← reply



**Melanie Ortiz** 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Mikhael,  
Thank you very much for your comment.

All the metadata have been provided by Scopus /Elsevier in their last update sent to SCImago, including the Coverage's period data. The SJR for 2019 was released on June 2020, 11. We suggest you consult the Scopus database directly to see the current index status as SJR is a static image of Scopus, which is changing every day.  
For further information, please contact Scopus support:  
[https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a\\_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/](https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/)  
Best Regards, SCImago Team



**Yong Soon Kong** 4 years ago

Dear Scimago admin, please change the publisher to UiTM Press, it is clearly stated in its website.

← reply



**Jayashri N Nair** 3 years ago

Dear sir,

Its been one year and six months that I have submitted my paper.

To date I did not get any review report.

Every time I am told that its in review.

Sir I published an article in Taylor and Francis journal which is SCI

I submitted it in Jan2020 and it was online on 16th June 2020.

If my paper is not worth publishing please let me know through mail.

But request you not to keep waiting. Its been 1.5 years sir.

Hoping for an immediate answer

Thanking you



**Melanie Ortiz** 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Yong,

Thank you for contacting us.

SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Scopus. All the metadata (Title, ISSN, Publisher, Category, etc.) have been provided by Scopus /Elsevier and SCImago doesn't have the authority over this data which are property of Scopus/Elsevier. SCImago is not allowed to do any changes of the metadata unless Scopus authorizes it expressly. SCImago has a signed agreement that limits our performance to the generation of scientometric indicators derived from the metadata sent in the last update.

Therefore, we suggest you contact Scopus support regarding this matter here:

[https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a\\_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/](https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/)

Best Regards,

SCImago Team

H

**Hardik Chothani** 4 years ago

Dear sir/madam

I had submitted my research paper to the above journal ten months ago.

I did receive the confirmation of submission but after that there is no update. even the site where i had submitted shows error. Multiple times I have sent emails to editors and board of editors also. None of them are responding.

Kindly suggest me how to contact it.

← reply

Y

**Yong Soon Kong** 4 years ago

Dear Hardik Chotani, please search my profile at contact me the soonest possible.



**Melanie Ortiz** 4 years ago

Dear Hardik,  
thank you for contacting us.

SCImago Team

Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request.  
Best Regards, SCImago Team



**Jayashri N Nair** 4 years ago

Dear Madam,

Myself Jayashri N Nair had submitted an article in the above journal in the month of 14th April 2019.

After complaining in this forum on 20th September 2019 i got a mail mentioning that my paper has gone for review.

Assuming that my paper must have gone for review on 20th September 2019, till date i did not get any further message.

As its already three months, I had multiple times mailed them with my paper id but as usual no reply.

I am writing this mail out of frustration because i missed many conferences where i could have put this paper.

But i do understand my moral responsibility and refrained from doing so.

Kindly let me know the status of my paper.

I am writing in this forum as they are not replying to mails

← reply



**Jayashri Nair** 4 years ago

Dear sir/madam

I had submitted one research paper to the above journal six months ago. i did receive the confirmation of submission but after that there is no update. even the site where i had submitted shows error. Multiple times i have sent email to editors and editor in chief. surprisingly none of them are responding. How irresponsible people. Since six months i really dont know the status of my paper that whether it is in review or it is rejected. what should i do..cant wait any more want to submit to some other journal

Why do u people give scopus indexing to such irresponsible people who never reply to researchers mail

← reply



**Hardik Chothani** 4 years ago

Dear sir

I had also submitted paper before few months but still not received any update. Kindly suggest me what to do



**Oladejo K. A.** 5 years ago

Yes

← reply



**farook** 5 years ago

iam farook from Iraq I need to population paper in engineering

← reply



**Elena Corera** 5 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Farook, we suggest you contact the journal directly. Best Regards, SCImago Team

### Leave a comment

Name

Email

(will not be published)

I'm not a robot  
reCAPTCHA  
[Privacy](#) - [Terms](#)

Submit

The users of Scimago Journal & Country Rank have the possibility to dialogue through comments linked to a specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on [@ScimagoJR](#)

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2022. Data Source: [Scopus®](#)

EST MODUS IN REBUS  
Horatio (Satire 1,1,106)

[Cookie settings](#)

