

http://www.logforum.net

2024, 20 (3), 281-295

e-ISSN 1734-459X

https://doi.org/10.17270/J.LOG.001041

ORIGINAL PAPER

THE MEDIATING ROLE OF SUPPLY-CHAIN RESPONSIVENESS IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SUPPLY-CHAIN INTEGRATION AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE

p-ISSN 1895-2038

Ria Sandra Alimbudiono, Mely Sutanto

Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT. Background: The study investigated the impact of supply-chain integration on operational performance in the food manufacturing industry, specifically in East Java. That capacity for innovation did not directly affect operational performance or mediate the relationship between supply-chain integration and operational performance. The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of supply-chain integration on operational performance in food manufacturing enterprises, both directly and through the mediation of inventory management reaction and capacity for innovation. **Methods:** The study employed a quantitative approach, distributing online questionnaires to 507 mid-scale food and beverage industries. A total of 121 valid responses were collected, which were then analyzed using partial least squares. **Results:** The results reveal that inventory management integration impacts efficiency in operation with a mediation process

on network responsiveness. Capacities for innovation do not significantly affect operational performance directly or as a mediation of supply-chain integration because the food industry does not require scarce resources.

Conclusions: This research is helpful in validating the theory and in proving empirically that operational performance can be improved by increasing supply-chain integration; therefore, food industry players can pay more attention to the above. Further studies can be conducted by focusing more on each dimension of each construct.

Keywords: innovative performance, operational efficacy, supply-chain coordination, supply-chain response.

INTRODUCTION

The manufacturing industry is an essential part of the global economy. Manufacturing creates money and employs a sizeable share of the labor force in both Europe and America [Ajoudani et al. 2020]. The sector that produces items is referred to as the manufacturing industry [Kurniawan and Antonio 2022]. It includes numerous subsectors that engage in domestic and international competition. The economy depends heavily on manufacturing since it creates wealth and job possibilities. Compared to other industries, it is distinguished by better productivity, which promotes overall economic growth. In the manufacturing sector, operational performance is essential for increasing earnings organizational and attaining objectives. Increased operating performance, customer

loyalty, and employee productivity have all been demonstrated to be favorable effects of lean manufacturing processes [Jagan Mohan Reddy, Neelakanteswara Rao, and Krishnanand 2020].

Demand uncertainty causes customer value to become a moving target for companies [Cheng, Chaudhuri, and Farooq 2016; Disney and Towill 2002]. A successful company always wants high customer value for customer satisfaction. Good operational performance is one way to achieve high customer value [Fianko et al. 2023; Huo 2012]. Operational performance can be seen from the quality and productivity of the management of the company's resources [Kafetzopoulos and Psomas 2015]. Resourcebased view (RBV) theory states that companies can achieve competitive advantage by relying on their resources, which are managed in a value chain that provides optimal value [Barney 1986,

Copyright: Wyższa Szkoła Logistyki, Poznań, Polska



Citation: Alimbudiono R. S., Sutanto M., 2024. The mediating role of supply chain responsiveness in the relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance. LogForum 20 (3), 281-295, https://doi.org/10.17270/J.LOG.001041

1991]. An optimal supply chain indicates an optimal value chain. Previous studies have found a positive relationship between supply-chain integration and improved operational performance [Cheng et al. 2016; Yu et al. 2019]. With supply-chain integration, the production process transcends organizational boundaries communication, through improved good cooperation, and partnerships [Ayoub, Abdallah, and Suifan 2017]. Supply-chain integration also improves supply-chain responsiveness, and it will reduce uncertainty [Danese, Romano, and Formentini 2013; Handfield and Bechtel 2002], shorten the lead time between consumer demand and product supply [Danese and Romano 2011; Nenavani and Jain 2022], and improve suppliercompany-customer commitment and collaboration, thus reducing equivocality and creating a competitive advantage [De Stefano and Montes-Sancho 2023; Yu et al. 2019]. The results of previous studies could be more consistent. Many studies state a positive influence between operational performance and supply-chain integration-responsiveness. However, some indicate an insignificant effect on operational performance [Danese and Romano 2011; Wiengarten et al. 2019] and some state that the positive impact is significant only under certain conditions [Mackelprang et al. 2014], while others conclude that it produces an unclear effect [Wiengarten et al. 2019; Wong, Wong, and Boon-itt 2013].

Previous studies suggest that innovation capability also affects operational performance [Kafetzopoulos and Psomas 2015; Xu et al. 2023]. High innovation capability enables customers with different needs to be facilitated by creating products according to consumer desires, increasing operational performance. This finding is also supported by Spillane (2022), who states that existing customers are 50% more likely to buy new products and spend up to 31% more on new products. However, previous studies have shown mixed results [Fianko et al. 2023; Saunila 2014; Wiengarten et al. 2019] different dimensions and using contexts [Rousseau et al. 2016].

Moreover, previous studies indicate several different results [Kafetzopoulos and Psomas 2015], showing the influence on operational performance innovation capability in the manufacturing industry. The research by Cahyaningratri and Naylah (2023) discusses how the combination of supply-chain capability directly and revealingly affected effectiveness according to Idris [Idris et al. 2023] conclude that strategies for environmental management of supply chains have a positive and significant influence on operational efficiency in manufacturing. No studies explain the direct aspects of the supply network integrators on the operation performance.

The study aims to investigate whether the of supply-chain integration impact on operational performance in food and beverage enterprises was mediated by the supply chain's response and advancement ability. Inventory management in the manufacturing industry is a critical aspect that various factors have impacted [Nivedha and Rathika 2022]. The pandemic crisis caused the profitability of the food and beverage industry to decline, thus making the economy unstable and the effectiveness of the food industry. This means that food industry players need to improve products and services, especially understanding supply-chain integration so that the food and beverage industry can develop further. This crisis has an impact on the entire global food and beverage industry. Therefore, even though food competition is very strong, the government is trying to develop the country's economic and encourage new business actors. Therefore, operational performance is a The research contributed to must. the manufacturing industry by improving the supply chain.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Supply-chain integration involves a series of interrelated companies, from planning coordination to control of raw materials into finished goods in the production process [Danese and Romano 2011]. Supply-chain integration can also be defined as the coordination and collaboration of the manufacturers with their suppliers and customers in developing an effective and efficient flow of materials, resources, parts and information with the ultimate goal of providing goods and services in the correct quantity [Cheng et al. 2016], at the right time [Nenavani and Jain 2022] and on the suitable feature [Danese et al. 2013] for the customers within the low cost [Flynn, Huo, and Zhao 2010]. Supply-chain responsiveness is the ability to respond to changes in the market and environment that might occur [Su et al. 2019; Yu et al. 2019]. This responsiveness refers to how quick it is to respond to changes in market needs, including competitors, by providing new products and services or process improvements through strategic collaboration. The company cannot make changes alone: they must be made together with its partners, suppliers, and customers.

Market uncertainty requires a high degree of flexibility and direction. The supply chain with suppliers and customers is critical. Prevalent techniques, collaborative problemsolving, and information and technology exchange with partners are critical in gaining a competitive advantage. The flow of information at every stage of the supply chain, from downstream to upstream, can also promote confidence to improve the responsiveness of all partners.

H1: supply-chain integration influences supply-chain responsiveness

The company implements various capability enhancements collaborative to increase innovation capability. With stronger collaboration between supply chains, trust will grow. Trust encourages creating, transferring, and sharing knowledge between partners to reduce inventory costs, improve process improvement, and capture changing customer demands [Jimenez-Jimenez, Martínez-Costa, and Sanchez Rodriguez 2019]. Therefore, the company's competitiveness can also increase due to the creation of these innovative products. This study develops a hypothesis to investigate the implications of integrating the supply chain on innovative capability.

The ability of a firm to originate, develop, and present new ideas in order to launch new items onto the general market is referred to as its innovation capability [Ruiz-Torres et al. 2018]. Innovation capability results from product innovation [Jimenez-Jimenez et al. 2019] or the innovation process [Fianko et al. 2023; Su et al. 2019]. The project aims to cooperate with the company's internal activity (i.e., operations) and external productivities (i.e., products/services) that are connected with generating customer satisfaction. Operational performance is declared as the excellence of the company in the context of quality, delivery and flexibility that can be achieved through the fluent flow of information, goods, and funds, as well as the speed of each part in the supply chain in response to changes in consumer demand [Hu et al. 2019].

H2: supply-chain integration influences innovation capability

Supply-chain integration is one of the strategic approaches taken by companies. This is because synchronization and collaboration between companies and partners can improve the efficiency and effectiveness of processes, reduce non-value-added activities, and create more value for their customers [Kunnapapdeelert and Pitchayadejanant 2021]. It is called improving operational performance. Supply-chain integration leads to the exchange of relevant and accurate information about products, processes, schedules, and production capabilities. Supplychain integration can help all partners anticipate factory needs, automate to meet customer demand, and develop new products from the research stage until the goods are ready to be launched and delivered on time [Ahmad 2022: Caniato and Größler 2015]. The formulated hypothesis research thus:

H3: integration of the supply chain influences productivity

The existence of a supply network response allows companies to be effectively competitive. The company responds to dynamic customer demand and competitor strategies, which results in increased sales [Nenavani and Jain 2022]. In other words, activities responsive to customer needs will attract customers aggressively. Moreover, supply-chain responsiveness can also optimize the use of resources within the company, which can increase the company's performance. operational Operational performance leads to the right amount of product being delivered at the right place and time. The responsive supply chain has many advantages, including reduced cost and lead time, increased delivery accuracy, and excellent product quality. The formulated hypothesis can be seen below:

H4: supply network response influences toward productivity

Innovative qualities have a favorable impact on productivity. The majority of past research indicates a positive association between firm innovation and profitability. Innovation activities in a company can increase the productivity of the process and the knowledge of every related party. Moreover, the invention also has a positive impact on company profits. Companies that often carry out innovation activities have higher yields and growth rates compared to companies that do not carry out any such activities [Kafetzopoulos and Psomas 2015]. Innovation development is more effective if the company has common goals and sound integration with suppliers, customers, and departments. It can be seen below:

H5: innovation capability influences operational performance

Supply-chain responsiveness can be optimized if supply-chain integration also functions well. Supply-chain integration refers to the flow of information and products. Thus, each partner in the supply chain can respond and anticipate all the changes quickly [Tarigan, Siagian, and Jie 2021]. This can decrease forecasting errors and reduce the supply chain's bullwhip effect to increase efficiency and effectiveness, reduce costs, and improve delivery accuracy and product quality [Jaipuria and Mahapatra 2014]. Supply-chain integration has essential influence on supply-chain an responsiveness. The existence of supply-chain responsiveness can make operational performance increase with collaboration among the concerned parties. Therefore, this study formulates the following hypothesis:

H6: integrated supply chains mediate the impact of a supply system's responsiveness on productivity.

Improvements in customer integration can lead to an understanding of consumers' needs and potential needs [Singhry and Abd Rahman 2019]. Customer integration is an important channel for exploring new ideas from customers. It only happens with customers. However, integration with suppliers also produces the same result. Collaboration with partners will generate fresh information and learning. Therefore, the increase in customer value can be effected with supply-chain integration, which will drive process and product innovation and ultimately achieve better operational performance. In this regard, this study formulates a hypothesis that can be seen below:

H7: through the potential to innovate, the chain of supply connectivity has an impact on productivity

Based on the explanation above, the research model is outlined as follows:



Fig. 1. Research model Source: Own work

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study employs quantitative approaches the impact of supply-chain explain to connectivity characteristics on productivity through mediation between two variables: innovation capability supply-chain and responsiveness. The population for this study is food manufacturing companies in East Java with a mid-scale, i.e. with revenue between IDR. 2,500,000,000 - IDR. 50,000,000,000, (according to Law No. 20 of 2018). This population was chosen based on the suitability of the research needs. The choice to focus on the food manufacturing industry in East Java was based on East Java being one of the major industrial regions in Indonesia, particularly in the food manufacturing sector (East Java Central Statistics Agency, 2019). It has many foods manufacturing enterprises, making it an ideal location for researching the relationship between integration, supply-chain responsiveness, capabilities, innovative and operational achievement in this industry. Moreover, this research had access to resources and networks in East Java, making it more feasible to conduct the study in this region. Conducting research in other regions may require additional resources and logistical considerations.

A sample of 507 companies was selected for analysis. All of these companies were sent questionnaires, but only 132 were returned, and 121 were valid. Before the questionnaire was distributed, it was tested on five company managers to test whether the wording of the questionnaire was clear enough to describe the intended variables and avoid sample bias. After going through adjustments and word corrections, the questionnaire was sent via email to the company's website. The sampling technique in this study used the non-probability side with reference to statistical data, which means setting targets from the population that are eligible to be used as respondents. The initial population was 507 people who were channeled to the company, but only 132 people were returned to the researcher. Of the 132 samples, there were 11 invalid samples. The researcher received the total sample; only 121 valid samples were examined.

All the variables were measured using the semantic differential method using a bipolar scale, with 1 = strongly disagree and 5 = agree. The construct was reliable if the Cronbach alpha value is> 0.7. Data analysis using partial least square (PLS) with the structural equation model (SEM) equation. Validity and reliability tests were conducted.

Definition	Source	
Supply-chain integration is described as a sequence of interconnected firm	[Tseng and Liao 2015]	
processes, which range from planning coordination to control of raw materials		
into finished goods in production.		
This variable was measured using the level of information interaction between	[Shukor et al. 2021]	
supplier partners, the level of computerized data integration, the level of		
participation of suppliers and customers in the preparation of production plans,		
the level of cross-departmental coordination, the level of frequent contact with		
customers and the level of collaboration of activities between departments.		
Supply-chain responsiveness is defined as the supplier's ability to respond to	[Irfan, Wang, and Akhtar 2019]	
changes in the market and environment.		
This variable measured factors including the speed to handle changes in	[Yu et al. 2019]	
customer demand, the speed of response to required strategical changes, the		
speed of launching new products to the market, and the level of flexibility of the		
supply-chain strategy to customer needs.		
The level of invention in a corporation with a transitory and multifunctional	[Kafetzopoulos and Psomas	
character is defined as its innovation capabilities.	2015]	
The operational variables are the ability to introduce new products and services,	[Al-Sa'di, Abdallah, and	
the ability to develop new marketing ideas, creativity in operations, the	Dahiyat 2017; De Zubielqui,	
development of innovative production techniques, the intensity of new product	Lindsay, and O'Connor 2014]	
development, and the intensity of new product marketing.		
Operational performance is quality or productivity obtained from a collection of	[Duhaylongsod and De	
company operational achievements.	Giovanni 2019]	
Operational performance measurement using indicators modified from the	[Mackelprang et al. 2014]	
research are cost efficiency, improved product quality, delivery accuracy, and		
flexibility in serving customer needs.		

Table 1. The definition of operational variables and indicators is adopted from previous studies

RESULTS

This study begins with the test of validity and reliability of the data obtained. The convergent validity test results in Table 1 show that the variables in this study can explain variants with 20 indicators. It is indicated by the outer loading value, above 0.7, and the VIF value, above 0.5; therefore, the indicator can be said to be valid.

Table 2. Convergent validity test results (outer loading)

Item	Outer Loading	VIF
SC1	0,702	1,577
SC2	0,758	1,709
SC3	0,734	1,528
SC4	0,738	1,653
SC5	0,742	1,631
SC6	0,718	1,681
SCR1	0,765	1,666
SCR2	0,882	2,116
SCR3	0,700	1,390
SCR4	0,724	1,397
IC1	0,728	1,617
IC2	0,738	1,573
IC3	0,705	1,696
IC4	0,708	1,823
IC5	0,724	1,631
IC6	0,724	1,517
OP1	0,705	1,386
OP2	0,751	1,420
OP3	0,746	1,328
OP4	0,707	1,233

Table 3. Fornell-Larcker discriminant validity test results

	Innovation Capability	Operational Performance	Supply-Chain Integration	Supply-Chain Responsiveness
Innovation Capability	0,721			
Operational Performance	0,556	0,728		
Supply-Chain Integration	0,558	0,613	0,732	
Supply-Chain Responsiveness	0,594	0,559	0,513	0,771

The Discriminant Validity Test is seen from the diagonal value, which indicates a number more significant than the other correlations. Therefore, the value of the variance in the variables with the indicators in this study is better than the correlation of other variables (Table 2). Indicators are considered to be able to explain variables more accurately than other variables if the cross-loading value of an indicator is more significant than other variable indicators. (Table 3). Therefore, the crossloading value will strengthen the study results from the Fornell-Larcker analysis.

Table 4. Reliability test results

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Description
Supply-Chain Integration	0,770	Reliable
Supply-Chain Responsiveness	0,828	Reliable
Innovation Capability	0,816	Reliable
Operational Performance	0,706	Reliable

The reliability test on the four variables in this study indicated that the data was reliable because each Cronbach's Alpha value was ≥ 0.7 . It indicates that 111 respondents in this study passed the reliability and validity tests.

Table 5. R-square value result

Variable	R-Square Value
Supply-Chain Responsiveness	0,263
Innovation Capability	0,311
Operational Performance	0,479
Averages	0.,351

Source: own work based on SmartPLS result.

The supply-chain responsiveness variable has an R-squared value of 0.263, which means that the supply-chain integration variable can explain this variable by 26.3%. In the innovation capability variable, the R-Square value is 0.311, which means that the supply-chain integration variable can explain this variable by 31.1%. Lastly, in the operational performance variable, the R-Square value is 0.479%, which means that the supply-chain integration variable can explain this variable by 47.9%. Q2 indicates that the structural model can explain the amount of data diversity by 73.5%. Therefore, the Goodness of Fit (GoF) in the structural model of this research is promising. The hypotheses written as the following below (Table 6):

Table 6. Hypotheses test (direct effect)

	Hypotheses	Original Sample	T-Statistics	P-Values	Description
H1	Supply-chain integration \rightarrow supply-chain responsiveness	0,513	5,723	0,000	Affected
H2	Supply-chain integration \rightarrow innovation capability	0,558	6,079	0,000	Affected
Н3	Supply-chain integration \rightarrow operational performance	0,374	4,032	0,000	Affected
H4	Supply-chain responsiveness \rightarrow operational performance	0,248	2,689	0,007	Affected
Н5	Innovation capability \rightarrow operational performance	0,201	1,913	0,056	Not Affected

Table 7. Hypotheses test (indirect effect)

	Hypotheses	Original Sample	T-Statistics	P-Values	Description
H6	Supply-chain integration → supply-chain responsiveness → operational performance	0,127	2,249	0,025	Affected
H7	Supply-chain integration \rightarrow innovation capability \rightarrow operational performance	0,112	1,790	0,074	Not Affected

According to the results of the PLS test, supply-chain integration can greatly increase supply-chain responsiveness [Danese et al. 2013; Yu et al. 2019]. The integration of computerized information management significantly affects the department's responsiveness to suppliers and customers. Previous studies state that supplychain responsiveness starts with a good relationship with suppliers [Handfield and Bechtel 2002; Orji and Ojadi 2023] and continues with good relationships between departments to supply products to customers efficiently and precisely [Holweg et al. 2005]. Collaboration activities with supplier partners can influence the company in fulfilling customer expectations. Suppliers can participate in providing production materials quickly; thus, production can be carried out efficiently [Disney and Towill 2002], so responsiveness is improved. Besides, long-term partnerships with suppliers and customers will be achieved through supply-chain integration. It will reduce uncertainty, increase the understanding of customer needs and responsiveness [Fianko et al. 2023], and enhance product development and problem-solving with suppliers [Disney and Towill 2002]; therefore, in the end, the company will produce higher-quality products [Flynn et al. 2010], which are more flexible [Nenavani and Jain 2022] and on time [Danese et al. 2013]. This aligns with the company's strategy, which always expects an annual increase in value.

DISCUSSION

Supply-chain integration has several dimensions: technology integration [Jimenez-Jimenez et al. 2019], activity integration [Wu et al. 2006], and information integration. In this study, supply-chain integration is considered a single entity; most of the previous studies did the same, and their studies gave similar results [Yu et al. 2019]. However, other studies divide supply-chain integration into two dimensions, namely internal and external, and using these dimensions indicates findings with different levels of significance [Danese et al. 2013; Mackelprang et al. 2014]. However, no previous studies found that supply-chain integration does not influence supply-chain responsiveness [Yu et al. 2019].

The second hypothesis indicates that supply-chain integration can significantly increase innovation capability. It aligns with previous studies [Jimenez-Jimenez et al. 2019]. This condition shows that food manufacturing companies in East Java are highly dependent on partners in their supply chain to spur innovation capability. Customers, internal parties, and suppliers obtain information about new products and food processing techniques. In other words, this encourages the transfer and sharing of knowledge among suppliers, internal parties, and customers [Orji and Ojadi 2023]. However, this differs from the studies by Ayoub et al. [2017] and Wong et al. [2013], which state that only supplier integration and customer integration affect innovation capability, while internal integration does not. This condition can occur if there is a high internal knowledge gap and the imitation strategy is the company's dominant strategy [Holweg et al. 2005]. The competition of food manufacturing companies in East Java is relatively high. Therefore, increasing the role of supply-chain integration is crucial to convey information about the possibility of new product development quickly and improve innovation capability along with the increase in potential customer needs.

Operational Performance will improve along with improved supply-chain integration. It is related to the research by Weerabahu et al. [2022], who found that supply-chain integration has a powerful effect on the emergence of operational performance. The justification for the result of this study is that if the company is close enough to its customers, then all information on customer needs, both in terms of production and shipping plans, will be communicated with related departments and suppliers [Fianko et al. 2023]. Non-value added will be reduced [De Stefano and Montes-Sancho 2023]. Thus, cost efficiency will increase, an inventory reduction will occur [Disney and Towill 2002], and it will improve operational performance. Food manufacturing companies are particularly at risk of high inventory regarding durability issues.

On the contrary, if the level of supply-chain integration is low, the uncertainty of orders, order progress, and material price fluctuations may cause the "nervousness" effect, harming cost improvement [Disney and Towill 2002]. However, this study's results may need to be more consistent when companies prioritize different competitive strategies [Wiengarten et al. 2019]. This result does not align with the research [Danese and Romano 2011]. Integration with customers that are too close can cause customers to request additional product features, which leads to a decrease in operational performance.

The research results also show that increasing supply-chain responsiveness will improve operational performance. The research findings show that supply-chain responsiveness positively affects operational performance with a p-value <0.05. This means that Hypothesis 4 can be accepted. The justification for the research results is that high supply-chain responsiveness indicates a rapid response to market changes [Nenavani and Jain 2022]. Changes in customer demand lead to instability in production schedules, ordering of materials, and delivery of goods [Ruiz-Torres et al. 2018]. If this change is balanced with high supply-chain responsiveness, operational performance will remain high [Handfield and Bechtel 2002]. The change in consumer demand will be acceptable if the company understands its customer's movements [Singhry and Abd Rahman 2019] and is balanced with an excellent information-sharing system [Wu et al. 2006]. Therefore, the company quickly understands changes in demand and reduces demand uncertainty [Holweg et al. 2005]. Providing products in the correct quantity, time and specification is the key to a company's success, which can only be obtained from high supply-chain responsiveness. This is different from previous studies, which state that market changes and demand uncertainty do not strengthen the importance of the supply-chain responsiveness effect operational on performance [Wong et al. 2013; Yu and Huo 2018].

The fifth hypothesis indicates that innovation capability does not significantly affect operational performance. It is in line with the research by Xiaosong Peng, Schroeder, and Shah [2011]; Xu et al. [2023] states that radical innovation capability will have an impact on increasing operational costs, and if the innovation is not in line with customer demand. then operational costs increase. As a result, operational performance will decrease. Increasing innovation capability must be considered because innovation contains research and development costs [Xu et al. 2023]. Therefore, innovation capability must align with consumer desires [Ayoub et al. 2017] and potential market demand. The results of this study are different from many previous studies. Many previous studies have found that innovation capability significantly influences operational performance [Gohr, Scoralick de Almeida Tavares, and Morioka 2022: Kafetzopoulos and Psomas 2015; Saunila 2014; Wong et al. 2013]. Innovation capability is considered as multiple skills and competencies that are critical in the new product delivery strategy [Huo 2012]. However, the food industries in East Java have not experienced the

need for intense innovation because the food industry in East Java tends to stagnate.

According to the study results, supplychain integration influences business efficiency through the mediation of responsiveness to demand; it indicates that stronger integration between supply chains will increase supplychain responsiveness and ultimately improve the company's operational performance. This aligns with the research by Nenavani and Jain [2022] and Holweg et al. [2005]. The company's strategy to satisfy consumer desires can be initiated by integrating all related parties, suppliers, departments, and customers. By integrating, every party can respond to any changes that occur quickly. The flow of funds, information, and goods will improve and joint problem-solving will occur [Handfield and Bechtel 2002]. The ultimate consequence of this process is a reduction in costs [Weerabahu et al. 2022]. Delivery will be more effective, and the quality of the goods better [Xiaosong Peng et al. 2011] also reduce the defect rate [Yu and Huo 2018]. All components cause operational performance to increase. This should be an essential concern for the company. The company's strategy of providing goods at the right place and time in the proper quantity is necessary with quality supply-chain integration [Holweg et al. 2005; Theixar and Wairocana n.d.]. In the opposite case, if supply-chain integration is not well maintained, it will cause all processes to stall and operational performance to drop.

Integrated supply chains influence profitability by mediating the ability for creativity, which cannot be accepted empirically. In resource-based view theory, it is said that supply-chain integration is a vital input resource to form innovation capability, which will create superior value for customers [Huo 2012; Yu and Huo 2018]. However, innovation capability will only lead to operational performance under certain conditions [Rousseau et al. 2016]; the company has scarce resources and valuable innovation capabilities, is path-dependent, challenging to obtain, and expensive to imitate [Barney 1991; Fianko et al. 2023]. The justification for this study is that food manufacturing in East Java does not have the abovementioned characteristics. This results in

hypothesis 6 being rejected. The results of this study are also in line with Xu [2023], who states that strong integration between supply-chain partners can improve operational performance with radical innovation capabilities, while the food industry does not require radical innovation capabilities yet [Ayoub et al. 2017].

CONCLUSION

Operational performance is an important factor that the company must improve to achieve highest customer value. Improving the operational performance cannot be achieved individually; every party involved must pursue this goal together. Therefore, supply-chain integration is a must. The study results indicate that improving operational performance can be improving influenced by supply-chain integration directly or by being mediated by supply-chain responsiveness. Because of the high supply-chain integration, each partner's responsiveness will increase and ultimately improve operational performance. Meanwhile, innovation capability needs to mediate between the effects of supply-chain coordination and operation capability. This is because the effect of capability for innovation on operational performance will only occur in specific situations/contexts.

The limitation of this study is the use of aggregate variables, for example, supply-chain integration, which can be examined from supplier, internal, and customer integration. Thus, the variable innovation capability can be broken down into process and product innovation. Therefore, future studies can use more specific variables in different industries. Based on the limitations of this study, there are several recommendations for future research, such as, firstly, expanding the scope, since this study focused on the food manufacturing industry in East Java. Future research can consider other industries or regions to enhance the generalizability of the findings; secondly, adopting a qualitative approach: While this study used a quantitative method, future research might consider incorporating qualitative methods such as interviews or case studies to capture the complexity of the relationships between supplychain innovation capability, responsiveness,

integration, operational performance; thirdly, Larger sample size: this study relied on a sample of 121 respondents, which may limit the representativeness of the findings. Future research might aim for a larger sample size to increase the statistical power and reduce potential bias. Overall, addressing these recommendations can contribute to a more robust and comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing operational performance in supply-chain management.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, Hanandeh. 2022. "Factors Affecting Supply Chain Integration and Customer Satisfaction." Uncertain Supply Chain Management 10(3):1037–40. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2022.2</u>.008
- Ajoudani, Arash, Philipp Albrecht, Matteo Bianchi, Andrea Cherubini, Simona Del Ferraro, Philippe Fraisse, Lars Fritzsche, Manolo Garabini, Alberto Ranavolo, Patricia Helen Rosen, Massimo Sartori, Nikos Tsagarakis, Bram Vanderborght, and Sascha Wischniewski. 2020. "Smart Collaborative Systems for Enabling Flexible and Ergonomic Work Practices [Industry Activities]." IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine 27(2):169–76. https://www.doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2020.29

https://www.doi.org/10.1109/MRA.2020.29 85344

Al-Sa'di, Ahmad Fathi, Ayman Bahjat Abdallah, and Samer Eid Dahiyat. 2017.
"The Mediating Role of Product and Process Innovations on the Relationship between Knowledge Management and Operational Performance in Manufacturing Companies in Jordan." Business Process Management Journal 23(2):349–76.

https://www.doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-03-2016-0047

- Ayoub, Heba Fawzi, Ayman Bahjat Abdallah, and Taghreed S. Suifan. 2017. "The Effect of Supply Chain Integration on Technical Innovation in Jordan." Benchmarking: An International Journal 24(3):594–616. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-06-2016-0088</u>
- Barney, Jay. 1991. "Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage." Journal of Management 17(1):99–120. https://www.doi.org/10.1177/01492063910 1700108
- Barney, Jay B. 1986. "Organizational Culture: Can It Be a Source of Sustained Competitive Advantage?" The Academy of Management Review 11(3):656. https://www.doi.org/10.2307/258317
- Cahyaningratri, Cahyaningratri, and Maal Naylah. 2023. "The Effect of Supply Chain Operational Capabilities in Consolidating Organizational Compatibility of Supply Chain Process Integration and Business Performance." Uncertain Supply Chain Management 11(1):95–102. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2022.1</u> 1.006
- Caniato, Federico, and Andreas Größler. 2015. "The Moderating Effect of Product Complexity on New Product Development and Supply Chain Management Integration." Production Planning & Control 26(16):1306–17. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1080/09537287.201</u> <u>5.1027318</u>
- Cheng, Yang, Atanu Chaudhuri, and Sami Farooq. 2016. "Interplant Coordination, Supply Chain Integration, and Operational Performance of a Plant in a Manufacturing Network: A Mediation Analysis." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 21(5):550–68. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/SCM-10-2015-0391</u>

- Danese, Pamela, and Pietro Romano. 2011. "Supply Chain Integration and Efficiency Performance: A Study on the Interactions between Customer and Supplier Integration." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 16(4):220–30. https://www.doi.org/10.1108/13598541111 139044
- Danese, Pamela, Pietro Romano, and Marco Formentini. 2013. "The Impact of Supply Chain Integration on Responsiveness: The Moderating Effect of Using an International Supplier Network." Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review 49(1):125–40. https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2012.08.0

https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2012.08.0 02

- Disney, S. M., and D. R. Towill. 2002. "A Procedure for the Optimization of the Dynamic Response of a Vendor Managed Inventory System." Computers & Industrial Engineering 43(1–2):27–58. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0360-</u> 8352(02)00061-X
- Duhaylongsod, Jose Benedicto, and Pietro De Giovanni. 2019. "The Impact of Innovation Strategies on the Relationship between Supplier Integration and Operational Performance." International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management 49(2):156-77. https://www.doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-09-2017-0269
- Fianko, Alexander Otchere, Dominic Essuman, Nathaniel Boso, and Abdul Samed Muntaka.
 2023. "Customer Integration and Customer Value: Contingency Roles of Innovation Capabilities and Supply Chain Network Complexity." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 28(2):385–404. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/SCM-12-2020-0626</u>
- Flynn, Barbara B., Baofeng Huo, and Xiande Zhao. 2010. "The Impact of Supply Chain Integration on Performance: A Contingency and Configuration Approach." Journal of Operations Management 28(1):58–71. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2009.06.</u> 001

- Gohr, Cláudia Fabiana, Maryana Scoralick de Almeida Tavares, and Sandra Naomi Morioka. 2022. "Evaluating the Innovation Capability of Cluster-Based Firms: A Graph-Theoretic Approach." Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing 37(7):1402–21. https://www.doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-11-2020-0521
- Handfield, Robert B., and Christian Bechtel. 2002. "The Role of Trust and Relationship Structure in Improving Supply Chain Responsiveness." Industrial Marketing Management 31(4):367–82. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/S0019-</u> <u>8501(01)00169-9</u>
- Holweg, Matthias, Stephen Disney, Jan Holmström, and Johanna Småros. 2005.
 "Supply Chain Collaboration:" European Management Journal 23(2):170–81. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2005.02.</u> 008
- Hu, Wenjin, Yongyi Shou, Mingu Kang, and Youngwon Park. 2019. "Risk Management of Manufacturing Multinational Corporations: The Moderating Effects of International Asset Dispersion and Supply Chain Integration." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 25(1):61–76. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/SCM-01-</u> 2019-0009
- Huo, Baofeng. 2012. "The Impact of Supply Chain Integration on Company Performance: An Organizational Capability Perspective." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 17(6):596–610. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/13598541211</u> 269210
- Idris, Sofyan, Said Musnadi, Muslim A. Djalil, Mirza Tabrani, Mukhlis Yunus, Muhammad Adam, and Mahdani Ibrahim. 2023. "The Effect of Supply Chain Integration Capability and Green Supply Chain Management (GCSM) on Manufacturing Industry Operational Performance." Uncertain Supply Chain Management 11(3):933-40. https://www.doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2023.5 .005

- Irfan, Muhammad, Mingzheng Wang, and Naeem Akhtar. 2019. "Enabling Supply Chain Agility through Process Integration and Supply Flexibility." Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics 32(2):519–47. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/APJML-03-2019-0122</u>
- Jagan Mohan Reddy, K., A. Neelakanteswara L. Krishnanand. 2020. Rao. and "Manufacturer Performance Modelling Using System Dynamics Approach." Materials Today: Proceedings 33:142-46. https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020. 03.563
- Jaipuria, Sanjita, and S. S. Mahapatra. 2014. "An Improved Demand Forecasting Method to Reduce Bullwhip Effect in Supply Chains." Expert Systems with Applications 41(5):2395–2408. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.0</u> 9.038
- Jimenez-Jimenez, Daniel, Micaela Martínez-Costa, and Cristobal Sanchez Rodriguez. 2019. "The Mediating Role of Supply Chain Collaboration on the Relationship between Information Technology and Innovation." Journal of Knowledge Management 23(3):548–67. https://www.doi.org/10.1108/JKM-01-
 - 2018-0019
- Kafetzopoulos, Dimitrios, and Evangelos Psomas. 2015. "The Impact of Innovation Capability on the Performance of Manufacturing Companies." Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management 26(1):104–30. https://www.doi.org/10.1108/JMTM-12-
 - 2012-0117
- Kunnapapdeelert, Siwaporn, and Pitchayadejanant. 2021. "Analyzing the Effect of Supply Chain Strategies and Collaboration on Performance Improvement Using MIMIC Model." International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management 12(3):216–25.

https://www.doi.org/10.24867/IJIEM-2021-3-289

- Kurniawan, K. S., and G. R. Antonio. 2022. "The Influence of Audit Quality on Earnings Management in Manufacturing Companies on the IDX for the 2017-2019 Periods." UTSAHA (Journal of Entrepreneurship) 1(1):15–32.
- Mackelprang, Alan W., Jessica L. Robinson, Ednilson Bernardes, and G. Scott Webb. 2014. "The Relationship Between Strategic Supply Chain Integration and Performance: A Meta-Analytic Evaluation and Implications for Supply Chain Management Research." Journal of Business Logistics 35(1):71–96.

https://www.doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12023

- Nenavani, Jitendra, and Rajesh K. Jain. 2022. "Examining the Impact of Strategic Supplier Partnership, Customer Relationship and Supply Chain Responsiveness on Operational Performance: The Moderating Effect of Demand Uncertainty." Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing 37(5):995– 1011. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-</u> 10-2020-0461
- Nivedha, C. S., and S. Rathika. 2022. "Stress on Supply Chain in Manufacturing Industry." P. 020150 in.
- Orji, Ifeyinwa Juliet, and Frank Ojadi. 2023. "Assessing the Effect of Supply Chain Collaboration on the Critical Barriers to Additive Manufacturing Implementation in Supply Chains." Journal of Engineering and Technology Management 68:101749. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.</u> 2023.101749
- Rousseau, Mary Beth, Blake D. Mathias, Laura T. Madden, and T. Russel Crook. 2016. "INNOVATION, FIRM PERFORMANCE, AND APPROPRIATION: A META-ANALYSIS." International Journal of Innovation Management 20(03):1650033. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1142/S1363919616</u> <u>50033X</u>

- Ruiz-Torres, Alex J., Guillermo Cardoza, Markku Kuula, Yuritza Oliver, and Henry Rosa-Polanco. 2018. "Logistic Services in the Caribbean Region." Academia Revista Latinoamericana de Administración 31(3):534–52. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/ARLA-03-2017-0078</u>
- Saunila, Minna. 2014. "Innovation Capability for SME Success: Perspectives of Financial and Operational Performance." Journal of Advances in Management Research 11(2):163–75. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-11-2013-0063</u>
- Shukor, Ahmad Azwan Ahmad, Md. Shah Newaz, Muhammad Khalilur Rahman, and Azni Zarina Taha. 2021. "Supply Chain Integration and Its Impact on Supply Chain Agility and Organizational Flexibility in Manufacturing Firms." International Journal of Emerging Markets 16(8):1721–44. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-04-</u> 2020-0418
- Singhry, Hassan Barau, and Azmawani Abd Rahman. 2019. "Enhancing Supply Chain Performance through Collaborative Planning, Forecasting, and Replenishment." Business Process Management Journal 25(4):625–46. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-03-</u> 2017-0052
- Spillane, James. 2022. "Why Your Existing Customers Are Your Best Customers." Business Community.
- De Stefano, M. Cristina, and Maria J. Montes-Sancho. 2023. "Complex Supply Chain Structures and Multi-Scope GHG Emissions: The Moderation Effect of Reducing Equivocality." International Journal of Operations & Production Management.

https://www.doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-11-2022-0759

Su, Yang, Jinling Huang, Xiaohong Zhao, Huiping Lu, Wang Wang, Xuexian O. Yang, Yuling Shi, Xiaohu Wang, Yuping Lai, and Chen Dong. 2019. "Interleukin-17 Receptor D Constitutes an Alternative Receptor for Interleukin-17A Important in Psoriasis-like Skin Inflammation." Science Immunology 4(36).

https://www.doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.a au9657

Tarigan, Zeplin Jiwa Husada, Hotlan Siagian, and Ferry Jie. 2021. "Impact of Internal Integration, Supply Chain Partnership, Supply Chain Agility, and Supply Chain Resilience on Sustainable Advantage." Sustainability 13(10):5460. https://www.doi.org/10.3390/su13105460

Theixar, Regina Natalie, and I. Gusti Ngurah Wairocana. n.d. "SUBJEK HUKUM RAHASIA DAGANG DITINJAU DARI UNDANG-UNDANG RAHASIA DAGANG , TRIPS." Kekhususan Hukum

Bisnis Fakultas Hukum 1–13.

- Tseng, Po-Hsing, and Chun-Hsiung Liao. 2015. "Supply Chain Integration, Information Technology, Market Orientation and Firm Performance in Container Shipping Firms." The International Journal of Logistics Management 26(1):82–106. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-09-2012-0088</u>
- Weerabahu Weerabahu, Mudiyanselage Samanthi Kumari. Premaratne Samaranayake, Dilupa Nakandala, Henry Lau, and Dasun Nirmala Malaarachchi. 2022. "Barriers to the Adoption of Digital Servitization: A Case of the Sri Lankan Manufacturing Sector." International Journal Emerging Markets. of https://www.doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-01-2022-0011
- Wiengarten, Frank, Huashan Li, Prakash J. Singh, and Brian Fynes. 2019. "Re-Evaluating Supply Chain Integration and Firm Performance: Linking Operations Strategy to Supply Chain Strategy." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 24(4):540–59. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/SCM-05-2018-0189</u>

Wong, Christina W. Y., Chee Yew Wong, and Sakun Boon-itt. 2013. "The Combined Effects of Internal and External Supply Chain Integration on Product Innovation." International Journal of Production Economics 146(2):566–74. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.08.</u> <u>004</u>

- Wu, Fang, Sengun Yeniyurt, Daekwan Kim, and S. Tamer Cavusgil. 2006. "The Impact of Information Technology on Supply Chain Capabilities and Firm Performance: A Resource-Based View." Industrial Marketing Management 35(4):493–504. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2</u> 005.05.003
- Xiaosong Peng, David, Roger G. Schroeder, and Rachna Shah. 2011. "Competitive Priorities, Plant Improvement and Innovation Capabilities, and Operational Performance" edited by K. Demeter. International Journal of Operations & Production Management 31(5):484–510. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/01443571111</u> 126292
- Xu, Rui, Jianlin Wu, Jibao Gu, and Tatbeeq 2023. "How Raza-Ullah. Inter-Firm Cooperation and Conflicts in Industrial Clusters Influence New Product Development Performance? The Role of Firm Innovation Capability." Industrial Marketing Management 111:229-41. https://www.doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2 023.04.009
- Yu, Wantao, Roberto Chavez, Mark Jacobs, Chee Yew Wong, and Chunlin Yuan. 2019. "Environmental Scanning, Supply Chain Integration, Responsiveness, and Operational Performance." International Journal of Operations & Production Management 39(5):787–814. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-07-2018-0395</u>
- Yu, Yubing, and Baofeng Huo. 2018. "Supply Chain Quality Integration: Relational Antecedents and Operational Consequences." Supply Chain Management: An International Journal 23(3):188–206. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1108/SCM-08-2017-0280</u>

De Zubielqui, Graciela Corral, Noel J. Lindsay, and Allan O'Connor. 2014. "HOW PRODUCT, OPERATIONS, AND MARKETING SOURCES OF IDEAS INFLUENCE **INNOVATION** AND ENTREPRENEURIAL PERFORMANCE IN AUSTRALIAN SMEs." International Journal of Innovation Management 18(02):1450017. https://www.doi.org/10.1142/S1363919614 500170

Ria Sandra Alimbudiono Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Surabaya, **Indonesia** e-mail: <u>riasandra257@gmail.com</u>

Mely Sutanto Faculty of Business and Economics, University of Surabaya, **Indonesia**