

OPTIMIZATION ULTRASOUND-ASSISTED EXTRACTION USING CHOLINE CHLORIDE-BASED NATURAL DEEP EUTECTIC SOLVENT TO INCREASE PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS AND ANTIOXIDANTS FROM RHODOMYRTUS TOMENTOSA LEAVES

NINA D. OKTAVIYANTI¹, RYANTO BUDIONO², ENDANG W. FITRIANI³, CHRISTINA AVANTI^{3*}

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Surabaya, Surabaya-60293, East Java, Indonesia. ²Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Surabaya, Surabaya-60293, East Java, Indonesia. ³Department of Pharmaceutical, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Surabaya, Surabaya-60293, East Java, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: Christina Avanti; *Email: c_avanti@staff.ubaya.ac.id

Received: 06 May 2024, Revised and Accepted: 25 Aug 2024

ABSTRACT

Objective: The main purpose of this study is to give recommendations for the ideal extraction conditions for improving the extraction yield and antioxidant activity of *R. tomentosa* leaves.

Methods: First, the extraction total phenolic yields of five choline chloride-based Natural Deep Eutectic Solvents (NADES) were evaluated. Then, Box Behnken designs of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) were conducted in order to optimize the extraction condition. The extraction variables investigated were extraction time, water content in NADES, and solid-to-liquid ratio. Meanwhile, total phenolic and 2,2'-azobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) free radicals scavenging activity were used as responses.

Results: NADES with combination of choline chloride and propylene glycol with a molar ratio of 1:1 was found to be the best solvent for extracting phenolic compound. The total phenolic compound obtained was 29.6351 mg GAE/g dried leaves with ABTS scavenging activity about 96.84% under the optimum extraction condition (extraction time of 60 min, 25% water content in NADES, and solid-to-liquid ratio of 0.02 g/ml). Better results were shown compared to extracts with conventional solvents.

Conclusion: In sum, the use of choline chloride-based NADES as extraction solvent under optimum condition was proven to be effective in increasing the extraction efficiency of phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity from *R. tomentosa* leaves.

Keywords: *Rhodomyrtus tomentosa* leaves, Natural deep eutectic solvent, Response surface Methodology, Phenolic, Antioxidant

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Innovare Academic Sciences Pvt Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijap.2024.v16s5.52479> Journal homepage: <https://innovareacademics.in/journals/index.php/ijap>

INTRODUCTION

Rhodomyrtus tomentosa is flowering small tree plant a member of the family Myrtaceae. In Indonesia, especially Kalimantan, it is known as Karamunting. Its fruit is widely used as traditional medicine, and its compounds and biological activities have been studied [1]. The continuous availability of raw materials presents unique challenges for the preparation of herbal medicines using fruit parts as raw materials. Therefore, the leaf part was selected to be developed in this study as a promising raw materials candidate for herbal medicine. The potential of plant secondary metabolite compounds as antioxidants was demonstrated by our earlier research [2]. Phenolic compounds are the largest group of compounds found in plants and have been proven to be responsible for the biological activity of plants as herbal medicines and cosmetics [3]. There are many factors that influence the phenolic compounds obtained from a plant, including the extraction method used, type of solvent, extraction time and temperature, and many other extraction variables [4]. The different phenolic compound yields in a plant extract due to different extraction conditions affect the antioxidant capacity [5].

Thus far, organic solvents have been used for *R. tomentosa* leaves extraction in several studies [6, 7]. The use of volatile organic solvents in the extraction process can have negative impacts on the environment [8-11]. Our previous study succeeded in optimizing environmentally friendly extraction methods using Natural Deep Eutectic Solvent (NADES), which can increase extraction yields two times higher than ethanolic extract [2, 12, 13]. The use of green solvents as an alternative to organic solvents is included in the application of green extraction principles. NADES, a type of green solvent, have recently attracted a lot of attention because of their several advantages, including their effectiveness as an alternative solvent to replace organic solvents in the extraction process and as a raw material for cosmetics [14, 15]. NADES are formed from a

mixture of hydrogen bond acceptors (HBAs) and hydrogen bond donors (HBDs) in certain molar ratios. Numerous studies have demonstrated the high effectiveness of choline chloride in plant metabolite extraction, which has led to its widespread use as HBD for NADES. It may be possible to formulate the NADES-containing extract straight away without first undergoing a solvent separation procedure, so it can be applied as a strategy for reducing the amount of energy and excipients utilized.

It is important to optimize extraction conditions using the suitable solvent for each plant in order to get the highest extraction yield [4]. In the current study, the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) has been used for designing, analyzing, and forecasting the extraction conditions. One benefit of RSM is that it can be used to predict the ideal extraction condition and comprehend how different extraction factors relate to one another.

Ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) is a non-conventional extraction method that is often used in green extraction applications. The cavitation phenomenon caused by ultrasonic waves facilitates increased contact between solvent and sample, increases the efficiency of extraction time solvent use, and reduces energy consumption so that extraction results can be improved [16]. This can support the application of the green extraction principle, which uses environmentally friendly extraction methods with minimal energy use.

To the best of our knowledge, study optimizing the extraction of *R. tomentosa* is still limited, and only its fruit. Moreover, the publications that optimize the extraction of Karamunting leaves using NADES solvent have never been reported elsewhere. The aim of this research was to investigate the ability of NADES-UAE to increase the extraction efficiency of *R. tomentosa* leaves and recommend the optimal extraction conditions that provide the highest total phenolic and antioxidant activity simultaneously.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

All of the HBA and HBD compound used for the extraction in this study were pharmaceutical grade, included choline chloride (Xi'an Rongsheng Biotechnology Co, Ltd, China); propylene glycol, sorbitol, glycerol, polyethylene glycol 400 (Merck, Germany). Meanwhile, 2,2'-azinbis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) and Gallic acid were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, USA.

Preparation of NADES

In this research, NADES were prepared using a heating method where choline chloride as HBA was stirred with various types of HBD with appropriate molar ratios. This was carried out constantly at a temperature of 50 °C for 30 min until a stable, clear and homogeneous solution was formed. All the choline chloride-based NADES used in this study and their abbreviations and molar ratios are listed in table 1.

Table 1: List of NADES used in this study with the abbreviation

Combination of HBA and HBD	Molar ratio	Abbreviation
Choline chloride-Propylene glycol	1:1	ChPg1
Choline chloride-Propylene glycol	1:4	ChPg4
Choline chloride-Sorbitol	1:2	ChSb
Choline chloride-Glycerol	1:2	ChGl
Choline chloride-polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG400)	1:2	ChPeg

Sample collection and extract preparation

The *R. tomentosa* leaves used in this study were collected from Palangkaraya, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. The authenticity of the sample was verified by the Center for Traditional Medicine Information and Development, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Surabaya. The fresh leaves were sorted, washed, and dried in the shade, then sifted using a 30 mesh to obtain dried leaf powder. The powdered leaves preserved in a dry environment and sealed container for later use.

The UAE method was conducted to obtain all the *R. tomentosa* extract in our current study. Initially, the selection of NADES was carried out to choose the best solvent for extraction optimization. In this stage, about 0.5 g of dried leaves were sonicated with 10 ml NADES for 20 min at room temperature with a frequency of 40 kHz. Meanwhile, at the extraction optimization stage, *R. tomentosa* leaves were sonicated with a solid-to-liquid ratio, extraction time, and water content, which have been determined using RSM analysis. All the extract obtained were centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 15 min, and the filtrates were collected. Every extraction process used in this study was carried out three times.

Experimental design for optimization using the response surface method (RSM)

A Box-Behnken design (BBD) of the response surface method using three factors with three levels of each factor has been carried out to optimize the phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of *R. tomentosa* leaves. The selected levels of three factors and their codes in this study are represented in table 2. A total of 15-run experiments ordered by RSM to be carried out for experimental verification. The final result of the Box-Behnken design is to formulate a second-order polynomial regression model, which is then used to predict optimal extraction conditions for phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity from *R. tomentosa* leaves.

$$Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \beta_j X_j + \sum_{j=1}^3 \beta_{jj} X_j^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=i+1}^3 \beta_{ij} X_i X_j$$

Where Y is the response variable (total phenolic content or antioxidant activity); β_0 is a constant and represents the intercept; β_j , β_{jj} , β_{ij} are the linear, squared and interaction coefficients, respectively [17].

Table 2: The code, range, and level of each variable

Variables	Code	Range and level (xi)		
		-1	0	1
Extraction Time (min)	X ₁	20	40	60
Water Content in NADES (%)	X ₂	20	25	30
Solid-to-liquid ratio (g/ml)	X ₃	0.02	0.05	0.10

Determination of total phenolic content (TPC)

The total phenolic content of the *R. tomentosa* extracts was determined spectrophotometrically (UV-1900, Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto) using the Total Phenolic Index (TPI) method, which refers to the method of Aleixandre-Tudo *et al.* [18] with slight modifications. About 0.5 ml of each extract filtrate was pipetted quantitatively into a 10.0 volumetric flask, and then water was added until the total volume. After being homogenized, all the solutions were read at a wavelength of 280 nm as the total phenolic index (TPI) equivalent to gallic acid. The total phenolic content was calculated using a standard curve of Gallic acid with a concentration ranging from 10 to 50 mg/l with $y = 0.0245x - 0.0609$ ($R^2 = 0.9996$). Total phenolic level was analyzed in triplicate and expressed as mg GAE per g dried leaves (mg GAE/g dried leaves).

Evaluation antioxidant activity

Antioxidant activity becomes a measurable parameter in extraction optimization procedures. The antioxidant activity was evaluated using the ABTS method according to previous studies conducted by Jacob *et al.* [19] and Oktaviyanti *et al.* [13] with modifications.

Firstly, ABTS free radical was prepared by mixing and dissolving 7.1 mg ABTS and 3.5 mg K₂S₂O₈ in deionized water until a total volume of 25.0 ml. This mixture turned into an ABTS free radical solution after 16 h of incubation. Each extract solution was pipetted about 160 μ l**, added with 40 μ l** of ABTS free radical solution, and then incubated for 5 min at room temperature and then measured at 730 nm using microplate reader (BMG Labtech, Germany). All the antioxidant activity were calculated as percentage inhibition using equation below and performed in triplicate.

$$\% \text{ inhibition} = \frac{A - B}{A} \times 100\%$$

Where A is free radicals solution absorbance; B is the sample absorbance after mixing and incubating with free radicals solution.

Statistical analysis

Total phenolic level data obtained at the initial stage of NADES screening were compared by analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a significance level of $p < 0.05$ using SPSS software version 18 for Windows (IBM, New York, United States).

Design Expert Software v. 13 (Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA) was used for performing the RSM and predicting the optimum extraction conditions for total phenolic and antioxidant activity from *R. tomentosa*. In order to assess the quality of the statistical model, ANOVA was also done.

All of the data in this study, both total phenolic content and percentage inhibition in the text, tables, and figures, are presented as the mean \pm SD.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Screening of NADES for the extraction of total phenolic compound

The result showed that different types of HBD used in NADES preparation can affect the total phenolic yields. The total phenolics level of five different choline chloride-based NADES was evaluated. Fig. 1 showed that the combination of choline chloride and propylene glycol at a molar ratio of 1:1 demonstrated the highest ($P < 0.05$) yields of total phenolic compounds. Surprisingly, because these results are similar to our previous studies, which showed that this combination also provided high extraction yield and excellent

bioactivity from *Ixora javanica* flowers [2, 13]. Various HBA and HBD compositions have been shown in earlier research to have an impact on the physicochemical properties including polarity of NADES as well as their extraction efficiency [20]. The viscosity of the NADES also plays an important role in their extraction efficiency [21, 22]. In this study, ChSb and ChGl showed higher viscosities than other NADES, and they provided lower extraction yields of phenolic compounds. Additionally, steric hindrance can also inhibit the formation of chemical bonds, such as hydrogen bonds. This can explain the lower yield of phenolic compounds by ChPeg compared to other solvents due to the complexity of its structure.

Moreover, not only the type of HBA and HBD, but the molar ratio also affects NADES extraction capability. Our result showed that the phenolic compound yields decreased due to increasing the propylene glycol molar ratio. The formation of hydrogen bonds decreased with increasing levels of propylene glycol and decreasing levels of choline chloride. On the other hand, the primary process in NADES that increases extraction efficiency is hydrogen bond formation [23]. Thus, combination of choline chloride and propylene glycol with molar ratio of 1: 1 was selected for subsequent extraction and analysis procedures.




Fig. 1: Comparison of total phenolic compound yields among the different NADES data are expressed as mean \pm SD (n=5; * $P < 0.05$)

Table 3: 15-run experimental responses

Run	Independent variable			Responses	
	X ₁ ^a	X ₂ ^b	X ₃ ^c	TPC (mg GAE/g dried leaves)	ABTS scavenging activity (%)
1	0	1	-1	11.6193	61.05
2	1	1	0	15.5615	66.94
3	-1	0	1	8.0421	52.38
4	-1	0	-1	23.3408	81.34
5	0	-1	-1	12.2224	61.99
6	-1	-1	0	5.9471	43.65
7	0	-1	1	5.5945	44.37
8	0	0	0	12.9797	63.56
9	-1	1	0	3.7645	44.24
10	0	0	0	12.9199	62.56
11	0	1	1	0.5316	40.50
12	1	-1	0	19.1300	72.45
13	1	0	1	26.6502	91.22
14	0	0	0	12.9657	62.56
15	1	0	-1	29.6351	96.84

^aX₁ is extraction Time (min); ^bX₂ is water content in NADES (%); ^cX₃ Solid-to-liquid ratio (g/ml)

Optimization UAE condition using RSM

The independent variables optimized in this study were extraction time, water content, and solid-to-liquid ratio, while the responses were total phenolic yields and antioxidant activity from *R. tomentosa* leaves. The responses obtained from experiments in the laboratory for each combination are presented in table 3. Then, using Design Expert software, all of the responses were examined to create a regression equation-a model that predicts each response that represented in table 4.

The results are also represented as response surface plot on 3D surface graphs (fig. 2 and 3). Extraction time is closely related to the chance of contact between the solvent and the sample. Along with increasing contact time, the opportunity for substance diffusion will

also increase. Thus, the extraction yields also increase which is in agreement with previous findings conducted by [24]. As mentioned above, viscosity becomes the common problem when applying NADES as solvent extraction. Several studies have added water to the NADES mixture to solve the viscosity problem [25, 26]. However, the addition of excess water can weaken the hydrogen bonds formed between the solvent and the sample and can even cause a change in the polarity of the NADES [27, 28]. The ratio between plant materials and volume of the solvents is known as the solid-to-liquid ratio. The compound solubility increased when the solid-to-liquid ratio is lower due to larger volume of extraction solvents. Whereas, when the solvent volume greater than the required amount, more impurities were dissolved out and prevented the dissolution of the compound. A similar result was also shown in previous work conducted by Jing *et al.* [29].

Table 4: Regression model

Response	Model equation
Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g dried leaves)	$y = 12.96 + 6.24x_1 - 1.43x_2 - 4.50x_3 - 0.3465x_1x_2 + 3.08x_1x_3 - 1.11x_2x_3 + 6.29x_1^2 - 8.14x_2^2 + 2.68x_3^2$
ABTS radical scavenging activity (%)	$y = 62.89 + 13.23x_1 - 1.22x_2 - 9.09x_3 - 2.53x_1x_2 + 5.84x_1x_3 - 0.7316x_2x_3 + 11.20x_1^2 - 17.27x_2^2 + 6.36x_3^2$

Table 5, 6 presents the results of an ANOVA that was conducted to assess the model quality. There is strong agreement between the experimental results and the predicted yield, as indicated by the R² values of all the models. The model can express variances of more than 99.93% (R² = 0.9993) and 98.94% (R² = 0.9894) for total phenolic yield and antioxidant activity, respectively. The result also demonstrate that the responses are significantly impacted by the variables (p-value<0.05). Based on the p-value, it can also be seen


that the variables interact with each other to have an effect on the response. In addition, the models indicate that the statistical insignificance of the lack of fit (p-value>0.05), with the phenolic and antioxidant models showing p-values of 0.0660 and 0.2892, respectively. A model can be categorized as a good model if it has an insignificant lack-of-fit value that indicates the model's inability to adequately represent the data is not significant, so it is suitable to predict the response [30].

Table 5: ANOVA for total phenolic content prediction model


Source	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-value	p-value
Model	984.20	9	109.36	12444.91	<0.0001
X ₁	311.03	1	311.03	35396.10	<0.0001
X ₂	16.29	1	16.29	1854.27	<0.0001
X ₃	161.99	1	161.99	18435.16	<0.0001
X ₁ X ₂	0.4802	1	0.4802	54.65	0.0007
X ₁ X ₃	37.91	1	37.91	4313.98	<0.0001
X ₂ X ₃	4.97	1	4.97	565.88	<0.0001
X ₁ ²	145.87	1	145.87	16600.24	<0.0001
X ₂ ²	244.63	1	244.63	27839.94	<0.0001
X ₃ ²	26.45	1	26.45	3010.27	<0.0001
Residual	0.0439	5	0.0088		
Lack of Fit	0.0420	3	0.0140	14.30	0.0660
Pure Error	0.0020	2	0.0010		
Cor total	984.24	14			

Table 6: ANOVA for ABTS radical scavenging activity prediction model


Source	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-value	p-value
Model	4077.62	9	453.07	691.72	<0.0001
X ₁	1400.40	1	1400.40	2138.05	<0.0001
X ₂	11.86	1	11.86	18.10	0.0081
X ₃	661.57	1	661.57	1010.05	<0.0001
X ₁ X ₂	9.31	1	9.31	14.21	0.0130
X ₁ X ₃	136.22	1	136.22	207.97	<0.0001
X ₂ X ₃	2.14	1	2.14	3.27	0.1304
X ₁ ²	462.91	1	462.91	706.74	<0.0001
X ₂ ²	1101.32	1	1101.32	1681.43	<0.0001
X ₃ ²	149.14	1	149.14	227.70	<0.0001
Residual	3.27	5	0.6550		
Lack of Fit	2.61	3	0.8694	2.61	0.2892
Pure Error	0.6667	2	0.3333		
Cor Total	4080.90	14			



(a)




(b)




(c)


Fig. 2: 3D response surface graphs of total phenolic yield versus (a) extraction time (x_1) and water content (x_2); (b) extraction time (x_1) and solid-to-liquid ratio (x_3); (c) water content (x_2) and solid-to-liquid ratio (x_3)



(a)



(b)



(c)

Fig. 3: 3D response surface graphs of ABTS scavenging activity versus (a) extraction time (x_1) and water content (x_2); (b) extraction time (x_1) and solid-to-liquid ratio (x_3); (c) water content (x_2) and solid-to-liquid ratio (x_3)

The present study employed all the experimental results to forecast the ideal extraction conditions for enhancing total phenolic content and antioxidant activity from *R. tomentosa* leaves. The statistically analysis using RSM software showed that the ideal NADES-UAE conditions for *R. tomentosa* leaves are an extraction time of 60 min, 25% water content, and a solid-to-liquid ratio of 0.02 g/ml.

Table 7 demonstrates that the model was suitable to predict the data. This can be seen from the optimal values from experimental tests and software predictions, which are close to each other. We also succeeded in proving that our optimal extraction condition showed better total phenolic and

antioxidant activity compared to an ethanolic extract of *R. tomentosa* leaves.

We tried to investigate the relationship between phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity, and the results can be seen in fig. 4. The result showed that the R^2 value between total phenolic vs ABTS scavenging is 0.986 that indicates there is a good correlation and the activity antioxidant is mediated by phenolic compounds. This result is in accordance with research conducted by Lestari *et al.* [31], where there was consistency between the levels of phenolic compounds in the samples and their antioxidant activity. This also ensures that in our recent study we succeeded in extracting maximum phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity simultaneously from *R. tomentosa* leaves.

Table 7: Value of all response variables, both experimental and predicted, under ideal UAE conditions and comparison to ethanolic extract

Response	Experimental value	Predicted value	Ethanolic extract
Total phenolic content (mg GAE/g dried leaves)	29.6351	29.6351	22.5674 ± 0.2669
ABTS scavenging activity (%)	96.84	96.93	73.12 ± 0.64




Fig. 4: Graphs represent correlation curve between total phenolic content vs antioxidant activity

CONCLUSION

A green extraction optimization-facilitated Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was successfully carried out to obtain the extraction condition of *R. tomentosa* leaves with the highest extraction yield of total phenolic compound and anti-oxidant activity simultaneously. The environmentally friendly extraction method using NADES-UAE has been successfully develop. The best extraction conditions that this study recommended were extraction time of 60 min, water content of 25%, and a solid-to-liquid ratio of 0.02 g/ml. Our finding demonstrated that using NADES consisting of choline chloride and propylene glycol at a molar ratio of 1:1, along with the UAE method, under our ideal extraction conditions, can be an acceptable alternative for conventional organic solvent extraction methods that are both more efficient and environmentally friendly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank for the financial support provided by The Directorate General of Higher Education, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia for Fundamental Programme 2023 grant ref no. 183/E5/PG.02.00. PL/2023; 004/SP2H/PT/IL7/2023; 040/SP-Lit/IPPM-01/KemendikbudRistek/FF/VI/2023.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

NDO-Conceptualization of ideas, designed the experimental, performed the experiments, data acquisition, data analysis,

statistical analysis, manuscript preparation, editing, and review; RB-performed the experiments, data acquisition, data analysis, statistical analysis, manuscript preparation; EWF-performed the experiments, data acquisition, data analysis, statistical analysis, manuscript preparation; CA-Conceptualization of ideas, designed the experimental, data curation, data analysis, statistical analysis, manuscript preparation, editing, and review.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

Declared none

REFERENCES

- Lai TN, Herent MF, Quetin Leclercq J, Nguyen TB, Rogez H, Larondelle Y. Piceatannol a potent bioactive stilbene as major phenolic component in *Rhodomyrtus tomentosa*. Food Chem. 2013;138(2-3):1421-30. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.10.125, PMID 23411263.
- Oktaviyanti ND, Kartini MA, Mun IM A. Application and optimization of ultrasound-assisted deep eutectic solvent for the extraction of new skin lightening cosmetic materials from *Ixora javanica* flower. Heliyon. 2019;5(11):e02950. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02950, PMID 31844780.
- Apridamayanti P, Sari R, Pratiwi L. Development validation of quercetin compounds using RP-HPLC and *in vitro* activity studies on *melastoma malabathricum* leaf nanocream

- foundation preparations. Int J App Pharm. 2023;5(5):317-24. doi: 10.22159/ijap.2023v15i5.48297.
4. Koraqi H, Petkoska AT, Khalid W, Sehrish A, Ambreen S, Lorenzo JM. Optimization of the extraction conditions of antioxidant phenolic compounds from strawberry fruits (*Fragaria x ananassa* Duch.) using response surface methodology. Food Anal Methods. 2023;16:1-13. doi: 10.1007/s12161-023-02469-6, PMID 37359894.
 5. Fierascu RC, Temocico G, Fierascu I, Ortan A, Babeanu NE. *Fragaria* genus: chemical composition and biological activities. Molecules. 2020;25(3):498. doi: 10.3390/molecules25030498, PMID 31979351.
 6. Ridlo M, Kumalaningsih S, Pranowo D. Optimization of microwave assisted extraction from rhodomyrtus tomentosa fruits using response surface methodology. IOP Conf Ser: Earth Environ Sci. 2019;230:012041. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/230/1/012041.
 7. Ismandari T, Kumalaningsih S, Wijana S, Mustaniroh SA. Optimization of bioactive compound extraction from rose myrtle fruit (*rhodomyrtus tomentosa*, (wait) myrtaceae) as the antioxidant source. Scientific World Journal. 2020;2020:9105847. doi: 10.1155/2020/9105847, PMID 32395089.
 8. DE Carvalho CC, DA Fonseca MM. Solvent toxicity in organic aqueous systems analysed by multivariate analysis. Bioprocess Biosyst Eng. 2004;26(6):361-75. doi: 10.1007/s00449-004-0381-1, PMID 15378340.
 9. Levet A, Bordes C, Clement Y, Mignon P, Morell C, Chermette H. Acute aquatic toxicity of organic solvents modeled by QSARs. J Mol Model. 2016;22(12):288. doi: 10.1007/s00894-016-3156-0, PMID 27830479.
 10. Lin CH, Lai CH, Peng YP, WU PC, Chuang KY, Yen TY. Comparative health risk of inhaled exposure to organic solvents toxic metals and hexavalent chromium from the use of spray paints in Taiwan. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2019;26(33):33906-16. doi: 10.1007/s11356-018-2669-8, PMID 29974442.
 11. Seo S, Kim J. An aggravated return to work case of organic solvent induced chronic toxic encephalopathy. Ann Occup Environ Med. 2018;30(1):27. doi: 10.1186/s40557-018-0232-1, PMID 29719722.
 12. Oktaviyanti ND, Kartini K, Hadiyat MA, Rachmawati E, Wijaya AC, Hayun H. A green extraction design for enhancing flavonoid compounds from *Ixora javanica* flowers using a deep eutectic solvent. R Soc Open Sci. 2020;7(10):201116. doi: 10.1098/rsos.201116, PMID 33204470.
 13. Oktaviyanti ND, Setiawan F, Kartini K, Azminah A, Avanti C, Hayun H. Development of a simple and rapid HPLC-UV method for ultrasound assisted deep eutectic solvent extraction optimization of ferulic acid and antioxidant activity from *ixora javanica* flowers. S Afr J Chem Eng. 2022;40:165-75. doi: 10.1016/j.sajce.2022.03.004.
 14. Jeong KM, KO J, Zhao J, Jin Y, Yoo DE, Han SY. Multi functioning deep eutectic solvents as extraction and storage media for bioactive natural products that are readily applicable to cosmetic products. J Clean Prod. 2017;151:87-95. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.038.
 15. Rizkiyan Y, Suharyani I, Falya Y, Amelia R, Nuh M, Sulastri L. Nades extract of gedong mango leaves and mulberry leaves in spray gel as a sunscreen. Int J App Pharm. 2022;14(4):121-5. doi: 10.22159/ijap.2022.v14s4.PP29.
 16. Pena Pereira F, Tobiszewski M. The application of green solvent in separation process. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2017.
 17. Jing CL, Dong XF, Tong JM. Optimization of ultrasonic-assisted extraction of flavonoid compounds and antioxidants from alfalfa using response surface method. Molecules. 2015;20(9):15550-71. doi: 10.3390/molecules200915550, PMID 26343617.
 18. Aleixandre Tudo JL, Buica A, Nieuwoudt HH, Aleixandre JL, DU Toit W. Spectrophotometric analysis of phenolic compounds in grapes and wines. J Agric Food Chem. 2017;65(20):4009-26. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01724, PMID 28475326.
 19. Jacob J, Lakshmanapermalsamy P, Illuri R, Bhosle D, Sangli GK, Mundkinajeddu D. *In vitro* evaluation of antioxidant potential of isolated compounds and various extracts of peel of *Punica granatum* L. Pharmacognosy Res. 2018;10(1):44-8. doi: 10.4103/pr.pr.36.17, PMID 29568186.
 20. Zainal abidin MH, Hayyan M, Hayyan A, Jayakumar NS. New horizons in the extraction of bioactive compounds using deep eutectic solvents: a review. Anal Chim Acta. 2017;979:1-23. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2017.05.012, PMID 28599704.
 21. Dai Y, Rozema E, Verpoorte R, Choi YH. Application of natural deep eutectic solvents to the extraction of anthocyanins from *Catharanthus roseus* with high extractability and stability replacing conventional organic solvents. J Chromatogr A. 2016;1434:50-6. doi: 10.1016/j.chroma.2016.01.037, PMID 26822320.
 22. LI Z, Lee PI. Investigation on drug solubility enhancement using deep eutectic solvents and their derivatives. Int J Pharm. 2016;505(1-2):283-8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.04.018, PMID 27079143.
 23. Sang J, LI B, Huang Y, MA Q, Liu K, Li C. Deep eutectic solvent based extraction coupled with green two dimensional HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS for the determination of anthocyanins from lycium ruthenicum murr fruit. Anal Methods. 2018;10(10):1247-57. doi: 10.1039/C8AY00101D.
 24. Selahvarzi A, Ramezan Y, Sanjabi MR, Namdar B, Akbarmivehie M, Mirsaedghazi H. Optimization of ultrasonic assisted extraction of phenolic compounds from pomegranate and orange peels and their antioxidant activity in a functional drink. Food Biosci. 2022;49:101918. doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2022.101918.
 25. Cao J, Wang H, Zhang W, Cao F, MA G, SU E. Tailor made deep eutectic solvents for simultaneous extraction of five aromatic acids from *Ginkgo biloba* leaves. Molecules. 2018;23(12):3214. doi: 10.3390/molecules23123214, PMID 30563161.
 26. Makos P, Slupek E, Gebicki J. Hydrophobic deep eutectic solvents in microextraction techniques a review. Microchem J. 2020;152:104384. doi: 10.1016/j.microc.2019.104384.
 27. Bosiljkov T, Dujmic F, Cvjetko Bubalo M, Hribar J, Vidrih R, Brncic M. Natural deep eutectic solvents and ultrasound assisted extraction: green approaches for extraction of wine lees anthocyanins. Food Bioprod Process. 2017;102:195-203. doi: 10.1016/j.fbp.2016.12.005.
 28. Hammond OS, Bowron DT, Edler KJ. The effect of water upon deep eutectic solvent nanostructure: an unusual transition from ionic mixture to aqueous solution. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2017;56(33):9782-5. doi: 10.1002/anie.201702486, PMID 28480595.
 29. Jing CL, Dong XF, Tong JM. Optimization of ultrasonic assisted extraction of flavonoid compounds and antioxidants from alfalfa using response surface method. Molecules. 2015;20(9):15550-71. doi: 10.3390/molecules200915550, PMID 26343617.
 30. Liang CP, Chang CH, Liang CC, Hung KY, Hsieh CW. *In vitro* antioxidant activities free radical scavenging capacity and tyrosinase inhibitory of flavonoid compounds and ferulic acid from spiranthes sinensis (Pers.) Ames. Molecules. 2014;19(4):4681-94. doi: 10.3390/molecules19044681, PMID 24739930.
 31. Lestari U, Muhaimin M, Chaerunissaa AY, Sujarwo W. Antioxidant activities and phytochemical screening of ethanol extract from surian leaves (*Toona sinensis*). Int J App Pharm. 2023;15(2):37-43. doi: 10.22159/ijap.2023.v15s2.07.



ISSN 0975-7058

International Journal
of
Applied Pharmaceutics



HOME / Editorial Board

Editorial Board

Editor-in-Chief

[Dr. Gaurav Kant Saraogi](#)

Sri Aurobindo Institute of Pharmacy, Indore-Ujjain State Highway, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India

Email: editor@ijaponline.org, gauravsaraogi13@gmail.com

Associate Editors

[Dr. Genta Ida](#)

Department of Drug Sciences, University of Pavia, Italy

Email: ida.genta@unipv.it

Assistant Editors

[Dr. Awesh Kumar Yadav](#)

National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research Raebareli, Uttar Pradesh, India

Email: aweshyadav@gmail.com

[Dr. Arvind Gulbake](#)

National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research: Changsari, Assam, India

Email: arvind.gulbake@gmail.com

Editorial Members

[Dr. Kailash C. Petkar](#)

Scientist 'C', Government of India, DSIR, Min. of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India

Email: petkar.kailash@gmail.com

[Dr. Alankar Shrivastava](#)

Amity Institute of Pharmacy, Amity University, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Email: alankarshrivastava@gmail.com

[Dr. Tarang Nema](#)

International Flavours and Fragrances, Singapore

[Dr. Carlotta Marianecchi](#)

Dipartimento di Chimica e Tecnologie del Farmaco, Sapienza Università di Roma, Rome, Italy

Email: carlotta.marianecchi@uniroma1.it

[Dr. Manoj Nahar](#)

Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited, Vadodara, Gujarat, India

Email: mnjnahar@yahoo.co.in

[Dr. Tarek Abdelnaby Ahmed](#)

Department of Pharmaceutics and Industrial Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, KAU, Jeddah, KSA

Email: dr_tarek_nour@yahoo.com

[Dr. Elizabeth Igne Ferreira](#)

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Sao Paulo, Brazil

Email: elizabeth.igne@gmail.com

[Dr. Surya Prakasarao Kovvasu](#)

Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona, California, USA

Email: skovvasu@westernu.edu

[Dr. N. Kanagathara](#)

Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha University, Chennai, India

Email: kanagathara23275@gmail.com



Online ISSN: 0975-7058

INDEXED By
Scopus

CONTINUED IN 2024 (Q2)

How we claim? [Click Scopus indexing IJAP 2024](#) to learn and understand

1.4 ²⁰²³ CiteScore

52nd percentile

Powered by **Scopus**



Plagiarism Check



Embase

It's Embase, Not Expanded

Embase, [Learn in 1 Min](#)

MOST READ

OCULAR DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM: CHALLENGES AND APPROACHES

👁 1488

FORMULATION AND EVALUATION OF TRANSDERMAL PATCHES OF PANTOPRAZOLE SODIUM

👁 1086

OVERVIEW ON FLOATING DRUG

[Dr. Mohammed Elmowafy Gomaa Aburaia](#)

Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, Jouf University, Saudi Arabia

Email: melmowafy@ju.edu.sa

[Dr. Liang Chen](#)

Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, P. R. China

Email: cheng_zhuan0101@wuxibiologics.com

[Dr. Franca Castiglione](#)

Department "G. Natta", Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Email: franca.castiglione@polimi.it

[Dr. Iman Emam Omar Gomaa](#)

Faculty of Pharmacy, University for Modern Sciences and Arts (MSA)" Cairo - Egypt

Email: igomaa@msa.eun.eg

[Dr. Basant Amarji](#)

UIPS, Punjab University, Chandigarh, Punjab, India

Email: basantamarji@gmail.com

[Dr. Rabab Kamel](#)

Pharmaceutical Technology Department, National Research Centre, Egypt

Email: drrababk@hotmail.com

[Dr. Satish Shilpi](#)

Ravishankar College of Pharmacy, Bhopal, MP, India

Email: shilpisatish@gmail.com

[Dr. Umeyor Chukwuebuka Emmanuel](#)

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Anambra State, Nigeria

Email: ec.umeyor@unizik.edu.ng

[Dr. Yosra S.R. Elnaggar](#)

Faculty of Pharmacy and Drug Manufacturing, Pharos University, Alexandria, Egypt

Email: yosra_pharm@yahoo.com

[Dr. Sumeet Kapoor](#)

IIT New Delhi, India

Email: s.kapooriitd@gmail.com

[Dr. Arif Nur Muhammad Ansori](#)

Faculty of Science and Technology, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia

Email: ansori.anm@gmail.com

[Dr. Dinesh Nyavanandi](#)

Research Scientist, Formulation Development Cerevel Therapeutics, MA 02141, USA

Email: ndinesh624@gmail.com

DELIVERY SYSTEM

👁 1033

FORMULATION AND EVALUATION
OF EFFERVESCENT GRANULES OF
IBUPROFEN

👁 711

EFFECT OF STERILIZATION BY
HEATING IN THE PRESENCE OF
BACTERICIDE AND BACTERIAL
FILTERED MEMBRANE ON THE
STABILITY OF EYE DROPS
CONTAINING 0.5%
CHLORAMPHENICOL AT VARIOUS
pH

👁 624

Our Journals || Open Access Policy || Publication & Peer Review Policy || Publication Ethics

The publication is licensed under a **Creative Commons License** (CC BY). **View Legal Code**

Copyright © 2024 All Rights Reserved, **Innovare Academic Sciences** | Powered By **CyberDairy**



HOME / ARCHIVES / Vol 16, Special Issue 5 (Oct), 2024

Vol 16, Special Issue 5 (Oct), 2024

5th International Current Breakthrough in Pharmacy (ICB-Pharma) 2024, Indonesia

Guest Editor: [Riza Maulana, M.Pharm. Sci.](#)

PUBLISHED: 15-10-2024

REVIEW ARTICLE(S)

MECHANISTIC INSIGHT INTO MEDICINAL PROPERTIES OF INDONESIAN DIVERSE MANGROVE SPECIES: A REVIEW

MUHTADI MUHTADI, DWI B. PAMBUDI, MARYATI MARYATI 1-8

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52488

LITERATURE REVIEW: THE OMICS STUDY FOR DETERMINING BIOMARKERS IN HUMAN SERUM AND PLASMA WITH DIFFERENT COVID-19 SEVERITY

WAHYU UTAMI, NAUFAL FARRAS 9-17

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52474

THE INFLUENCE OF MEDICATION THERAPY MANAGEMENT (MTM) ON CLINICAL OUTCOMES AND TREATMENT COMPLIANCE IN DIABETES MELLITUS PATIENTS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

MIKA TRI KUMALA SWANDARI, HIDAYAH KARUNIAWATI, ZAKKY CHOLISOH 18-24

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52471

APPLICATIONS OF SYNTHETIC AND HERBAL NANOPARTICLES AS APHRODISIACS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

DWI SARYANTI, MUHAMMAD DA'I, KIBEDI B. CABRAL, ERINDYAH R. WIKANTYASNING 25-30

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52467

ORIGINAL ARTICLE(S)

LITERATURE STUDY: INTERACTION BETWEEN NATURAL ANTIOXIDANT COMPOUNDS IN TROPICAL FRUITS

ARDITA T. RAHMASARI, PRAMUDYA KURNIA 31-38

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52473



Online ISSN: 0975-7058

INDEXED By
Scopus

CONTINUED IN 2024 [Q2]

How we claim? [Click Scopus indexing IJAP 2024](#) to learn and understand

1.4 ²⁰²³ CiteScore

52nd percentile
Powered by **Scopus**



Plagiarism Check
grammarly

Embase
It's Embase, Not Expanded
Embase, [Learn in 1 Min](#)

MOST READ

OCULAR DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEM: CHALLENGES AND APPROACHES
👁 1488

FORMULATION AND EVALUATION OF TRANSDERMAL PATCHES OF PANTOPRAZOLE SODIUM
👁 1086

OVERVIEW ON FLOATING DRUG

FORMULATION OF SELF-NANO EMULSIFYING SYSTEM (SNES) CONTAINING SNAKEHEAD FISH EXTRACT PROTEIN INCORPORATED INTO CORN OIL BY HYDROPHOBIC ION PAIRING METHOD

GUNAWAN SETIYADI, SUPRAPTO, ENDANG N. WIDIYANINGSIH, AMANDA A. SALSABILA, IZZATUL QUDSIYAH

39-42

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52491

MODIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF AMPROTAB WITH HYDROXYPROPYL METHYLCELLULOSE USING CITRIC ACID AS CROSSLINKING AGENT

SUPRAPTO SUPRAPTO, TEUKU NANDA SAIFULLAH SULAIMAN, ABDUL ROHMAN, AKHMAD KHARIS NUGROHO

43-53

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52487

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ANTIBACTERIAL, ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY AND TOTAL CONTENT OF PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS FROM A COMBINATION OF CLOVE AND CINNAMON ESSENTIAL OILS

META SAFITRI, NANIK SULISTYANI, IIS WAHYUNINGSIH, DIANA SYLVIA, ARINI APRILLIANI

54-59

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52486

THE EFFECT OF KNOCKING OUT OF PVDP GENE IN THE VIRULENCE OF PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA

JOKO P. WIBOWO, FRANK J. DEKKER, WIM J. QUAX

60-65

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52485

THE EFFECT OF A COMBINATION OF BLACK TEA WITH PEGAGAN LEAF TEA (CENTELLA ASIATICA) ON PH, TOTAL ACID, AND FLAVONOIDS

ENI PURWANI, ALIYA M. PUTRI, AAN SOFYAN

66-70

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52484

ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY AND IRRITATION TEST OF TOPICAL DOSAGE FORMS OF CLOVE LEAF ESSENTIAL OIL (SYZYGIIUM AROMATICUM) IN ANIMALS

IKA BUANA JANUARTI, FADZIL LATIFAH, RICKY FIRMANSYAH, AULYA D. PERTIWI, NIKEN AGUSTYA, HESTI WIDYAWATI

71-76

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52483

CHARACTERIZATION OF ISOLATED CRYSTALS FROM MANGROVE LEAVES (AVICENNIA MARINA AND SONNERATIA ALBA) AND THEIR ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY AGAINST STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS

LINA PERMATASARI, HANDA MULIASARI, FANIA RAHMAN

77-82

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52480

OPTIMIZATION ULTRASOUND-ASSISTED EXTRACTION USING CHOLINE CHLORIDE-BASED NATURAL DEEP EUTECTIC SOLVENT TO INCREASE PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS AND ANTIOXIDANTS FROM RHODOMYRTUS TOMENTOSA LEAVES

NINA D. OKTAVIYANTI, RYANTO BUDIONO, ENDANG W. FITRIANI, CHRISTINA AVANTI

83-90

[VIEW ABSTRACT](#) [PDF](#) [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

DELIVERY SYSTEM

[1033](#)

FORMULATION AND EVALUATION OF EFFERVESCENT GRANULES OF IBUPROFEN

[711](#)

EFFECT OF STERILIZATION BY HEATING IN THE PRESENCE OF BACTERICIDE AND BACTERIAL FILTERED MEMBRANE ON THE STABILITY OF EYE DROPS CONTAINING 0.5% CHLORAMPHENICOL AT VARIOUS pH

[624](#)

FORMULATION DEVELOPMENT AND MEASUREMENT OF ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY OF ELEUTHERINE AMERICANA MERR TEA CUBE

IKA A. MENTARI, INDAH HAIRUNISA, PAULA M. KUSTIAWAN, MOHD F. A. BAKAR, FAZLEEN I. A. BAKAR, EVA MARDIANA 91-94

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52461

KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE, AND PRACTICE TOWARD COVID-19: COMMUNITY-BASED CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY

TRI YULIANTI, HIDAYAH KARUNIAWATI, NURUL MUTMAINAH, LISTIANA MASYITA DEWI, AISYAH SHABRINA ADANI, TITIK SUSILOWATI 95-101

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52472

EVALUATING THE EFFECT OF MATOA LEAVES ETHANOL EXTRACTS (POMETIA PINNATA J. R. FORST AND G. FORST) ON PANCREATIC BETA-CELLS INSULIN RELEASE

MUHAMMAD LABIB QOTRUN NIAM1, SELLA APRILIA, ARIFAH SRI WAHYUNI, TISTA AYU FORTUNA, FAZLEEN IZZANI ABU BAKAR, ARINI FADHILAH 102-107

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52470

EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY OF USE OF BIGUANIDE AND SULFONYLUREA DRUGS WITH HISTOPATHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS AND PARAMETERS OF THE KIDNEY, LIVER AND PANCREAS IN ALLOXAN-INDUCED RATS

ARIFAH S. WAHYUNI, FIRDA AYU F. RAHMAWATI, DISKI W. WIJANTO, RETNO A. UMNIIYAH 108-113

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52469

THE EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTATION OF CAPSULE WITH A COMBINATION OF MOMORDICA CHARANTIA FRUIT AND MORINGA OLEIFERA LEAVES EXTRACT ON THE BIOMARKER OF LIVER AND RENAL FUNCTION IN THE LIMITED HEALTHY VOLUNTEERS

FAHRUN NUR ROSYID, HARYOTO, BETI KRISTINAWATI, AHMAD FADHLUR RAHMAN 114-117

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52468

SURFACE SOLID DISPERSION OF MORINGA OLEIFERA LEAF EXTRACT-MICROCRYSTALLINE CELLULOSE PH 102-POLOXAMER 188: PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION

KARINA C. RANI, ROISAH NAWATILA, ZULVIARA PD NATASYA, VERONIKA G. ANGELA, WINDA M WANTI, NIKMATUL IE JAYANI 118-126

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52466

ENHANCING CELL METABOLIC ACTIVITY USING MICROPARTICLES CONTAINING BEETROOT (BETA VULGARIS, LINN) EXTRACT

ANITA SUKMAWATI, SETYO NURWAINI, JIHAN NAUFA AZIMAH, ANISA JEVI ROMANDANI SAPUTRI 127-132

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52465

FORMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF EFFERVESCENT TABLET CONTAINING

 [VIEW ABSTRACT](#)  [PDF](#)  [DOWNLOAD PDF](#)

10.22159/ijap.2024v16s5.52464

Our Journals || Open Access Policy || Publication & Peer Review Policy || Publication Ethics


The publication is licensed under a **Creative Commons License (CC BY)**. [View Legal Code](#)


Copyright © 2024 All Rights Reserved, **Innovare Academic Sciences** | Powered By **CyberDairy**

International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics

COUNTRY

India

 Universities and research institutions in India

 Media Ranking in India

SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY

Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics
 Pharmaceutical Science
 Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics (miscellaneous)

PUBLISHER

Innovare Academics Sciences Pvt. Ltd

H-INDEX

25

PUBLICATION TYPE

Journals

ISSN


09757058

COVERAGE

2011-2023

SCOPE

Information not localized


 Join the conversation about this journal

 Quartiles


FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS 

options 

<p>1 International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and IND</p> <p>63% similarity</p>	<p>2 Current Drug Delivery ARE</p> <p>60% similarity</p>	<p>3 Assay and Drug Development Technologies USA</p> <p>58% similarity</p>	<p>4 Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology FRA</p> <p>56% similarity</p>	<p>5 Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology NLD</p> <p>56% similarity</p>
---	--	--	--	--



International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics

← Show this widget in your own website

Q3 Pharmaceutical Science best quartile

SJR 2023 0.19

powered by scimagojr.com

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com" style="display: inline-block; border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px 5px; font-size: 0.8em;">https://www.scimagojr.com
```

SCImago Graphica

Explore, visually communicate and make sense of data with our **new data visualization tool**.

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of March 2024

O Okta 6 months ago

Dear Editor,
 Is IJAP Q2 or Q3 in Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Pharmaceutics? in the list appear as Q3, but in the Scopus and the journal website it's categorized as Q2 since the last year (2023).

reply

Melanie Ortiz 6 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Okta,
 Thank you for contacting us.
 As you probably already know, our data come from Scopus, they annually send us an update of the data. This update is sent to us around April / May every year.
 The calculation of the indicators is performed with the copy of the Scopus database provided to us annually. Regarding your inquiry about the Quartile distribution process at SCImago, the journals are ranked and distributed in 4 equal groups based on their SJR value, unlike Scopus, who ranks the publications by percentiles based on the journal's CiteScore.
 The Quartile methodology, like others that are used to group results such as percentiles, can be applied to any indicator. Currently, Scopus offers information on the journals ranking and the percentile they occupy according to the CiteScore indicator (https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14880/supporthub/scopus/), which is perceived as an impact indicator, but that is different from the SJR, as the latter is also a normalized impact indicator (<https://www.scimagojr.com/files/SJR2.pdf>).

Both Scopus and SCImago Journal and Country Rank offer information on the SJR indicator for every journal, although the position of each of the publications and the quartile in which it is located according to the SJR can be consulted at <https://www.scimagojr.com>.

According to the above, the difference in the information consulted on the Scopus journal's profile and in Scimagojr.com lies in the fact that they represent the position of the journal based on two different indicators, which are not directly comparable because they measure two different dimensions: Impact (CiteScore) and Normalized Impact (SJR). Additionally, it is important to keep in mind that, although the quartiles in SJR tend to be distributed in 4 groups of equal size and that the journals appear sorted by the highest SJR to the lowest SJR, it is not always possible due to ties in SJR values and, therefore, journals with the same SJR must be distributed within the same quartile, which may lead to differences in the number of journals within that quartile.

Best Regards,
SCImago Team

A Azad Sadraddin 7 months ago

If the scientific journal has a Q2, how much does it compare to the IF?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 7 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Azad, thank you very much for your comment. SCImago Journal and Country Rank uses Scopus data, our impact indicator is the SJR (Check it above). We suggest you consult the Journal Citation Report for other indicators (like Impact Factor) with a Web of Science data source. Best Regards, SCImago Team

M Michelle 2 years ago

Dear SCImago Team,

Is this journal still indexed as Q3 since the coverage is only until 2022 and it's already 2023. Thankyou

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Michelle,
Thank you for contacting us. Our data come from Scopus, they annually send us an update of the data. This update is sent to us around April / May every year. The SJR for 2022 was released on 1st May 2023. Therefore, the indicators for 2023 will be available in May/June 2024.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

L Lana 2 years ago

Publisher this journal is difference with list from scimago. What is right?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Lana,
Thank you for contacting us. Could you please expand a little bit on your comment?
Best Regards, SCImago Team

N Neelam Pawar 3 years ago

Hi

reply



Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Neelam, welcome and thanks for your participation! Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **Nur Alam Abdullah** 3 years ago

Dear editorial team of the International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics, I would like to ask how long it will take us as authors to get confirmation of the rejection or acceptance of our manuscript. tx regards.

reply



Melanie Ortiz 3 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Nur,
Thank you for contacting us.
We are sorry to tell you that SCImago Journal & Country Rank is not a journal. SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Elsevier/Scopus.
We suggest you visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

S **sri laksemi** 4 years ago

Dear Schimago, Is International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics still in schimago journal rank? usually there is homepage of the journal in the site of the journal in Schimago, but why there is no homepage or how to publish in this journal site in schimago?

reply



Melanie Ortiz 4 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Sri,
Thank you for contacting us.
We inform you that all the information referring to the website of this Journal is not available in our website (you'll see "Information not localized") due to the fact that we could not verify that information with absolute reliability.
Best Regards,
SCImago TEAM
Dear Sir/Madam,
Thank you for contacting us.
We inform you that all the information referring to the website of this Journal is not available in our website (you'll see "Information not localized") due to the fact that we could not verify that information with absolute reliability.
Best Regards,
SCImago TEAM

B **Burhanuddin D Pasiga** 4 years ago

Dear

How much APC ?

reply

T **T S Saraswathi** 4 months ago

Dear Schimago, after publication in the International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics, how long it would take to reflect in the scopus page.



Melanie Ortiz 4 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Saraswathi,
Thank you very much for your comment. We suggest you contact the Scopus support team: https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/14883/kw/scimago/supporthub/scopus/
Best Regards, SCImago Team



Melanie Ortiz 4 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Burhanuddin,
thank you for contacting us.
Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff , so they could inform you more deeply.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

F **Faridah** 4 years ago

Dear sir

I would like to ask. Whether this journal accepts computational chemistry research and how much it costs for publication in this journal.

Thank you

Faridah

reply



Melanie Ortiz 4 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Faridah,

thank you for contacting us.

Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff, so they could inform you more deeply.

Best Regards, SCImago Team

J **Julaeha Julaeha** 5 years ago

Is this predatory journal? Or every journals in scimagojr database are guaranteed none predatory journal

reply



Melanie Ortiz 5 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Julaeha, SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Scopus. All the data have been provided By Scopus /Elsevier and SCImago doesn't have the authority over this data. For more information about predatory journals you can check the link below:

<https://beallslist.weebly.com/>.

Best regards, SCImago Team



BISWARANJAN PAITAL 6 years ago

Thanks Elena.

reply



Biswaranjan Paital 6 years ago

Hello there,

Is there any correlation exist between Scimago journal value with that of SCI impact factor provided by Claryvate Analytics.

Best

Dr. Paital

reply



Elena Corera 6 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Biswaranjan, They are two indicators that are calculated differently and with different databases and number of different indexed journals. There is a bibliography on the degree of correlation, which is high, but taking into account the three existing differences. Best Regards,
SCImago Team

D **Dr. Amer taqa** 6 years ago

Dear sir

Greetings

Have a nice day. Did your journal indexed in Scopus database and if it publish in medical field.

Waiting for your reply.

Best regards

reply



Elena Corera 6 years ago

SCImago Team

Dear Dr Amer, all the journals included in the SJR are indexed in Scopus. Elsevier / Scopus is our data provider. We suggest you look at the journal report to see which thematic fields are indexed. Best Regards, SCImago Team

Leave a comment

Name

Email

(will not be published)


Submit

The users of Scimago Journal & Country Rank have the possibility to dialogue through comments linked to a specific journal. The purpose is to have a forum in which general doubts about the processes of publication in the journal, experiences and other issues derived from the publication of papers are resolved. For topics on particular articles, maintain the dialogue through the usual channels with your editor.

Developed by:



Powered by:



Follow us on @ScimagoJR

Scimago Lab, Copyright 2007-2024. Data Source: Scopus®

EST MODUS IN REBUS
Horacio (Salvo) (11/10)

[Legal Notice](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)





Source details

International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutics

Years currently covered by Scopus: from 2011 to 2024

Publisher: Innovare Academics Sciences Pvt. Ltd

ISSN: 0975-7058

Subject area: Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics: Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics (miscellaneous)

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#)

CiteScore 2023

1.4



SJR 2023

0.192



SNIP 2023

0.352



[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

CiteScore 2023 ▼

$$1.4 = \frac{1,706 \text{ Citations } 2020 - 2023}{1,196 \text{ Documents } 2020 - 2023}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2024

CiteScoreTracker 2024 ⓘ

$$1.5 = \frac{1,754 \text{ Citations to date}}{1,162 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 05 November, 2024 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2023 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics	#21/43	52nd
Pharmacology, Toxicology and Pharmaceutics (miscellaneous)		

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)

[查看繁體中文版本](#)

[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

ELSEVIER

[Terms and conditions](#) [Privacy policy](#) [Cookies settings](#)

All content on this site: Copyright © 2024 Elsevier B.V. [↗](#), its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access content, the relevant licensing terms apply.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies [↗](#).

