

# Contemporary Terrorism in Indonesian Context

Hwian Christiano\*

## Abstract

The basic of terrorism is same but has changed, and it is called with the evolution of terrorism. Contemporary Terrorism shows the problem of view: identity and ultimate goals. Both of them (the views) cause an everlasting conflict – a new one free from value, as the important concept “what is done” and “proximate aims” is quite needed. This paradigm finally occurs the absolutely new approaching in handling contemporary terrorism through positive-moral approaching in Indonesia situation *gebundenheit* context.

**Keywords:** Contemporary Terrorism, new paradigm, evolution

## Introduction

Globalizing through any aspects makes an evil challenge. *Adagium* ‘*ubi societas ubi ius*’ seems followed by ‘*ubi societas ubi crimines*’. Even though it is not a completely new evil model, the terrorism shows its awful “teeth” because it has faced in territorial, ideological, or even in network scope. The development of various terrorism is not separated from paradigm development in today-philosophy study has been entering the postmodernism era. Walter Laqueur mentions “postmodern terrorism”<sup>1</sup> to show a fundamental change into terrorism paradigm and strategy. The change which is mentioned is not a change into terrorism model but more in the difference way of view and it affect to the terrorism strategy which has adapted with technology movement.

### A. The New Paradigm as New Threat

The discussion of terrorism paradigm to law philosophy in postmodernism era, can not be separated from moral question whether the terrorism is right to be done. Igor Primoratz helps to answer that question by proposing two philosophy questions: “first, to

---

\*Lecturer at Faculty of Law, University of Surabaya, Surabaya