A collaborative activity jointly organised by:



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INFORMATICS, TECHNOLOGY AND ENGINEERING 2017

24-25 AUGUST 2017

PROCEEDINGS BOOK

Sustainable Technology and Innovation: Opportunities and Challenges

Venue:

Discovery Kartika Plaza Hotel Jl. Kartika Plaza Kuta, Bali 80361 Phone +62 361 751 067 Fax. +62 361 754 585 www.discoverykartikaplaza.com

Publisher:

LPPM Universitas Surabaya Gedung Perpustakaan It. 4 Universitas Surabaya Jalan Raya Kalirungkut, Surabaya - Indonesia 60297 www.lppm.ubaya.ac.id

PREFACE VELCOME NOTE FROM INCITE 2017 ORGANIZING COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN



Rector of University of Surabaya: Prof. Dr. Joniarto Parung, Dean of Faculty of Engineering, University of Surabaya: Dr. Amelia Santoso, Honorary Keynote Speakers: Prof. Dr. Suksun Horpibulsuk, Prof. Dr. Nai-Wei Lo, Prof. Dr. Mats Rönnelid, and Prof. Dr. Willy Susilo, Fellow Participants, Distinguished Guests, Ladies and Gentlemen:

First of all, welcome to Bali, Indonesia, and welcome to the first International Conference on Informatics, Technology and Engineering (InCITE) 2017!

It is still vivid in my memory, one and a half year ago, when some colleagues and officials of our Faculty of Engineering discussed the possibility of organizing an international event, to substitute national seminars that some of our study programs held annually or bi-annually. The call for an international event is a necessity given 30 years of Faculty of Engineering's existence, and the dawn of University of Surabaya's Silver Anniversary next year. Such a level of maturity prompts us to contribute more to a larger scale. An international event will have greater exposure to international community, and consequently greater impact to us all.

The following process, however, was far from easy. We were inexperienced, but we were faithful to our mission. It took us some time until we were able to formulate the conference theme, found prominent scholars in the selected theme, and negotiated with them. We are very grateful that all four speakers whom we approached are here with us today, to deliver their insights on opportunities and challenges in sustainable technology and innovation. Let's give our big hands to them!

Sessions beyond those with our invited speakers will deliver four sub-themes, namely: *sustainable design & innovation, sustainable manufacturing & processes, sustainable energy & earth resources,* and *the role of IT in sustainable enterprise*. We are glad to inform you that our conference has attracted 67 papers from the first round of acceptance. After careful selection by a panel that consists of high-profile international reviewers around the world, we passed 50 papers. We are thankful to our international reviewers who worked very hard providing feedback to the submitted papers. We are indebted to such great service that they have given.

I sincerely hope that the exchange of knowledge throughout this event, be it from within the substance of academic papers or during the conference time, will enhance our professional network and benefit us in the long run. Thank you to all our speakers, reviewers, participants, and most of all my committee members who have been hand-in-hand with me in this long journey! You all have made our dream come true!

We hope you will have a wonderful conference and memorable stay in Bali thisweek. We are looking forward to seeing you again in the next two years!

Assoc. Prof. Eric Wibisono, Ph.D.

CONFERENCE ORGANIZER



The conference organisers would like to thank the following names who will serve as the:

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Assoc. Prof. Azharul Karim, Ph.D. (Queensland University of Technology, AUSTRALIA) Prof. Dinesh Kant Kumar, Ph.D. (Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology, AUSTRALIA) Prof. Willy Susilo, Ph.D. (University of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA) Assoc. Prof. Yassierli, Ph.D. (Institut Teknologi Bandung, INDONESIA) Prof. Ali Altway, Ph.D. (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, INDONESIA) Prof. Dr-Ing. I Made Londen Batan (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, INDONESIA) Assoc. Prof. Setiyo Gunawan, Ph.D. (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, INDONESIA) Prof. Renanto Handogo, Ph.D. (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, INDONESIA) Prof. Mauridhi Hery Purnomo, Ph.D. (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, INDONESIA) Prof. Nur Iriawan, Ph.D. (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, INDONESIA) Prof. I Nyoman Pujawan, Ph.D. (Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, INDONESIA) Asst. Prof. Budi Hartono, Ph.D. (Universitas Gadjah Mada, INDONESIA) Asst. Prof. Hanung Adi Nugroho, Ph.D. (Universitas Gadjah Mada, INDONESIA) Asst. Prof. Dr.rer.nat. Lanny Sapei (Universitas Surabaya, INDONESIA) Asst. Prof. Nemuel Daniel Pah, Ph.D. (Universitas Surabaya, INDONESIA) Prof. Joniarto Parung, Ph.D. (Universitas Surabaya, INDONESIA) Prof. Lieke Riadi, Ph.D. (Universitas Surabaya, INDONESIA) Prof. Katsuhiko Takahashi, Ph.D. (Hiroshima University, JAPAN) Asst. Prof. Dr.Eng. Wahyudiono (Nagoya University, JAPAN) Prof. Anton Satria Prabuwono, Ph.D. (King Abdulaziz University, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA) Assoc. Prof. Oki Muraza, Ph.D. (King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA) Assoc. Prof. Azizi Abdullah, Ph.D. (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA) Assoc. Prof. Siti Norul Huda Sheikh Abdullah, Ph.D. (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA) Assoc. Prof. Md. Jan Nordin, Ph.D. (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA) Assoc. Prof. Mohammad Faidzul Nasrudin, Ph.D. (Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, MALAYSIA) Assoc. Prof. Rosmadi Fauzi, Ph.D. (University of Malaya, MALAYSIA) Assoc. Prof. Md. Nasir Sulaiman, Ph.D. (Universiti Putra Malaysia, MALAYSIA) Prof. Ravindra S. Goonetilleke, Ph.D. (Hong Kong University of Science & Technology, PRC) Assoc. Prof. Tan Kay Chuan, Ph.D. (National University of Singapore, SINGAPORE) Asst. Prof. Aldy Gunawan, Ph.D. (Singapore Management University, SINGAPORE) Asst. Prof. Hendry Raharjo, Ph.D. (Chalmers University of Technology, SWEDEN) Assoc. Prof. Waree Kongprawechnon, Ph.D. (Sirindhorn International Institute of Technology, THAILAND) Asst. Prof. Itthisek Nilkhamhang, Ph.D. (Sirindhorn International Institute of Technology, THAILAND) Assoc. Prof. Vatanavongs Ratanavaraha, Ph.D. (Suranaree University of Technology, THAILAND) Assoc. Prof. Yupaporn Ruksakulpiwat, Ph.D. (Suranaree University of Technology, THAILAND) Assoc. Prof. Peerapong Uthansakul, Ph.D. (Suranaree University of Technology, THAILAND)

CONFERENCE ORGANIZER



STEERING COMMITTEE

| Chair | : | Assoc. Prof. Markus Hartono, Ph.D., CHFP |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---|
| Honorary Members | : | Prof. Suksun Horpibulsuk, Ph.D. Prof. Nai-Wei Lo, Ph.D. Prof. Mats Rönnelid, Ph.D. Prof. Willy Susilo, Ph.D. |
| Members | : | Assoc. Prof. Amelia Santoso, Ph.D. Asst. Prof. Djuwari, Ph.D. Mr. Agung Prayitno Assoc. Prof. Emma Savitri, Ph.D. Assoc. Prof. Budi Hartanto, Ph.D. Mr. Sunardi Tjandra Asst. Prof. Nemuel Daniel Pah, Ph.D. Assoc. Prof. Elieser Tarigan, Ph.D. Assoc. Prof. Elieser Tarigan, Ph.D. Assoc. Prof. Jaya Suteja, Ph.D. Asst. Prof. Dr.rer.nat. Lanny Sapei Prof. Joniarto Parung, Ph.D. Assoc. Prof. Hudiyo Firmanto, Ph.D. Assoc. Prof. Restu Kartiko Widi, Ph.D. |
| <u>ORGANIZING COMMITT</u> Chair | <u>ее</u> : | Assoc. Prof. Eric Wibisono, Ph.D. |

| Chair : Assoc. Prof. Eric Wib | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| : | Assoc. Prof. Rudy Agustriyanto, Ph.D. | | | |
| : | Ms. Dhiani Tresna Absari | | | |
| | Ms. Arum Soesanti | | | |
| : | Mr. Rahman Dwi Wahyudi | | | |
| | Ms. Yuana Elly Agustin | | | |
| | Ms. Akbarningrum Fatmawati | | | |
| Ms. Yenny Sari | | | | |
| | Mr. Njoto Benarkah | | | |
| | : | | | |



Table of Content

| Preface | i |
|--|--------------------|
| Conference Organizer | ii |
| Table Of Content | iv |
| A. Sustainable Design Innovation | |
| Loyalty Program for Local Tourism in Kediri Residency M Meisa, I Hapsari, M A Hadiyat | A-1 |
| Affecive Design Identification on Development of Batik Convect Product H Prastawa, R Purwaningsih | tion A-8 |
| Estimating Life Cycle Cost for a Product Family Design: Challenges T J Suteja, A Karim, P K D V Yarlagadda, C Yan | Гће А-14 |
| Reinterpretation of Pracimayasa interior in Pura Mangkunega Surakarta in Global Era <i>Sunarmi, Sudardi B, Sukerta P M, Pitana T S</i> | |
| An Integrative Fuzzy Kansei Engineering and Kano Model for Logi Service M Hartono, T K Chuan, D N Prayogo, A Santoso | |
| The Impact of Expatriates Directors on The Indonesian Compar Performance I M Ronyastra | · |
| Survival Analysis for Customer Satisfaction: A Case Study <i>M A Hadiyat, R D Wahyudi, Y Sari</i> | \-4 1 |
| Pattern Analysis of Frand Case in Taiwan, China and Indonesia A H Kusumo, C-F Chi, R S Dewi | \ -47 |
| Outdoor Altitude Stabilization of QuadRotor based on Type-2 Fu and Fuzzy PID <i>H Wicaksono, Y G Yusuf, C Kristanto, L Haryanto</i> | · |
| Investigating The Role of Fuzzy as Confirmatory Tool for Serv Quality Assessment (Case study: Comparison of Fuzzy Servqual a Servqual in Hotel Service Evaluation) <i>R D Wahyudi</i> | vice and |

B. Sustainable Manufacturing Processes

| Closed Loop Simulation of Decentralized Control using RGA for Uncertain Binary Distillation Column R Agustriyanto, J ZhangB-1 |
|--|
| An Efficiency Improvement in Warehouse Operation using Simulation Analysis N Samattapapong |
| A Simulation Method for Productivity Improvement Case study: Car Anti-Vibration Part Manufacturing Process N Samattapapong |
| A Service Queue Improvement by using Simulation Technique: Case Study in Suranaree University of Technology Hospital N Samattapapong |
| Modeling of The Minimum Variable Blank Holder Force Based on Forming Limit Diagram (FLD) in Deep Drawing Process S Candra, I M L Batan, W Berata, A S Pramono |
| Single-Tier City Logistics Model for Single Product <i>N I Saragih, S N Bahagia, Suprayogi, I Syabri</i> B-32 |
| Inventory Model Optimization for Supplier-Manufacturer-Retailer System with Rework and Waste Disposal <i>A R Dwicahyani, E Kholisoh, W A Jauhari, C N Rosyidi, P W Laksono</i> |
| A Periodic Review Integrated Inventory Model with Controllable Setup Cost, Imperfect Items, and Inspection Errors under Service Level Constraint <i>R S Saga, W A Jauhari, P W Laksono</i> B-46 |
| A Joint Economic Lot-Sizing Problem with Fuzzy Demand, Defective Items and Environmental Impacts W A Jauhari, P W Laksono |
| Development of Coordination System Model on Single-Supplier Multi- Buyer for Multi-Item Supply Chain with Probabilistic Demand <i>G Olivia, A Santoso, D N Prayogo</i> |
| Using Genetic Algorithm to Determine The Optimal Order Quantities for Multi-Item Multi-Period under Warehouse Capacity Constraints in Kitchenware Manufacturing |
| D Saraswati, D K Sari, V Johan B-66 |

| Evaluation and Improvement The Performance of The Production Floor to Increasing Production Result with Simulation Approach (Case Study PT.B) |
|---|
| R Fitriana, P Moengin, F N Ontario |
| Transition Guidance from ISO 9001:2008 to ISO 9001:2015 for an Organization to Upgrade Its Quality Management System to Become more Resilient and Sustainable Y Sari, E Wibisono, R D Wahyudi, Y Lio |
| ImprovingDeliveryRoutesUsingCombinedHeuristicandOptimization in a Consumer Goods Distribution CompanyE Wibisono, A Santoso, M A SunaryoB-88 |
| The Effect of Different Concentrations of Tween-20 Combined with Rice Husk Silica on the Stability of o/w Emulsion: A Kinetic Study L Sapei, I G Y H Sandy, I M K D Saputra, M RayB-96 |
| C. Sustainable Energy & Earth Resources |
| Effects of Glass Scraps Powder and Glass Fibre on MechanicalProperties of Polyester CompositesK Sonsakul, W Boongsood |
| Phenol Hydroxylation on Al-Fe modified-Bentonite:Effect of FeLoading, Temperature and Reaction TimeR K Widi, A Budhyantoro, A ChristiantoC-8 |
| Equilibrium Study for Ternary Mixtures of Biodiesel S Doungsri, T Sookkumnerd, A Wongkoblap and A Nuchitprasittichai C-15 |
| Galena and Association Mineral at Cidolog Area, Cidolog Distric, Sukabumi Regenct, West Java Province, Indonesia <i>H S Purwanto, Suharsono</i> |
| Identification, Measurement, and Assessment of Water Cycle of Unhusked Rice Agricultural Phases, case study at Tangerang paddy field, Indonesia |
| N Hartono, Laurence, H Putra J |
| Performance test of a grid-tied PV system to power a split air conditioner system in Surabaya |
| <i>E Tarigan</i> C-36 |
| Recycled asphalt pavement_fly ash geopolymer as a sustainable stabilized pavement material ^{*)} <i>S Hopibulsuk, M Hoy, P Witchayaphong, R Rachan, A Arulrajah</i> C-42 |

| Controlled-Release Fertilizer Based on Cellulose Encapsulation Savitri E, and AdiartoT |
|--|
| Bioethanol Production from Whey Yogurt by Kluyveromyces lactis YE Agustin, A Fatmawati, R Amalia |
| Hydrolysis of alkaline pretreated banana peel A Fatmawati, K Y Gunawan and F A Hadiwijaya C-64 |
| D. The Role of IT in Sustainable Enterprise |
| Food and Feeding Time Remainder System to Support the Fulfilment of Nutritional Standards for Infants <i>N Sevani, C M Budijanto</i> |
| Computer vision system for egg volume prediction using backpropagation neural network |
| J Siswantoro, M Y Hilman and M Widiasri |
| MobKas, Decision Tools for Purchasing Used Vehicle S Limanto and Andre D-13 |
| Enhancing government employees performance and behaviour using e- Kinerja |
| D Prasetyoand R Bisma D-19 |
| Development of Ubaya Tracer Study Website D T Absari, S Limanto, A Cynthia |
| Online Orchid Sales for Dimas Orchid, Trawas, Mojokerto Njoto Benarkah, Adrian Djitro, Yoan Nursari Simanjuntak, and Oeke Yunita D-33 |
| A Multi-hop Relay Path Selection Algorithm Considering Path Channel Quality and Coordinating with Bandwidth AllocationPath Path Vuan-Cheng Lai, Riyanto Jayadi, and Jing-Neng LaiD-39 |
| Leaf App: Leaf Recognition with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks Tri Luhur Indayanti Sugata, Chuan-Kai Yang |
| The Development of 3D Virtual Museum to Raise Indonesian Young People's Awareness of Endangered Animals in Indonesia <i>N M Angga, O Citrowinoto and Hariyanto</i> D-52 |





Development of coordination system model on single-supplier multi-buyer for multi-item supply chain with probabilistic demand

G Olivia¹, A Santoso², D N Prayogo²

¹Student of Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

²Lecturer of Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

E-mail: gloriaolivia13@gmail.com

Abstract - Nowadays, the level competition between supply chains is getting tighter and good coordination system between supply chains members is very crucial to solving the issue. Therefore, this paper will focus on a model development of coordination system between single supplier and buyers in a supply chain as asolution. Proposed optimization model designed to determine the optimal number of deliveriesfrom a supplier to buyers order to minimize the total cost over a planning horizon.Components of the totalsupply chain cost consist oftransportation costs, handling costs of supplier and buyers and also stock out costs. In the proposed optimization model, the suppliercan supply various types of items to retailers whichitem demand patternsare probabilistic. Sensitivity analysis of the proposed model was conducted to test the effect of changes in transport costs, handling costs and production capacities of the supplier. The results of the sensitivity analysis haveshown a significant influence on the changes in the transportation cost, handling costs and production capacity to the decisions of the optimal numbers of product deliveryfor each item to the buyers. **Keywords**: single supplier-multi buyer; coordination system; supply chain; multi-item; probabilistic demand

1. Introduction

Due to the increasing challenge of cost efficiency in order to enhance organization competitive edge in the global market, optimization in all aspects of organization functions has been the main concern of each organization. One way to overcome this challenge is by creating a coordination system between suppliers and buyers in a supply chain. Good coordination system between suppliers and buyers can support optimal decision making in an integrated supply chain. Chang and Chou [1] has developed an optimization model for the coordination system between single supplier and multi buyer to determine the optimal number of deliveries with the objective function to minimize the total supply chain cost. Buyer demand patterns considered in their model are deterministic and supplier might produce only single item.

In Chang and Chou [1] model, the coordination model had considered several important factors. However, there are some aspects that need to be taken into account in the coordination system model.





According Tersine [7], a deterministic demand patternis rarely found in real conditions. Therefore, this study develops an optimization model of the coordinatesystem with probabilistic demand patterns. In addition, the development of the proposed model is intended for a supplier that can produce and supply various types of product to buyers.

Furthermore, this paper will be divided into five section. Section 2 will discuss the literature review while Section 3 will describe the research method. The development as well as the discussion of the proposed coordination system model for a supplier and buyers with multi-item and probabilistic demand described in Section 4. Section 5 will describe the conclusions and further research.

2. Literature review

Several papers have discussed coordination system of supplier and buyer. Table 1. shows a comparison of the characteristics of the coordination system model of previous studies and this research.

| Table | Table 1. A comparison of the characteristics of coordination system models. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Characteristic | (Hejazi et al) [3] | (Zavanella and | (Chang and Chou) | (This research, | | | | | | | | |
| | | Zanoni) [8] | [1] | 2014) | | | | | | | | |
| Demand pattern | Deterministic | Deterministic | Deterministic | Probabilistic | | | | | | | | |
| Product variation | Single-item | Single-item | Single-item | Multi-item | | | | | | | | |
| Number of entity | Single supplier- | Single supplier- | Single supplier-multi | Single supplier-multi | | | | | | | | |
| | single buyer | multi buyer | buyer | buyer | | | | | | | | |
| Total | Purchasing cost, | Set-up cost, order | Set-up cost, | Transportation cost, | | | | | | | | |
| costcomponent | order cost, handling | cost, handling cost | transportation, order, | handling cost in | | | | | | | | |
| | cost in supplier and | in supplier and | handling in supplier | supplier and buyer, | | | | | | | | |
| | buyer | buyer | and buyer, receiving | shortage cost | | | | | | | | |

Hejazi et al [3], Zavanella and Zanoni [8] as well as Chang and Chou [1] developed amodel with deterministic demand data for single item supply chain. Hejazi et al [3] and Zavanella and Zanoni [8] using annual demand data, while Chang and Chou [1] using monthly demand data.

The proposed optimization model in this paper is coordination between single supplier that can produce and supply various types of item to buyers with probabilistic demand pattern. Component of thetotal cost that is considered in this paper are transportation cost, handling cost in supplier and buyer as well as stock out cost.

3. Research methodology

Model development in this paper will beconducted in two stages. The first stage is to create some adjustment from mathematical modeling from Chang and Chou [1]. The adjustment made in this stage is to remove ordering cost, receiving cost and set up cost from acomponent of total cost since these costs do not affect the decision in optimization model. While the second stage is to develop a proposed model with considering probabilistic demand pattern and multiple items. Completion of amathematical model in this paper is using Lingo 11.0. Model validation and sensitivity analysis also conducted for the proposed model in this paper.

4. Result and discussion

Some assumptions used in the initial model adjustment are: supplier only produce one type of product and demand data pattern is deterministic. Adjustments made for theinitial model are consist of elimination of ordering cost, order receiving cost and set-up cost from total cost components. In a coordinated replenishment system, the order process is done only once in the beginning of planning horizon. Ordering cost formulation according to Chang and Chou [1] is $\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_j$ and setup cost is *CxS* which arethere is no decision variables involved in that formulations. For oder receiving cost, Chang and Chou [1] formulate it as $\sum_{j} [V_j (\sum_i Q_{ij} X_{ij})]$. As long as demand data is same, total order receiving cost would be same in a year, and it will not influence the decision of optimization model.





Briefly, this initial model have objective function to minimize total cost. Initial model also ensure that there is no shortage allowed and delivery quantity will not exceed supplier's stock level.

This proposed model is developing amathematical modelfor coordination system between a supplier and buyers. The supplier can produce and supply various types of itemto multiple buyers who have probabilistic demand pattern. There is some assumption that used in this research, such as supplier's lead time is 0, means that the goods will be delivered immediately after supplier finish their production and the delivery can be done simultaneously for all kind of goods. Another assumption is abuyer willing to accept all the delivery quantity from thesupplier. Demand data in this research have normal distribution pattern and there is no limit on warehouse and shipping capacity.

Overall the proposed model can be described as follows: i = index of period; T = number of period; i = index of buyer; B = number of buyer; k= index of number of product type (item) P = number of product; = Total annual demand of item- k for buyer-j D_{ik} d_{ijk} = Demand of item-k in period-i for buyer-j = Fix transportation cost for buyer-*i* per trip F_i = Handling cost per unit of item-k for buyer-j h_{bik} = Handling cost per unit of item-k for supplier h_{sk} = Stock position item-k in supplier after production process finished in period-i Y_{ik} $(Y_{ik} - \sum_{i} Q_{ijk})$ = Final stock supplier for item-k in period-i = Stock position item-k inbuyer-j after receiving delivery in period-i Y_{iik} $(Y_{iik} - d_{iik})$ = Final stock buyer-j for item-k in period-i= Production quantity of item-k in period-i R_{ik} K = Production capacity = Optimal shipping quantity item-k in period-i for buyer-j Q_{ijk} = Binary variable x_{iik} $x_{iik} = 1$, if there is delivery of item-k in period-i for buyer-j $x_{iik} = 0$, otherwise = Binary variable Z_{ijk} $Z_{iik} = 1$, if there is stockout of item-k for buyer-j in period-i $Z_{iik} = 0$, otherwise N_{ii} = Binary decision $N_{ii} = 1$, if there is delivery activity from buyer-*j* in period-*i* $N_{ii} = 0$, otherwise Objective function

Min:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{P} h_{bk} \sum_{j=1}^{B} \sum_{i=i}^{T} (Y_{ijk} - d_{ijk}) (1 - Z_{ijk}) + \sum_{k=1}^{P} h_{sk} \sum_{i=1}^{T} (Y_{ik} - \sum_{i=1}^{T} Q_{ijk}) + \sum_{j=1}^{B} F_j \sum_{i=1}^{T} N_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^{B} \sum_{k=1}^{P} SO_{jk} \sum_{i=1}^{T} [(d_{ijk} - Y_{ijk})] Z_{ijk}$$

$$(1)$$

Constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{T} Q_{ijk} &= D_{jk}, \ i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P \\ Y_{ik} &= \left(Y_{(i-1)k} - \sum_{j=1}^{B} Q_{(i-1)jk}\right)\right) + R_{ik}, \quad i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P \\ x_{1jk} &= 1, j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P \\ Y_{ik} - \sum_{j=1}^{B} Q_{ijk} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P \\ Q_{ijk} &\leq x_{ijk} * M, \quad i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P \\ Y_{ik} &= \left(Y_{(i-1)ik} - d_{ijk}\right) + Q_{ijk}, \quad i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P \\ (4)$$

Extional Conference on Informatics, slogy and Engineering 2017



 $Y_{ijk} - d_{ijk} \leq (1 - Z_{ijk}) * M,$ $i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P$ (8)

$$d_{ijk} - Y_{ijk} \le Z_{ijk} * M, \qquad i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P$$
(9)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{P} x_{ijk} \le N_{ij} * M, \ i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{P} R_{ik} \le K, \ i = 1, \dots, T; k = 1, \dots, P$$
(10)
(11)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} R_{ik} \leq K, \ i = 1, ..., T; k = 1, ..., P$$

$$R_{ik} + \left(Y_{(i-1)k} - \sum_{j=1}^{B} Q_{(i-1)jk}\right) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{B} Q_{ijk}, \quad i = 1, \dots, T; j = 1, \dots, B; k = 1, \dots, P$$
(12)

The objective function in this model is total cost minimization which consists of transportation cost, handling cost in supplier and buyer, and also shortage cost, and can be seen from equation (1). Equation (2) states that total delivery item-k to buyer-i in all of the period should be same with total demand item-k for buyer-j. Supplier's inventory position before deliver the goods shown in equation (3), which is equal to sum theof initial supplier's stock of item-k in period-iand production quantity of item- k in period- i. Equation (4) ensure that there is delivery on period 1 for all buyer and all item. Equation (5) ensure that there are enough quantity of item-k in period-i for supplying item-k to all of the buyer. Binary variable x_{ijk} on equation (6) state whether there is delivery of item- k to buyer- j in period-*i* or not. $x_{iik} = 1$ means there is delivery of item-*k* for buyer-*j* in period-*i*, and otherwise. The amount of inventory position of item-k in buyer-i after receiving delivery in period-i is the sum of initial stock buyer-i for item-k and delivery quantity item-k for buyer-i in period-i which stated in equation (7). Equation (8) and (9) ensures that when $Z_{ijk} = 1$, it means that there is stock out of item-k for buyer-j in period-i, and otherwise. N_{ij} in equation (10) is a binary variable that states whether there is delivery for buyer-j in period-i or not. $N_{ij}=1$ when there is delivery for buyer-j in period-i and otherwise when N_{ii} =0. Equation (11) ensures that the production quantity does not exceed production capacity of supplier. Equation (12) ensures that sum of production quantity and initial stock of item-kin period-*i* should be able to meet delivery quantity of item-*k* to buyer-*j* in period-*i*.

Case study for proposed mathematical model is a consist of one supplier and five buyers that can be applied for consumer goods manufacturer. Supplier produces three items, item A, item B and item C with aproduction capacity of 4200 items /month. For acase study in this proposed model, demand data is probabilistic, and safety stock should be calculated. After calculating safety stock, total demand from each buyer can be determined by sum up the demand and safety stock for each buyer.

| d _{ijk} | Buyer 1 | | | | Buyer 2 | | | Buyer 3 | | | Buyer 4 | | | Buyer 5 | | |
|------------------|---------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|--|
| ujk - | А | В | С | А | В | С | А | В | С | Α | В | С | А | В | С | |
| 1 | 234 | 209 | 204 | 301 | 227 | 268 | 281 | 152 | 308 | 243 | 244 | 280 | 179 | 291 | 257 | |
| 2 | 207 | 143 | 196 | 257 | 227 | 227 | 223 | 229 | 269 | 231 | 191 | 254 | 203 | 286 | 252 | |
| 3 | 238 | 194 | 243 | 270 | 186 | 256 | 287 | 249 | 255 | 191 | 279 | 353 | 186 | 263 | 201 | |
| 4 | 198 | 216 | 293 | 248 | 161 | 284 | 152 | 253 | 211 | 173 | 241 | 311 | 255 | 263 | 198 | |
| 5 | 204 | 207 | 226 | 279 | 179 | 252 | 193 | 173 | 281 | 176 | 266 | 236 | 209 | 206 | 257 | |
| 6 | 164 | 215 | 235 | 293 | 206 | 270 | 159 | 210 | 213 | 165 | 193 | 276 | 199 | 244 | 203 | |
| 7 | 178 | 165 | 288 | 249 | 190 | 242 | 241 | 239 | 268 | 265 | 279 | 250 | 145 | 202 | 204 | |
| 8 | 267 | 195 | 266 | 208 | 234 | 294 | 298 | 256 | 231 | 160 | 253 | 241 | 217 | 233 | 259 | |
| 9 | 223 | 184 | 270 | 305 | 185 | 266 | 179 | 273 | 313 | 253 | 263 | 268 | 199 | 242 | 243 | |
| 10 | 220 | 200 | 260 | 251 | 192 | 271 | 210 | 214 | 353 | 230 | 274 | 261 | 207 | 273 | 267 | |
| 11 | 208 | 198 | 369 | 285 | 183 | 193 | 266 | 172 | 371 | 216 | 240 | 203 | 138 | 163 | 193 | |
| 12 | 202 | 184 | 162 | 339 | 156 | 341 | 200 | 208 | 271 | 278 | 234 | 181 | 229 | 305 | 208 | |
| Total | 2543 | 2310 | 3012 | 3285 | 2326 | 3164 | 2689 | 2628 | 3344 | 2581 | 2957 | 3114 | 2366 | 2971 | 2742 | |

Table 2. Demand buyer- *i* for item-*k*in period-*i*(unit)



Table 3. Handling cost in supplier and buyer for each items.

| Item | Buyer's handling cost | Supplier's handling Cost |
|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Item A | IDR 117 | IDR90 |
| Item B | IDR 157 | IDR 121 |
| Item C | IDR 196 | IDR 151 |

Table 4. Fix transportation cost.

| Buyer | Fix transportation cost |
|-------|-------------------------|
| | (IDR/trip) |
| 1. | 600.000 |
| 2. | 500.000 |
| 3. | 450.000 |
| 4. | 600.000 |
| 5. | 450.000 |
| | |

Table 5. Optimal delivery quantity for item-k for buyer-j in period-i.

| Q_{ijk} | | Buyer 1 | | | Buyer 2 | | | Buyer 3 | | | Buyer 4 | | | Buyer 5 | |
|-----------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|----------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|
| | А | В | С | А | В | С | А | В | С | А | В | С | А | В | С |
| 1 | 362 | 317 | 287 | 433 | 322 | 374 | 54 | 217 | 194 | 391 | 125 | 66 | 300 | 409 | 350 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 445 | 151 | 276 | 1036 | 1186 | 1106 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 279 | 280 | 320 | 400 | 332 | 370 | 219 | 222 | 263 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 978 | 382 |
| 4 | 270 | 221 | 418 | 550 | 326 | 569 | 353 | 212 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 546 | 0 | 457 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 81 | 60 | 1154 | 1646 | 1052 | 113 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 619 | 866 | 920 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 487 | 544 | 764 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1116 | 853 | 486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 700 | 791 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 617 | 372 | 150 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 424 | 516 | 763 |
| 9 | 981 | 568 | 1067 | 0 | 0 | 1085 | 264 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 890 | 574 | 368 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206 | 394 | 280 | 157 | 594 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 31 | 58 | 0 | 580 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 2543 | 2310 | 3012 | 3285 | 2326 | 3164 | 2689 | 2628 | 334 4 | 2581 | 2957 | 3114 | 2366 | 2971 | 2742 |

Table 6. Production quantity of item-k in period-i.

| | | _ | 2 | <u> </u> | | |
|----|----------------|----------|---------------|----------|--|--|
| | R _i | TOTAL | | | | |
| i | Item A | Item B | Item B Item C | | | |
| 1 | 1.539 | 1.390 | 1.270 | 4.200 | | |
| 2 | 1.481 | 1.337 | 1.382 | 4.200 | | |
| 3 | 1.053 | 1.811 | 1.335 | 4.200 | | |
| 4 | 1.719 | 759 | 1.722 | 4.200 | | |
| 5 | 1.360 | 1.727 | 1.112 | 4.200 | | |
| 6 | 1.106 | 1.411 | 1.683 | 4.200 | | |
| 7 | 1.370 | 1.553 | 1.277 | 4.200 | | |
| 8 | 1.040 | 888 | 2.272 | 4.200 | | |
| 9 | 1.246 | 803 | 2.151 | 4.200 | | |
| 10 | 574 | 368 | 890 | 1.832 | | |
| 11 | 363 | 988 | 280 | 1.631 | | |
| 12 | 612 | 157 | 0 | 769 | | |





The result of this proposed model is adecision for optimal shipping quantity using the scenario that has been defined. Optimal shipping quantity can be seen in Table 5., while production quantity is in Table. 6.

5. Conclusion

This study has developed amathematical model for coordination system between single supplier and multibuyer for multi item supply chain with probabilistic demand pattern. The objective function in this proposed model is to minimize total cost which consists of transportation cost, handling cost in supplier and buyers as well as stock outcost. Sensitivity analysis showed that the changing parameters have a significant influence on the value of decision variables and objective function. The transportation cost is inversely proportional to the total delivery frequency for one year, handling cost is directly proportional to the frequency of delivery within one year and production capacity is directly proportional to handling cost.

References

- [1] Chang C and Chou H 2013 A coordination system for seasonal demand problems in the supply chain *Applied Mathematical Modelling* vol **37** pp 3674-3686
- [2] Chopra S dan Meindl P 2013 Supply Chain Management:Strategy, Planning, and Operation Asia:Pearson Education Inc
- [3] Hejazi S R, Arkan A, & Rezvan T 2009 Coordination mechanism for two-level supply chain with one manufacturer and one buyer under credit period *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management* Vol 4(1) pp 39–48
- [4] Nahmias S 2009 Production and Operation Analysis New York: McGraw-Hill
- [5] Silver E A,Pyke D F, Peterson R 1985 *Decision System for Inventory Management and Production Planning* **Second** Edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.
- [6] Simchi-Levi, David, Kaminsky P, Simchi-Levi E 2008 Designing and Managing The Supply Chain (Concepts, strategies, and Case Studies) Third Edition, McGraw-Hill Irwin, New York
- [7] Tersine R J, 1994 *Principles of Inventory and Materials Management* New Jersey: PTR Prentice-Hall, Inc
- [8] Zavanella L and Zanoni S 2009 A one-vendor multi buyer integrated production-inventory model *Int. J. Production Economics* vol **118** pp 225-232