

DEDHY SULISTIAWAN

University of Surabaya, Indonesia

IS PRICE TO EARNINGS RATIO (STILL) USEFUL FOR TRADING STRATEGY?

Abstract:

Overreaction phenomena stimulate assets mispricing and return reversals. Investors should build a trading strategy to receive benefits from the anomaly. Developing the classic idea of overreaction hypothesis from DeBondt and Thaler (1985 and 1990), we build stock portfolios based on sentiment and risk to produce higher future stock return. Using Indonesian data, we use financial information from public information to test weak-form efficiency. We believe that investors are not always rational and other groups of investors can use public information to generate excess return. This article finds that lower PER tend to produce higher future return, especially if lower PER accompanied by lower risk. Practically, our study contributes to the use of fundamental analysis in emerging markets. Theoretically, this study supports the idea of behavioral finance theory and reject weak-form efficient market hypothesis in Indonesia Stock Exchange.

Keywords:

Price Earnings Ratio (PER), Price to Book Value (PBV), stock risk, future return, trading strategy

JEL Classification: G11, G14

1 Introduction

Overvaluation (undervaluation) leads to extreme future stock price changes. This phenomenon is well established in the literature. DeBondt and Thaler (1985) present the original idea of overreaction hypothesis, investors overreact to good (bad) information based on one of sentiment indicators, that is price earnings ratio (PER). We believe that it stimulates overvaluation (undervaluation). Campbell and Shiller (1998, 2001) and Weigand and Irons (2006) also give evidence about return reversal phenomenon. Analysts also contribute to overvaluation (undervaluation) (DeBondt and Thaler, 1990) that cause bigger gap of mispricing. Retail investors or noise traders who use analyst's information also suffer overreact bias. Higher (lower) PER tend to generate lower (higher) future stock return.

Developed markets produce more informative financial information. Then, PER should be used in investing decision. Previous studies (DeBondt and Thaler 1985 and 1990; Campbell and Shiller, 1998 and 2001; Weigand and Irons, 2006) use US data to present evidence about mispricing. This article adopts the topic by using Indonesian data, one of emerging stock markets. In Indonesia, the examination of the usefulness of PER in trading strategy is needed to generalize it. This stock markets produce lower market capitalization (Hartono and Sulistiawan, 2015), lower earnings informativeness (Landsman et al., 2012), and higher risk (Nwachukwu and Shitta, 2015). Those are different characteristics when comparing to US market. We expect to present the benefit of PER in generating return using developing market environment.

To develop research in this area, our study uses stock risk to enhance the effect of PER to future return. Indonesian market has higher standard deviation than many other countries in emerging markets (Nwachukwu and Shitta, 2015). That situation builds new chances to expand PER studies. We use risk to complement PER, because the usefulness of PER has been studied in developed countries that have a lower level of risk compared to developing countries. The use of risk as moderating variable is to create lower-risk portfolio in high-risk (emerging markets) environment.

Our results indicate that PER affects future return. After considering stock risk, we also find that low PER and low stock risk generates higher future return. It can be concluded that market is inefficient in the weak form. Current PER produce future return, especially firms with lower risk.

Our study presents practical and theoretical benefits. The first, it contributes to the use of fundamental analysis, especially the use of PER, in emerging markets. The second, it supports the idea of behavioral finance that investors tend to overreact, and other investors can use it to get benefits from return reversal phenomenon.

This article is presented in several sections. The first section discusses the importance of this article. The second, we develop hypotheses. Data and methodology are discussed in the third section. The fourth section presents results and discussion, and the final section concludes.

2. Hypothesis Development

There are three form of efficient market hypothesis. Strong efficient market hypothesis believes that stock prices are reflected by all information, either published or inside information. Semi-strong efficient market hypothesis states that public information will be absorbed by stock price when those are released in the market. The last form is weak-form efficient market. It discusses about how historical data is already reflected in stock price. This study focuses on the examination of weak-form efficiency.

The study of Fama and Blume (1966) that discuss weak-form of efficient market suggest that investors are not able to use historical data to generate extra return because stock price reflects historical data. In this case, theoretically, PER information as the representation of historical data has no prediction power to generate return because stock price is already adjusted after PER is released by a firm. When the markets are efficient, new information are used by market participants and it will be absorbed by stock price.

Our article uses behavioral finance theory to counter the idea of weak-form efficient market. The idea of producing return using PER is possible because human is not always rational. Higher (lower) PER leads to higher (lower) sentiment (Conrad et al., 2002). Overreaction to higher PER ultimately leads to overvaluation, and overvaluation will lead to the emergence of glamorous stock. Conversely, undervaluation will generate value stocks. So, informed investors can benefit by understanding other stock-trader behaviors. When most traders overreact to PER information, stock price is valued higher (lower) than it should be. After most of active traders realize that stock price is too high (low) they will reverse their position (DeBondt and Thaler, 1985). Reversal of position due to overvaluation will cause a decline in subsequent stock price and cause a negative return. The impact of this overvalued reversal is more severe than the price adjustment caused by undervaluation. This asymmetric response to good and bad news of published financial information is caused by investor psychology. This main idea is adopted by many popular investing books. For example, *The Intelligent Investors and Security Analysis* by Benjamin Graham. We expect that investors will receive benefits when building trading strategy based on PER.

Overvaluation (undervaluation) implicitly also represent lower earnings informativeness. When earnings is not informative, stock price movement is not based on earnings. Lower earnings that form positive stock return produce higher PER. Conversely, negative stock return of higher earnings firms generates lower PER. When firms in Indonesia presents lower earnings

informativeness (Landsman et al., 2012 and Fan and Wong, 2002) there are many under (over) value stocks. Outside investors can use historical data to obtain extra return. Their action will present the anomaly of weak-form efficiency and support the idea of behavioral finance by selecting cheaper stocks. Based on those thoughts, we present our first hypothesis.

H1: Lower (higher) PER produce higher (lower) future return.

Indonesian market produces higher stock risk (Nwachukwu and Shitta, 2015). Using naïve model, we assume that current risk represents future expected return. Higher risk represents higher expected return that will decrease fundamental value. Selecting only lower risk in high risk environment should improve the power of PER strategy. The combination of PER and stock risk portfolio will produce different future return.

Based on investor perspective, most of investors prefer lower-risk stocks because in normal condition or positive domain, investors tend to become risk-averse. Conversely, in negative domain or crisis condition, prospect theory suggests that investors become risk-taker and they will prefer higher-risk stocks. Market participants are the combination of both sides, risk-averse and risk-takers investors. Hence, risk is an important factor to determine future return.

H2: Stock risk affect the relation between PER and future return.

3 Data and methodology

The object of this research is all firms listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) in the period 2014 to 2017 and have complete data needed in the study. IDX is the representative of emerging stock markets. The overall data collected is 1,374 firm-years. We discard data with negative PER and negative PBV in order not to bias the results of the study, so that there are 1,056 firm years of research. We exclude the data with future return (proxied by Excess Return_{t+1}) that is above and below the average ± 3 standard deviation. Finally, we obtain 1,042 firm-years data as our object of study.

To test the hypothesis, we estimate the following regression:

$$ER_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PER_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 Leverage_{i,t-1} + \beta_3 Size_{i,t-1} + \beta_4 EPS_{i,t-1} + \beta_5 Risk_{i,t-1} + \beta_6 PER_{i,t-1} \times Risk_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

Where:

ER	=	Excess Return ($R_i - R_m$)
PER	=	Price to earnings ratio
Leverage	=	Debt to total asset
Size	=	Natural logarithm of total asset
EPS	=	Earnings per share
Risk	=	Standard deviation of weekly return
PERxRISK	=	Interacting variable between PER and Risk. PER (RISK) Low =1 and PER (RISK) High = 0
ε	=	Error
T	=	Current period
α, β	=	Coefficient of regression

H1 is supported when $\beta_{1<0}$. H2 is examined using β_6 coefficient. We expect that β_6 is different from zero.

4 Result and Discussion

Table 1 exhibits descriptive statistics of the variables in this study.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
$ER_{i,t}$	-1.1531	2.5589	-0.0573	0.4986
$PER_{i,t-1}$	0.5836	4222.1759	74.2611	318.8506
$Risk_{i,t-1}$	0.0000	1.4271	0.0607	0.0620
$Leverage_{i,t-1}$	0.0003	0.9479	0.4924	0.2283
$Size_{i,t-1}$	24.5683	34.5768	28.8879	1.7627
$EPS_{i,t-1}$	0.0435	17621.3787	205.4363	811.7418

Table 1 shows that $ER_{i,t}$ as the proxy of future returns has a negative means. This condition indicates that negative future returns dominate the data we use during the research period. There is a very large gap between the minimum and maximum value of $PER_{i,t-1}$, indicating a very large gap between undervalued and overvalued stock. This very large gap is also depicted in $EPS_{i,t-1}$. Hypothesis test results are shown in table 2.

Table 2. Regression analysis

Independent Variable	Model 1		Model 2	
	Coeff.	t-value	Coeff.	t-value
Constant	0.279	1.048	0.266	1.000
$PER_{i,t-1}$	<0.001	-2.296**	<0.001	-2.071**
$Leverage_{i,t-1}$	0.214	2.943***	0.209	2.882***
$Size_{i,t-1}$	-0.014	-1.521	-0.015	-1.558
$EPS_{i,t-1}$	<-0.001	-1.711*	<-0.001	-1.917*
$Risk_{i,t-1}$	-0.137	-0.510	-0.005	-0.017
PERxRISK			0.072	1.925*
F-test	3.9672***		3.9324***	
Adj R ²	0.014		0.017	

Dependent variable: $ER_{i,t}$ (excess return firm i in period t)

PERxRISK is an interacting variable between PER and Risk. (PER (RISK) Low = 1 PER (RISK) High = 0)

Low PER (RISK) = PER (RISK) lower than and equal to median. High PER (RISK) = PER (RISK) higher than Median

*, **, *** significant at 10%, 5% and 1% respectively (two-tailed test)

Table 2 shows that PER has a significant negative effect on future returns. This finding shows that undervalued stocks (low PER) have a higher return in the future. This result is applies for both Model (Model 1 and Model 2). So, H₁ is supported.

Based on the result in Table 2, we see that risk cannot explain future return. However, if PER and Risk are interacted, it can be seen that risk affect the relation between PER and future return. This finding supports for H₂.

In both model, we see that Leverage has positive impact to future return. This result is in accordance with the risk premium hypothesis, that higher leverage is associated with higher rates of return (Hurdle, 1974). Current EPS has a negative effect on future returns. This may occur due to the future return of the object of this research, many of which are negative.

To improve the discussion, we also conduct an independent sample t-test of future return for stocks based on low PER (group A) and high PER (group B). The result is shown in Table 3. The difference in future returns from the two groups is significant with higher future return for groups of low PER stocks (Group A).

Table 3. Test of differences: Future return based on Low PER and High PER Group

Group	Mean $ER_{i,t}$	T	Sig (2-tailed)
Group A (Low PER)	-0.02508	2.091	0.037**
Group B (High PER)	-0.08956		

$ER_{i,t}$ = excess return firm i in period t

*, **, *** significant at 10%, 5% and 1% respectively

Additional Analysis

Return is inseparable from the accompanying risk. Extending the analysis, in this study we also add risk as one of the important factors in explaining future returns. In this additional analysis, we divide the stock group based on risk (high = 0 and low = 1) and PER (high = 0 and low = 1). Table 4 shows the independent t-test among those groups.

Table 4. Test of differences in future return for Low PER and High PER Group

Group	Mean $ER_{i,t}$	T	Sig (2-tailed)
Group 1	-0.087463	0.090	0.9276
Group 2	-0.091335		
Group 1	-0.087463	-0.7752	0.4386
Group 3	-0.050686		
Group 1	-0.087463	-1.9898	0.0472**
Group 4	0.003759		
Group 2	-0.091335	-0.9718	0.3316
Group 3	-0.050686		
Group 2	-0.091335	-2.3771	0.0178**
Group 4	0.003759		
Group 3	-0.050686	1.2057	0.2285
Group 4	0.003759		

$ER_{i,t}$ = excess return firm i in period t

*, **, *** significant at 10%, 5% and 1% respectively

Group 1 refers to stocks with High PER and High Risk. Group 2 refers to stocks with High PER and Low Risk. Group 3 refers to stocks with Low PER and High Risk. Group 4 refers to stocks with Low PER and Low Risk.

Low PER (RISK) = stock with PER (RISK) below the median

High PER (RISK) = stock with PER (RISK) above the median

Table 4 shows that future return ($ER_{i,t}$) of Group 4 is positive. It is superior to other groups although, statistically, there is no difference between Group 3 and 4. Independent sample t-test shows that Group 4's $ER_{i,t}$ is significantly different from Group 1 and Group 2. This result supports the previous test which shows that comparing to stocks with high PER, stock with low PER can produce higher future returns.

Our findings provide evidence that PER is still useful implemented in Indonesia Stock Exchange. Investors still receive benefits from historical data. These results reject weak-form efficient market hypothesis and support behavioral finance theory. Investors are not fully rational, and they can use public information to produce excess return. Overreaction (underreaction) produce glamour (value) stocks that generate better investing performance. At present there is still mispricing caused by sentiment. We believe that the understanding of behavioral finance is important for investors.

5 Conclusion

Researches on stock valuation is mostly done to build successful trading strategies. PER is one of popular tools for valuing stocks and predicting future returns. This study develops the idea of DeBondt and Thaler (1985) regarding the overreaction hypothesis. The market experiences overreaction, so certain stocks become more overvalued (undervalued). But the market participants slowly realize the overreaction phenomenon and bring prices back to their underlying value. Therefore, stocks that are currently overvalued (high PER), in the future, the market believe reverses so that the price for this kind of stocks will decrease, and therefore will produce a lower future return. On the contrary, stocks that are currently undervalued (low PER), investors adjust the mispricing so that in the future undervalued stocks tend to produce higher future return.

Risk is inseparable from return. In this study we also examine risk as one of the explanatory factors for future returns. Low PER (value) stocks generate a higher future return compared to a high PER (glamour) stocks, especially if the low PER stocks also have a low risk.

This study provides a theoretical contribution to the development of behavioral finance by realizing that market participants are not fully rational. They experience overreaction as stated in DeBondt and Thaler (1985 and 1990). Practically, investors can exploit this overreaction to obtain a higher future return by conducting a fundamental analysis through PER and risk.

References

BHARGAVA, V. and MALHOTRA, D. K. (2006). Do Price-Earnings Ratios Drive Stock Values?. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*. 2006, Vol. 33, No. 1, s. 86-92.

- CAMPBELL, J. Y. and SHILLER, R. J. (1998). Valuation Ratios and the Long-Run Stock Market Outlook. *The Journal of Portfolio Management*. 1998, Vol. 24, s. 11-26.
- (2001). Valuation Ratios and the Long-Run Stock Market Outlook: An Update. *Cowles Foundation Discussion Paper No. 1295*, Yale University, March 2001.
- CONRAD, J., CORNELL, B. and LANDSMAN, W. R. (2002). When Is Bad News Really Bad News?. *The Journal of Finance*. 2002, Vol. 57, No. 6, s. 2507-2532.
- DE BONDT, W. F. and THALER, R. (1985). Does the Stock Market Overreact?. *The Journal of Finance*. 1985, Vol. 40, No. 3, s. 793-805.
- DE BONDT, W. F. and THALER, R. H. (1990). Do security analysts overreact?.
- FAMA, E. F. and BLUME, M. E. (1966). Filter Rules And Stock-Market Trading. *The Journal of Business*. 1966, Vol. 39, No. 1, s. 226-241.
- FAN, J. P. and WONG, T. J. (2002). Corporate Ownership Structure and the Informativeness of Accounting Earnings in East Asia. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 2002, Vol. 33, No 3, s. 401-425.
- HARTONO, J. and SULISTIAWAN, D. (2015). Performance of Technical Analysis in Declining Global Markets. *Global Journal of Business Research*. 2015, Vol. 9, No. 2, s. 41-52.
- HURDLE, G. J. (1974). Leverage, Risk, Market Structure and Profitability. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*. 1974, Vol. 56, No. 4 (Nov. 1974), s. 478-485.
- LANDSMAN, W. R., MAYDEW, E. L. and THORNOCK, J. R. (2012). The Information Content of Annual Earnings Announcements and Mandatory Adoption of IFRS. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*. 2012, Vol. 53, No. 1-2, s. 34-54.
- NWACHUKWU, J. C. and SHITTA, O. (2015). Testing the Weak-Form Efficiency of Stock Markets: A Comparative Study of Emerging and Industrialised Economies. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*. 2015, Vol. 10, No. 3, s. 409-426.
- WEIGAND, R. A. and IRONS, R. (2007). The Market P/E Ratio, Earnings Trends, and Stock Return Forecasts. *Journal of Portfolio Management*. 2007, Vol. 33, No. 4, s. 87-101.



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES

(/)

[Home \(/\)](#) / [Proceedings \(/proceedings\)](#)



/ [Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris - Front Page](#)

Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris

[Front Page \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/front-page\)](#)

[Foreword \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/foreword\)](#)

[Indexing \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/indexing\)](#)

[Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement \(/proceedings/10th-business-](#)

10 - 13 September 2019

ISBN 978-80-87927-88-5

ISSN 2570-6543

Published by

International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences

Address: Trebesovska 14, Prague, 193 00, Czech Republic

Website: [www.iises.net \(/\)](http://www.iises.net)

and

International Society for Academic Studies, z. s.

Address: Kamerunska 607/1, Prague, 160 00, Czech Republic

Publication Type: E-book

Published in: Prague, Czech Republic

Date of publication: 13th October 2019

Edited by: Dr. Klara Cermakova, Dr. Jana Holmanova

[management-conference-paris/editorial-ethics](#))

[Review process \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/review-process\)](#)

[Table of Content \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content\)](#)

Copyright © 2019

Copyright holder:
International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences

All papers have been peer-reviewed

The authors of individual papers are responsible for technical, content, and linguistic correctness.

Indexed in:

 RePEc





Conference Chair:

Professor Robert Holman, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic

Program Committee:

Professor Jan Cadil, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic

Dr. Klara Cermakova, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic

Professor Bozena Kaderabkova, Czech Technical University, Prague, Czech Republic

Dr. Gregory Kivenzor, University of Connecticut, USA

Professor Pedro Moreira, Institute for Tourism Studies, Macau SAR, China

Professor Tomas Pavelka, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic

Professor Jesus Otoniel Sosa Ridrigues, University of Colima, Mexico

Dr. Miroslav Sevcik, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic

Dr. Anca Tamas, Bucharest University of Economics Studies, Romania

Reviewers:

Rafal Balina, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Poland

Dr. M. Angeles Caraballo, University of Seville, Spain

Dr. Klara Cermakova, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic

Dr. Atul Dar, Saint Mary's University, Canada

Professor Li Ding, Institute Paul Bocuse, Lyon, France

Dr. Gloria Gheno, Free university of Bozen-Bolzano, Italy

Professor Aaro Hazak, Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia

Dr. Sonya Huffman, Iowa State University, USA
Dr. Fararishah Abdul Khalid, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka, Malaysia
Marta Kadlubek, Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland
Evert Knoesen, Rhodes University, South Africa
Professor Nina Ponikvar, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Professor Kishor Sharma, Charles Sturt University, Australia
Dr. Ephrem Redda, North-West University, Vaal, South Africa
Dr. Miroslav Sipikal, University of Economics in Bratislava, Slovakia
Dr. Petr Specian, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic
Dr. Anca Tamas, Bucharest University of Economics Studies, Romania

International Advisory Committee:

Dr. Mary-Paz Arrieta-Paredes, University of Greenwich Business School, London, United Kingdom
Professor Zeki Ayag, Kadir Has University, Turkey
Professor Larisa Belinskaja, Vilnius University, Lithuania
Dr. M. Angeles Caraballo, University of Seville, Spain
Dr. Atul Dar, Saint Mary's University, Canada
Professor Aaro Hazak, Tallinn University of Technology, Estonia
Dr. Sonya Huffman, Iowa State University, USA
Professor Bozena Kaderabkova, University of Economics, Prague, Czech Republic
Professor Judit Kapas, University of Debrecen, Hungary
Professor Young-Han Kim, Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea
Professor Nina Ponikvar, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Professor Kishor Sharma, Charles Sturt University, Australia
Dr. Shekar Shetty, Gulf University for Science & Technology, Kuwait
Dr. Miroslav Sipikal, University of Economics in Bratislava, Slovakia
Professor Sami Taban, Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Turkey
Professor Milik Tichy, Czech Technical University, Czech Republic
Professor Davide Vannoni, University of Torino, Italy
Professor Darryl G. Waldron, Trinity University, USA
Professor Ahmad Erani Yustika, Supervisory Board of Bank Indonesia, Indonesia



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES

(/)

[Home \(/\)](#) / [Proceedings \(/proceedings\)](#)



/ [Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris - Foreword](#)

Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris

[Front Page \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/front-page\)](#)

[Foreword \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/foreword\)](#)

[Indexing \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/indexing\)](#)

[Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement \(/proceedings/10th-business-](#)

Dear Authors, Esteemed Readers,

It is with deep satisfaction that I write this Foreword to the **Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference** held in **Paris** on September 10 - 13, 2019.

The conference particularly encouraged the interaction of research students and developing academics with the more established academic community in an informal setting to present and to discuss new and current work. Their contributions helped to make the conference as outstanding as it has been.

In addition to the contributed papers, **Professor Edwin Theron, University of Stellenbosch, South Africa**, delivered the keynote speech ***"When Service Recovery Goes Wrong – The Harmful Effect on Trust"***.

These Proceedings will furnish the scientists of the world with an excellent reference book. I trust also that this will be an impetus to stimulate further study and research in all these areas.

We thank all authors and participants for their contributions.

Professor Robert Holman
Conference Chair

[management-conference-paris/editorial-ethics](#))

[Review process \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/review-process\)](#)

[Table of Content \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content\)](#)

Copyright © 2020 The International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences,
www.iises.net (<http://www.iises.net>)

Development by intercore solutions s.r.o.
(<http://www.intercore.cz/>)



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES

(/)

[Home \(/\)](#) / [Proceedings \(/proceedings\)](#)



/ [Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris - Indexing](#)

Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris

[Front Page \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/front-page\)](#)

[Foreword \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/foreword\)](#)

[Indexing \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/indexing\)](#)

[Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement \(/proceedings/10th-business-](#)

The proceedings are indexed in:

- RePEc
- Google Scholar
- Research Bible
- Research Gate

[management-conference-paris/editorial-ethics](#))

[Review process \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/review-process\)](#)

[Table of Content \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content\)](#)

Copyright © 2020 The International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences,
www.iises.net (<http://www.iises.net>)

Development by intercore solutions s.r.o.
(<http://www.intercore.cz/>)



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES

(/)

Home (/) / Proceedings (/proceedings)



/ Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris - Editorial Ethics

Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris

Front Page (/proceedings
/10th-business-
management-conference-
paris/front-page)

Foreword (/proceedings
/10th-business-
management-conference-
paris/foreword)

Indexing (/proceedings
/10th-business-
management-conference-
paris/indexing)

Publication Ethics &
Publication Malpractice
Statement (/proceedings
/10th-business-

IISES Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement

These guidelines are fully consistent with the COPE Principles of Transparency and Best Practice Guidelines and the COPE Code of Conduct. More details can be found here: <https://publicationethics.org>

We encourage the best standards of publication ethics and take all possible measures against publication malpractices. The International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences as a publisher takes its duties of guardianship over all stages of publishing extremely seriously and we recognize our ethical and other responsibilities.

Duties and responsibilities of editors

In addition to many general duties, such as constantly improving the quality and integrity of the journal, striving to needs of authors and readers, encouraging academic debate, and others, the editors accept the obligation to apply best will and practice to cope with the following responsibilities:

Editorial Board

Editorial board will be generated from recognized experts in the field. The editor will provide full names and affiliations of the members as well as updated contact information for the editorial office on the journal webpage.

management-conference-paris/editorial-ethics)

Review process
(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/review-process)

Table of Content
(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content)

Publication decisions

The editor should be responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The validation of the work in question and its importance to researchers and readers must always drive such decisions. The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal's editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements, copyright infringement, and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Peer review process

All of a journal's content should be subjected to peer-review. Articles submitted for possible publication are subjected to a double-blind, peer review process. Articles are first reviewed by editors. The editor may reject it out of hand either because it is not dealing with the subject matter for that journal or because it is manifestly of a low quality so that it cannot be considered at all. Articles that are found suitable for review are then sent to two experts in the field of the paper. Referees of a paper are unknown to each other. Referees are asked to classify the paper as publishable immediately, publishable with amendments and improvements, or not publishable. Referees' evaluations usually include an explicit recommendation of what to do with the manuscript. Referees' comments are then seen by the author.

Editors should be ready to justify any important deviation from the described process. Editors should not reverse decisions on publication unless serious problems are identified.

Editors should publish guidance to either authors and reviewers on everything that is expected of them. This guidance should be regularly updated and will refer or link this code.

Fair play

The editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors. Editors' decision to accept or reject a paper for publication should be based only on the paper's importance, originality and clarity, and the study's relevance to the aim of a journal.

Digital Archiving

The editor will ensure digital preservation of access to the journal content by the Czech National Library within its WebArchive.

Confidentiality

Editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher. Editors will ensure that material submitted remains confidential while under review.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Editors should recuse themselves (i.e. should ask a co-editor, associate editor or another member of the editorial board instead to review and consider) from

considering manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers. Editors should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication.

Procedures for dealing with unethical behaviour Unethical behavior may be identified and brought to the attention of the editor and publisher at any time, by anyone. Whoever informs the editor or publisher of such conduct should provide sufficient information and evidence in order for an investigation to be initiated. All allegations should be taken seriously and treated in the same way, until a successful decision or conclusion is reached. Every reported act of unethical publishing behavior must be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication.

The editor should take reasonably responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper, in conjunction with the publisher. Such measures will generally include contacting the author of the manuscript or paper and giving due consideration of the respective complaint or claims made but may also include further communications to the relevant institutions and research bodies, depending on the misconduct seriousness.

Minor misconduct might be dealt with without the need to consult more widely. In any event, the author should be given the opportunity to respond to any allegations.

Serious misconduct might require the application of one or more following measures:

- Informing or educating the author or reviewer where there appears to be a misunderstanding or misapplication of acceptable standards.
- Publication of a formal notice detailing the misconduct.
- A formal letter to the head of the author's or reviewer's department or funding agency.
- Formal retraction or withdrawal of a publication from the journal, in conjunction with informing the head of the author or reviewer's department
- Imposition of a formal embargo on contributions from an individual for a defined period.

Duties and responsibilities of authors

Publication and Submission fee

No fees or charges are required from authors for manuscript processing. Authors pay neither submission nor publication fee beyond eventual conference registration fee. Full information about fees must be clearly stated on the journal's website before authors begin preparing their manuscript for submission.

Open Access Policy

The journal is freely available online. Authors are required to agree with this open access policy which enables unrestricted access and reuse of all published articles. The articles are published under the Creative Commons copyright license policy CC-BY. Users are allowed to copy and redistribute the material in printed or electronic format and build upon the material, without further permission or fees being required, provided that appropriate credit is given.

Reporting standards

Authors of papers should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable. Review and professional publication articles should also be accurate and objective, and editorial „opinion“ works should be clearly identified as such.

Data access and retention

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data (consistent with the ALPSP-STM Statement on Data and Databases), if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Originality and plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Plagiarism takes many forms, from „passing off“ another’s paper as the author’s own paper, to copying or paraphrasing substantial parts of another’s paper (without attribution), to claiming results from research conducted by others. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable.

Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication

An author should not, in general, publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior. In general, an author should not submit for consideration in another journal a previously published paper.

The copyright remains with the authors (CC-BY), thus they can decide about eventual republication of their text. The primary reference must be cited in the secondary publication.

Acknowledgment of sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Information obtained privately, as in conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, must not be used or reported without explicit, written permission from the source. Information obtained in the course of confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, must not be used without the explicit written permission of the author of the work involved in these services.

Authorship of the paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors. The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and conflicts of interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or another substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed. Examples of potential conflicts of interest which should be disclosed include employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest stage possible. Readers should be informed about who has funded research and on the role of the funders in the research.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper. If the editor or the publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error, it is the obligation of the author to promptly retract or correct the paper or provide evidence to the editor of the correctness of the original paper.

Duties and responsibilities of reviewers

Contribution to editorial decisions

Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper. Peer review is an essential component of formal scholarly communication. Authors who wish to contribute to publications have an obligation to do a fair share of reviewing.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

Standards of objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgment of sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and conflict of interest

Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer's own research

without the written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Copyright © 2020 The International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences,
www.iises.net (<http://www.iises.net>)

Development by intercore solutions s.r.o.
(<http://www.intercore.cz/>)



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES

(/)

[Home \(/\)](#) / [Proceedings \(/proceedings\)](#) / [Review process](#)

Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris



[Front Page \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/front-page\)](#)

[Foreword \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/foreword\)](#)

[Indexing \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/indexing\)](#)

[Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/editorial-ethics\)](#)

[Review process \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-](#)

Review Process

Papers submitted for possible presentation at a conference and for publication in the conference proceedings are subjected to a double-blind, peer review process.

Papers are first reviewed by the conference chair. The conference chair may reject it out of hand either because it is not dealing with the subject matter for that conference or because it is manifestly of a low quality so that it cannot be considered at all. Papers that are found suitable are then sent to two experts in the field of the paper. Referees of a paper are unknown to each other.

Referees are asked to classify the paper as acceptable for presentation and publication, acceptable with amendments and improvements, or not acceptable.

Referees each return a peer-review of the paper to the conference chair. The role of the referees is advisory. The review process is usually completed within two weeks. Authors of the accepted papers then receive an acceptance letter.

[conference-paris/review-process\)](#)

[Table of Content \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content\)](#)

Copyright © 2020 The International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences,
www.iises.net (<http://www.iises.net>)

Development by intercore solutions s.r.o.
(<http://www.intercore.cz/>)



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES

(/)

Home (/) / Proceedings (/proceedings)



/ Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris - Table of Content

Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris

	Authors	Title	Pages
Front Page (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/front-page)	AMOYEA ATOGENZOYA CHARLES , COMACCHIO ANNA	NATURE AND MANAGEMENT OF SOCIAL-BUSINESS TENSIONS: A STUDY OF MICRO AND SMALL SOCIAL ENTERPRISES IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=nature-and-management-of-social-business-tensions-a-study-of-micro-and-small-social-enterprises-in-developing-countries--12069)	1 - 38
Foreword (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/foreword)			
Indexing (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/indexing)	CSIKÓSOVÁ ADRIANA , ČULKOVÁ KATARÍNA , JANOŠKOVÁ MÁRIA	CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR IN THE TOURISM MARKET TYPOLOGY (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=consumer-behaviour-in-the-tourism-market-typology)	39 - 48
Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement (/proceedings/10th-business-	ČULKOVÁ KATARÍNA , JANOŠKOVÁ MÁRIA , CSIKÓSOVÁ ADRIANA	PREDICTION OF TOURISM IN THE SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF CARPATIAN REGION (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=prediction-of-tourism-in-the-sustainable-development-of-carpatian-region-12326)	49 - 59

management-conference-paris/editorial-ethics)	Authors	Title	Pages
Review process (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/review-process)	JOHN NYIDE CELANI	A QUALITATIVE INVESTIGATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING PRACTICES FOR THE OPTIMISATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN HOTEL SECTOR (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=a-qualitative-investigation-of-the-environmental-management-accounting-practices-for-the-optimisation-of-environmental-costs-in-the-south-african-hotel-sector)	60 - 72
Table of Content (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content)	KALYGINA VALENTINA , CHERNYSHEVA ANNA	GLOCALIZATION STRATEGY OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMPANIES IN THE RUSSIAN FMCG MARKET UNDER THE IMPORT SUBSTITUTION POLICY (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=glocalization-strategy-of-the-international-companies-in-the-russian-fmcg-market-under-the-import-substitution-policy)	73 - 89
	PERERA CHARITHA HARSHANI , NAYAK RAJKISHORE , VAN NGUYEN LONG THANG	ROLE OF SOCIAL WORD-OF-MOUTH ON EMOTIONAL BRAND ATTACHMENT AND BRAND CHOICE INTENTION: A STUDY ON PRIVATE EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTES IN VIETNAM (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=role-of-social-word-of-mouth-on-emotional-brand-attachment-and-brand-choice-intention-a-study-on-private-educational-institutes-in-vietnam)	90 - 102
	SULASTRI LILIS	ISLAMIC WORK ETHIC IN ISLAMIC COLLEGE IN INDONESIA: THE ROLE OF INTRINSIC MOTIVATION, ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE AND PERFORMANCE (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=islamic-work-ethic-in-islamic-universities-in-indonesia-the-role-of-intrinsic-motivation-organizational-culture-and-performance)	103 - 108
	SULISTIAWAN DEDHY , RUDIAWARNI FELIZIA ARNI	IS PRICE TO EARNINGS RATIO (STILL) USEFUL FOR TRADING STRATEGY? (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=is-price-to-earnings-ratio-still-useful-for-trading-strategy-)	109 - 116
	THERON EDWIN	WHEN SERVICE RECOVERY GOES WRONG – THE HARMFUL EFFECT ON TRUST (/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content/detail?article=when-service-recovery-goes-wrong-the-harmful-effect-on-trust)	117 - 137

Copyright © 2020 The International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences,
www.iises.net (<http://www.iises.net>)

Development by intercore solutions s.r.o.
(<http://www.intercore.cz/>)



INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE
OF SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC SCIENCES

(/)

Home (/) / Proceedings (/proceedings)



/ Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris - Table of Content - Article Detail

Proceedings of the 10th Business & Management Conference, Paris

Front Page (/proceedings
/10th-business-
management-conference-
paris/front-page)

Foreword (/proceedings
/10th-business-
management-conference-
paris/foreword)

Indexing (/proceedings
/10th-business-
management-conference-
paris/indexing)

Publication Ethics &
Publication Malpractice
Statement (/proceedings
/10th-business-

IS PRICE TO EARNINGS RATIO (STILL) USEFUL FOR TRADING STRATEGY?

DEDHY SULISTIAWAN, FELIZIA ARNI RUDIAWARNI

Abstract:

Overreaction phenomena stimulate assets mispricing and return reversals. Investors should build a trading strategy to receive benefits from the anomaly. Developing the classic idea of overreaction hypothesis from DeBondt and Thaler (1985 and 1990), we build stock portfolios based on sentiment and risk to produce higher future stock return. Using Indonesian data, we use financial information from public information to test weak-form efficiency. We believe that investors are not always rational and other groups of investors can use public information to generate excess return. This article finds that lower PER tend to produce higher future return, especially if lower PER accompanied by lower risk. Practically, our study contributes to the use of fundamental analysis in emerging markets. Theoretically, this study supports the idea of behavioral finance theory and reject weak-form efficient market hypothesis in Indonesia Stock Exchange.

Keywords: Price Earnings Ratio (PER), Price to Book Value (PBV), stock risk, future return, trading strategy

DOI: 10.20472/BMC.2019.010.008

[management-conference-paris/editorial-ethics](#)

PDF: [Download \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content?cid=86&iid=008&rid=11281\)](#)

[Review process \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/review-process\)](#)

[Table of Content \(/proceedings/10th-business-management-conference-paris/table-of-content\)](#)

Copyright © 2020 The International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences,
www.iises.net (<http://www.iises.net>)

Development by intercore solutions s.r.o.
(<http://www.intercore.cz/>)