DOI: https://doi.org/10.38035/dijefa.v5i6 https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

The Influence of Behavioral Bias on Investment Decision with Risk Perception as a Mediating Variable: A Study on Generation Z at the Indonesia Stock Exchange

Aldo Willie Kurnijanto^{1*}, Joni², Karen Videlia Sumbodo³, Liliana Inggrit Wijaya⁴, Bertha Silvia Sutejo⁵

¹Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia, <u>aldowillie.k@gmail.com</u>

Abstract: This study uses structural equation modeling to examine the direct and indirect relationships between behavioral biases and investment decisions with the mediating role of risk perception. This study was conducted among Generation Z individual investors who have been investing on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for several months to years. The behavioral biases examined include overconfidence bias, herding bias, and loss aversion bias, with data from 300 respondents collected through purposive sampling. The results show that risk perception mediates the relationship between overconfidence bias, herding bias, and loss aversion bias with investment decisions. Herding bias and loss aversion bias were found to have a direct relationship with risk perception. In addition, it was also found that herding bias, loss aversion bias, and risk perception have a direct relationship with investment decisions. This research is essential for investors to make more rational investment decisions and improve investment performance by recognizing the risks associated with behavioral biases. The increasing number of retail investors and Generation Z on the Indonesia Stock Exchange demands sound investment decisions. Behavioral biases often trigger irrational decisions and errors in portfolio management, while risk perception can strengthen or weaken the impact of behavioral biases on investment decisions. This study contributes theoretically to investment and behavioral finance, particularly regarding the mediating effect of risk perception.

Keywords: Overconfidence Bias, Herding Bias, Loss Aversion Bias, Risk Perception, Investment Decision

INTRODUCTION

In the era of globalization, investment has become an increasingly popular trend as part of personal financial management strategies. This is reflected in the significant growth of retail investors on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) over the past five years. In 2020, the number

²Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia, jonihong1703@gmail.com

³Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia, sumbodokaren@gmail.com

⁴Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia, liliana@staff.ubaya.ac.id

⁵Universitas Surabaya, Indonesia, bertha@staff.ubaya.ac.id

^{*}Corresponding Author: bertha@staff.ubaya.ac.id1

of individual investors increased by 56.5%, followed by a 50% increase in 2021. This positive trend continued with a 60% rise in 2022, 22% in 2023, and 17% in 2024. As of July 2024, the total number of individual investors reached 13,303,214. In addition to the increase in the number of investors, most individuals over the past five years have come from Generation Z. According to Oblinger and Oblinger (2005), this group is primarily under 30 years old and was born between 1995 and 2009.

According to data from the Custodian Sentral Efek Indonesia (KSEI) 2024, the proportion of Generation Z investors was 54.90% in 2020, rising to 58.58% in 2021 and reaching 59.43% in 2022. However, it slightly decreased to 57.57% in 2023 and 55.20% in 2024. The dominance of Generation Z in the investor proportion in Indonesia drives the selection of this group as the research object. Familiar with digital investment platforms, Generation Z often relies on financial advice from social media and friends, increasing the risk of making mistakes due to difficulties distinguishing accurate from inaccurate information. Additionally, Generation Z, being relatively young, tends to experience behavioral biases due to a lack of experience compared to senior investors (Fathin & Hersugondo, 2022). Generation Z also tends to think short-term and follow popular trends (Hidayat et al., 2023; Kertati, 2018)

This research is based on the grand theory of behavioral finance theory. Behavioral finance studies how psychology affects financial, business, and financial market decisions (Sutejo et al., 2023). This theory also explains how psychological factors can influence an individual's investment decision-making, often leading to irrational behavior in financial markets (Anisyah et al., 2023). This theory contrasts with the Efficient Market Hypothesis Theory (EMH), which states that all available information is reflected in asset prices, allowing the market to operate efficiently and rationally (Rehmat et al., 2023). Behavioral finance theory is supported by prospect theory and bounded rationality theory, which highlight the limitations of human rationality (Rehmat et al., 2023). According to (Sutejo et al., 2023), prospect theory is an economic behavior theory that describes how individuals make decisions among several alternatives involving known probabilities and risks they may face in the future. Meanwhile, the bounded rationality theory from (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979) explains that individuals often make decisions based on limited information, short time frames, and cognitive constraints, which means financial decisions are not always entirely rational.

The primary concept used is investment decision-making. According to Moueed et al. (2015), humans are inherently inclined to behave irrationally when making investment decisions. However, Parveen et al. (2020) emphasize that rational and disciplined behavior is essential for success as an investor in the stock market. Behavioral biases can affect an investor's final decision regarding whether to invest (Marciano and Wijaya, 2021). Therefore, actions that may trigger irrationality should be avoided. In this context, the research aims to explore the impact of behavioral biases such as Overconfidence, herding behavior, and loss aversion on investment decision-making. This study also explores how risk perception as a mediator can help reduce the negative impact of these biases and enhance the quality of investment decisions among Generation Z. With a deeper understanding of these factors; it is hoped that investors can make wiser, more informed decisions, minimizing the risks they face in the market.

This study has several specific objectives: (1) To evaluate the impact of Overconfidence on investment decision-making among Generation Z investors; (2) To evaluate the impact of Overconfidence on risk perception among Generation Z investors; (3) To evaluate the impact of herding behavior on investment decision-making among Generation Z investors; (4) To evaluate the impact of herding behavior on risk perception among Generation Z investors; (5) To evaluate the impact of loss aversion on investment decision-making among Generation Z investors; (6) To evaluate the impact of loss aversion on risk perception among Generation Z investors; (7) To evaluate the impact of risk perception on investment decision-making among

Generation Z investors; (8) To evaluate the role of risk perception as a mediator between overconfidence and risk perception among Generation Z investors; (9) To evaluate the role of risk perception as a mediator between herding behavior and risk perception among Generation Z investors; (10) To evaluate the role of risk perception as a mediator between loss aversion and risk perception among Generation Z investors.

METHOD

The type of research used in this study is quantitative research. The data source for this study is primary data collected through surveys distributed to a population of Generation Z investors who have invested in the Indonesia Stock Exchange, with 300 respondents. The questionnaire includes questions regarding the influence of various variables, such as overconfidence bias, herding behavior bias, and loss aversion bias on investment decisions. This study also uses risk perception as a mediating variable. The sample size in this study is 50 individual investors.


The measurement level used in this study is the interval scale. This level of measurement is applied to both the dependent and independent variables. The study also uses a Likert scale, allowing respondents to answer based on how much they agree or disagree with the statement. The Likert scale used consists of five points: strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), neutral (3), agree (4), and strongly agree (5). This scale provides flexibility in data analysis and result interpretation. This study employs a non-probability sampling technique with purposive sampling. Purposive sampling is a technique used to select samples based on specific considerations (Sugiyono, 2007).

This study uses Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) with the SmartPLS 4.0 program for data processing. SmartPLS is used to statistically analyze the data after conducting missing data analysis. SmartPLS is the most suitable software for predicting small data sets. SEM is applied to examine the proposed direct and indirect relationships. As a multivariate statistical technique, SEM combines latent and observed variables to evaluate structural theory. This study employs the Partial Least Squares (PLS) approach, part of the equation model in Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). PLS processes questionnaire data and tests the relationship between exogenous and endogenous variables. Data processing is carried out using SmartPLS 4 software. The analysis technique in PLS is divided into two main models: the outer and inner models. The outer model includes indicators such as convergent validity, discriminant validity, and composite reliability. Meanwhile, the inner model is used to test R-Square and estimate for path coefficients.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Measurement Model Testing (Outer Model)

Based on the outer model analysis results processed using SmartPLS 4.0, several critical pieces of information are obtained for model interpretation. The tests include convergent validity, discriminant validity, and composite reliability, which aim to evaluate the validity and reliability of the measurement model comprehensively.



Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

Figure 1. Outer Model (Measurement Model)

Outer Loadings

Table 1. Outer Loadings Value

	OC	HB	Loadings value LA	RP	ID
0.01		Т	L/IX	IVI.	
OC1	0,724				
OC2	0,786				
OC3	0,727				
OC4	0,755				
OC5	0,754				
OC6	0,717				
OC7	0,787				
HB1		0,738			
HB2		0,791			
HB3		0,752			
HB4		0,745			
HB5		0,705			
HB6		0,744			
HB7		0,759			
HB8		0,766			
LA1			0,774		
LA2			0,782		
LA3			0,751		
LA4			0,801		
LA5			0,774		
RP1				0,742	

RP2	0,705
RP3	0,769
RP4	0,714
RP5	0,799
RP6	0,780
ID1	0,824
ID2	0,746
ID3	0,830

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

Convergent validity can be assessed using the outer loading values, which evaluate the relationship strength between each indicator and the variable it represents. An indicator is considered valid in measuring the variable if its outer loading value exceeds 0.7. Based on Table 1 above, all indicators for the variables of Overconfidence (OC), Herding Behavior (HB), Loss Aversion (LA), Risk Perception (RP), and Investment Decision (ID) have outer loading values greater than 0.7. Therefore, it can be concluded that each indicator for both exogenous and endogenous variables meets the validity criteria for measuring the designated variables.

Cross Loading

Table 2. Cross Loading Value

	OC	HB	LA	RP	ID
001					
OC1	0,724	0,675	0,656	0,674	0,605
OC2	0,786	0,726	0,714	0,750	0,677
OC3	0,727	0,633	0,662	0,626	0,644
OC4	0,755	0,693	0,686	0,702	0,608
OC5	0,754	0,720	0,691	0,694	0,608
OC6	0,717	0,634	0,660	0,663	0,613
OC7	0,787	0,698	0,670	0,702	0,660
HB1	0,650	0,738	0,684	0,658	0,613
HB2	0,720	0,791	0,684	0,718	0,680
НВ3	0,709	0,752	0,713	0,682	0,619
HB4	0,688	0,745	0,662	0,700	0,668
HB5	0,636	0,705	0,645	0,648	0,605
HB6	0,691	0,744	0,692	0,702	0,660
HB7	0,687	0,759	0,666	0,683	0,636
HB8	0,682	0,766	0,666	0,681	0,626
LA1	0,693	0,704	0,774	0,706	0,610
LA2	0,683	0,704	0,782	0,670	0,599
LA3	0,694	0,694	0,751	0,685	0,593
LA4	0,735	0,721	0,801	0,723	0,666
LA5	0,698	0,677	0,774	0,687	0,607
RP1	0,660	0,684	0,674	0,742	0,632
RP2	0,678	0,663	0,638	0,705	0,600
RP3	0,730	0,710	0,678	0,769	0,652
RP4	0,664	0,665	0,651	0,714	0,606

RP5	0,728	0,729	0,725	0,799	0,682
RP6	0,675	0,666	0,667	0,780	0,610
ID1	0,695	0,690	0,640	0,654	0,824
ID2	0,651	0,656	0,619	0,652	0,746
ID3	0,674	0,700	0,645	0,709	0,830

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

In addition to assessing indicator validity through convergent validity, it is also essential to conduct discriminant validity testing, measured using cross-loading values. Cross-loading is used to evaluate the relationship strength between each indicator and the variable it represents. An indicator is considered valid if its cross-loading value with its original variable is higher than its cross-loading values with other variables. Based on Table 2 above, each indicator shows the highest value for its original variable compared to its cross-loading values with other variables, indicating that the questions or indicators are valid.

Average Variance Extracted (AVE)

Table 3. Average Variance Extracted Value

Variable	Average Variance Extracted (AVE)
Overconfidence (OC)	0,563
Herding Behavior (HB)	0,563
Loss Aversion (LA)	0,603
Risk Perception (RP)	0,566
Investment Decision (ID)	0,642

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

Average Variance Extracted (AVE) is used to test convergent validity. In measurement models, AVE indicates the extent to which the Variance of the indicators can be explained by the latent variable being measured. Based on Table 3 above, the AVE values for each variable in this study reach ≥ 0.5 . Thus, it can be concluded that all variables meet the established AVE criteria.

Composite Reliability

Composite reliability is a test used to assess the internal consistency of constructs in the model. This test aims to ensure that the indicators for each latent variable consistently measure the construct they represent. A composite reliability value is considered to meet the reliability standard if it exceeds 0.7, indicating that the indicators consistently measure their respective variables. Table 4 below shows each latent variable's composite reliability value above the 0.7 threshold. Therefore, all variables in the model exhibit a high level of reliability and are consistent in measuring the designated constructs.


Table 4. Composite Reliability Value

	obite Hemability value
Variable	Composite Reliability
Overconfidence (OC)	0,872
Herding Behavior (HB)	0,890
Loss Aversion (LA)	0,836
Risk Perception (RP)	0,848
Investment Decision (ID)	0,721

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

Structural Model Testing (Inner Model)

Based on the inner model analysis results processed through SmartPLS 4.0, various vital pieces of information are obtained for model interpretation. The tests include R-Square (coefficient of determination) and bootstrapping, which aim to confirm the strength of the relationships between exogenous (independent) and endogenous (dependent) variables, as well as assess the significance of the proposed hypotheses.



Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024) Figure 2. Inner Model (Structural Model)

R-Square

Table 5. R-Square Value

Variable	R-Square	R-Square Adjusted
Investment Decision (ID)	0,759	0,756
Risk Perception (RP)	0,882	0,881

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

The determination coefficient (R²) test is conducted to assess the extent to which the exogenous (independent) variables in the construct can explain the endogenous (dependent) variables. The R² value for the investment decision variable is 0.759, indicating that the model can explain 75.9% of the variability in investment decisions, which is influenced by the risk perception variable. In comparison, other variables outside the model influence the remaining 24.1%. Additionally, the risk perception variable has an R² value of 0.882, showing that the model can explain 88.2% of the variability in risk perception through the Overconfidence, herding behavior, and loss aversion variables, with the remaining 11.8% explained by other variables outside the model.

Hypothesis Testing

In determining hypothesis testing, particularly for direct relationships, the evaluation is based on the alignment of path coefficient values (original sample), t-statistics, and p-values. A hypothesis is accepted if the t-statistic exceeds the threshold of 1.645 and the p-value is less than 0.05, indicating a statistically significant relationship. Additionally, the proposed direction of the relationship in the hypothesis must be consistent with the coefficient obtained from the analysis, providing further validity to the results.

From Table 7, it can be concluded that:

- 1. The hypothesis that overconfidence negatively affects investment decisions in Generation Z has been rejected. This is due to the path coefficient value of 0.301, which indicates inconsistency with the negative direction of the hypothesis. However, the t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 3.093 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.002 < 0.05.
- 2. The hypothesis that Overconfidence negatively affects Risk Perception in Generation Z is rejected. This is due to the path coefficient value of 0.404, which indicates inconsistency with the negative direction of the hypothesis. However, the t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 7.229 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.000 < 0.05.
- 3. The hypothesis that Herding Behavior positively affects Investment Decisions in Generation Z is accepted. This is due to the path coefficient value of 0.435, which indicates consistency with the positive direction of the hypothesis. The t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 4.412 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.000 < 0.05.
- 4. The hypothesis that Herding Behavior positively affects Risk Perception in Generation Z is accepted. This is due to the path coefficient value of 0.358, which indicates consistency with the positive direction of the hypothesis. The t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 5.355 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.000 < 0.05.
- 5. The hypothesis that Loss Aversion negatively affects Investment Decisions in Generation Z is rejected. This is due to the path coefficient value of -0.098, which indicates consistency with the negative direction of the hypothesis. However, the t-statistics value does not meet the criteria, i.e., 1.098 < 1.645, and the p-value is 0.272 > 0.05.
- 6. The hypothesis that Loss Aversion positively affects Risk Perception in Generation Z is accepted. This is due to the path coefficient value of 0.207, which indicates consistency with the positive direction of the hypothesis. The t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 3.952 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.000 < 0.05.
- 7. The hypothesis that Risk Perception positively affects Investment Decisions in Generation Z is accepted. This is due to the path coefficient value of 0.254, which indicates consistency with the positive direction of the hypothesis. The t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 2.745 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.006 < 0.05.

Table 6. Hypothesis Testing (Direct Effect)

	Original Sample (O)	Sample Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (STDEV)	T Statistics (O/STDEV)	P Values
OC -> ID	0,301	0,305	0,097	3,093	0,002
OC -> RP	0,404	0,405	0,056	7,229	0,000
LA -> ID	-0,098	-0,094	0,090	1,098	0,272
LA -> RP	0,207	0,209	0,052	3,952	0,000
HB -> ID	0,435	0,430	0,099	4,412	0,000
HB -> RP	0,358	0,356	0,067	5,355	0,000
RP -> ID	0,254	0,251	0,092	2,745	0.006

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

Table 7. Hypothesis Testing (Indirect Effect)

	Original Sample (O)	Sample Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (STDEV)	T Statistics (O/STDEV)	P Values
OC -> RP -> ID	0,103	0,101	0,040	2,507	0,010
HB -> RP -> ID	0,091	0,090	0,039	2,336	0,020
LA -> RP -> ID	0,052	0,052	0,023	2,314	0,021

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

The evaluation of indirect relationships, particularly mediation, is based on the t-statistics and p-values. A mediation hypothesis is accepted if the t-statistics value exceeds the threshold of 1.645 with p-values less than 0.05, indicating a statistically significant relationship. Based on Table 8, it can be concluded that:

- 8. The hypothesis that Risk Perception mediates the relationship between Overconfidence and Investment Decision is accepted, as the t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 2.507 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.010 < 0.05.
- 9. The hypothesis that Risk Perception mediates the relationship between Herding Behavior and Investment Decision is accepted, as the t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 2.336 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.020 < 0.05.
- 10. The hypothesis that Risk Perception mediates the relationship between Loss Aversion and Investment Decision is accepted, as the t-statistics value meets the criteria, i.e., 2.314 > 1.645, and the p-value is 0.020 < 0.05.

Table 8. Results of the Mediation Test Criteria

Hypothesis		Effect	Result	Conclusion	
Н8	Direct	OC -> ID	Insignificant	Full Mediation	
	Indirect	OC -> RP -> ID	Significant	- (Indirect Only)	
Н9	Direct	HB -> ID	Significant	Partial Mediation	
	Indirect	HB -> RP -> ID	Significant	(Complementary)	
H10	Direct	LA -> ID	Insignificant	Full Mediation	
	Indirect	LA -> RP -> ID	Significant	- (Indirect Only)	

Source: Processed by the Researcher (2024)

Based on Table 9, it can be concluded that:

- 1. Hypothesis 8 tests the role of Risk Perception as a mediator in the relationship between Overconfidence and Investment Decisions, showing a result of complete mediation. The interpretation of this result is that the Overconfidence variable does not directly affect Investment Decisions without the mediation of Risk Perception. In other words, Risk Perception fully mediates the effect of Overconfidence on Investment Decisions.
- 2. Hypothesis 9 tests the role of Risk Perception as a mediator in the relationship between Herding Behavior and Investment Decision, showing a result of partial mediation. The interpretation of this result is that the Herding Behavior variable still directly affects Investment Decisions. However, part of its effect is mediated through the Risk Perception variable. Thus, Risk Perception acts as a mediator that strengthens but does not entirely replace the effect of herd behavior on Investment Decisions.
- 3. Hypothesis 10 tests the role of Risk Perception as a mediator in the relationship between Loss Aversion and Investment Decision, showing a result of complete mediation. The interpretation of this result is that the Loss Aversion variable does not directly affect Investment Decisions without the mediation of Risk Perception. In other words, Risk Perception fully mediates the effect of Loss Aversion on Investment Decisions.

CONCLUSION

The conclusions from this study indicate that Overconfidence, herding behavior, and loss aversion have varying effects on investment decision-making. The overconfidence variable has no significant influence on investment decisions. This finding aligns with the research of Afriani and Halmawati (2019), which suggests that an individual's level of Overconfidence does not affect their investment decisions. This indicates that transactional experience does not necessarily correlate with the ability to make rational and data-driven investment decisions. The overconfidence variable also shows no significant effect on risk perception, consistent with the study by Almansour et al. (2023). This is because respondents tend to base decisions on objective data analysis and are influenced by more vital external factors despite having high confidence in their abilities. The herding behavior variable has a positive and significant effect on investment decisions. This result is consistent with Purwidianti et al. (2023), who state that herding behavior can encourage investors to follow the majority trend, potentially enhancing investment decisions. Herding behavior also has a positive and significant effect on risk perception. In line with Ahmed et al. (2022), herding behavior arises when individuals attempt to mitigate risk by following others. This behavior increases investor risk perception, as they worry about potential losses if they do not align with the majority's decisions. The loss aversion variable has no significant effect on investment decisions, which aligns with the findings of Nur Aini and Lutfi (2019), which indicate that an individual's loss aversion level does not impact their investment decisions. However, loss aversion positively and significantly affects risk perception, consistent with Hasan and Mustafa (2023). Loss aversion occurs because investors focus more on potential losses than on gains, causing the perceived risk to feel more significant than it is. The risk perception variable has a positive and significant effect on investment decisions. This result is supported by Purwidianti et al. (2023), who found that a well-developed risk perception promotes more prudent and rational decision-making.

Furthermore, risk perception fully mediates the relationship between Overconfidence and investment decisions, consistent with Almansour et al. (2023). Risk perception helps investors affected by Overconfidence to become more cautious and better consider risks, thereby promoting more accurate investment decisions. Risk perception partially mediates the relationship between herding behavior and investment decisions. It is also consistent with Almansour et al. (2023), indicating that risk perception assists investors influenced by herding behavior in more carefully considering the investment decisions they follow. Lastly, risk perception fully mediates the relationship between loss aversion and investment decisions, which is in line with Budiman and Jasika (2018). Loss aversion causes investors to focus more on potential losses than gains, increasing their sensitivity to risk. As a result, risk perception rises and can influence investment decisions by prompting investors to avoid risky choices, even when there are substantial opportunities for gains (Hasan & Mustafa, 2023).

REFERENCE

- Afriani, D., & Halmawati, H. (2019). Pengaruh Cognitive Dissonance Bias, Overconfidence Bias Dan Herding Bias Terhadap Pengambilan Keputusan Investasi. *Jurnal Eksplorasi Akuntansi*, *1*(4), 1650–1665. https://doi.org/10.24036/jea.v1i4.168
- Ahmed, Z., Rasool, S., Saleem, Q., Khan, M. A., & Kanwal, S. (2022). Mediating Role of Risk Perception Between Behavioral Biases and Investor's Investment Decisions. *SAGE Open*, 12(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440221097394
- Almansour, B. Y., Elkrghli, S., & Almansour, A. Y. (2023). Behavioral finance factors and investment decisions: A mediating role of risk perception. In *Cogent Economics and Finance* (Vol. 11, Issue 2). Cogent. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2023.2239032

- Anisyah, E. N., Pinem, D., & Hidayati, S. (2023). Pengaruh literasi keuangan, inklusi keuangan dan financial technology terhadap perilaku keuangan pelaku UMKM di Kecamatan Sekupang. *Jurnal Riset Manajemen Dan Ekonomi (Jrime)*, 1(2), 30–47. https://doi.org/10.54066/jrime-itb.v1i2.139
- Budiman, J., & Jasika. (2018). Analisis faktor perilaku keuangan terhadap keputusan investasi emas masyarakat kota batam. *Journal of Global Business and Management Review*, *November 2017*, 25–32.
- Fathin, N. A., & Hersugondo, H. (2022). The Role of Psychological and Social Factors on Retail Investor Investment Decisions in the Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*, *13*(2), 236–252. https://doi.org/10.15294/jdm.v13i2.36588
- Hasan, M., & Mustafa, S. (2023). Prospect theory and investment decision biases: the mediating role of risk perception: case study of Pakistan stock exchange. *International Journal of Social Science and Entrepreneurship (IJSSE)*, 3(2), 2790–7724.
- Hidayat, F., Siregar, S. R., & Nugroho, A. W. (2023). Efek Financial Management Behavior, Self Efficacy, Herding Terhadap Keputusan Investasi Generasi Z (Effects of Financial Management Behavior, Self-Efficacy, Herding on Generation Z Investment Decisions). Akuntansi Bisnis & Manajemen (ABM), 30(1). https://doi.org/10.35606/jabm.v30i1.1192
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–292. https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/9789814417358_0006
- Kertati, I. (2018). Wawasan Kebangsaan Generasi Z. *Majalah Ilmiah FISIP UNTAG Semarang*, 13(18), 32–51.
- Marciano, D., & Wijaya, L. I. (2021). *BEHAVIOUR BIAS IN INVESTMENT DECISIONS: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF*. *158*, 466–486. https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2024.v8.i3.6380
- Moueed, A., Hunjra, A. I., Asghar, M. U., & Raza, B. (2015). Role of Psychological and Social Factors on Investment Decision Role of Psychological and Social Factors on Investment. *Sci.Int.*(*Lahore*), 27(5), 4697–4706.
- Nur Aini, N. S., & Lutfi, L. (2019). The influence of risk perception, risk tolerance, Overconfidence, and loss aversion towards investment decision-making. *Journal of Economics, Business, & Accountancy Ventura*, 21(3), 401–413. https://doi.org/10.14414/jebav.v21i3.1663
- Oblinger, D., & Oblinger, J. (2005). Educating the Next Generation. In *Science and Justice* (Vol. 48, Issue 2). Educause. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scijus.2008.03.007
- Parveen, S., Satti, Z. W., Subhan, Q. A., & Jamil, S. (2020). Exploring market overreaction, investors' sentiments and investment decisions in an emerging stock market. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 20(3), 224–235. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2020.02.002
- Purwidianti, W., Rahmawati, I. Y., & Dwiyanti, R. (2023). Overconfidence and Herding Bias on Investment Decisions the Role of Risk Perception as a Mediator. *Asian Journal of Economics*, *Business and Accounting*, 23(18), 36–45. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2023/v23i181056
- Rehmat, I., Khan, A. A., Hussain, M., & Khurshid, S. (2023). An Examination of Behavioral Factors Affecting the Investment Decisions: The Moderating Role of Financial Literacy and Mediating Role of Risk Perception. *Journal of Innovative Research in Management Sciences*, 4(2), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.62270/jirms.v4i2.52
- Sugiyono. (2007). Statistika Untuk Penelitian. In E. Mulyatiningsih (Ed.), *Alfabeta Bandung*. Sutejo, B. S., Sumiati, Wijayanti, R., & Ananda, C. F. (2023). Do Emotions Influence the Investment Decisions of Generation Z Surabaya Investors in the Covid-19 Pandemic Era? Does Financial Risk Tolerance Play a Moderating Role? *Scientific Papers of the*

University of Pardubice, Series D: Faculty of Economics and Administration, *31*(2). https://doi.org/10.46585/sp31021755

Sutejo, B. S., Sumiati, Wijayanti, R., & Ananda, C. F. (2023). Emotional intelligence and stock trading decisions: Indonesia's millennial generation in the COVID-19 era. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, *13*(12), 949–969. https://doi.org/10.55493/5002.V13I12.4893

https://dinastipub.org/DUEFA dinasti.info@gmail.com E-ISSN: 2721-303X P-ISSN: 2721-3021

DIJEFA:

Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting

Volume 1, No. 1, March 2020





HOME / Editorial Team

Editorial Team

Editor-In-Chief



<u>Prof. Dr. Nandan Limakrisna</u> (<u>Scopus ID: 57190416082</u>) Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Persada Indonesia YAI, Jakarta Indonesia, GF2-216

Managing Editor

<u>Dr. [C]. Rachmat Pramukty</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-146.

Associate Editors

<u>Azizah</u> (Scopus ID), Management and Business, Universitas Batanghari Jambi, Jambi Indonesia, GF2-377

International Editors

- Aygul Zufarovna Ibatova (Scopus ID) Industrial University of Tyumen, Russia, GF2-169
- <u>Sohayle M. Hadji Abdul Racman</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Mindanao State University, Marawi City Filipina, GF2-171
- <u>Prof. Ts Dr. Hj. Ishamuddin Mustapha</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) University Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, GF1-24
- Michal Wasserbauer, Ph.D (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Praha Czech Republic, GF2-199
- Prof. Jovenil Bacatan (Scopus ID) UM Peñaplata College, Philippines, GF1-50
- Dr. Efeeloo Nangih (Scopus ID) Kenule Beeson Sarowiwa Polytechnic, Bori Nigeria, GF1-53
- Dr. Masoud Lajevardi, (Scopus ID), Islamic Azad University, Semnan Branch, Semnan, Iran,

26/11/2025, 10:12

GF2-441

- Cynthia Skief (Scopus ID) Grand Canyon University, USA, GF1-65
- Taurayi Stephen Nyagope (Scopus ID) Nelson Mandela University, South Africa, GF1-75
- Gul Bahar Chachar (Scopus ID) Sukkur IBA University, Pakistan, GF1-132
- Lloyd Chingwaro (Scopus ID) Zimbabwe Open University, Zimbabwe, GF1-147
- <u>Hajah Mas Nooraini binti Haji Mohiddin</u> (<u>Orcid ID</u>) University Islam Sultan Sharif Ali, Brunei Darussalam
- <u>Sharmili Mohamed Rafi</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) School of komuniti Bentong Ministry of Pengajian Tinggi, Malaysia
- Chen Si (Scopus ID) Hubei Academy of Social Sciences, Wuhan China
- Gautam Kumar Jha (Scopus ID) Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi India
- Muhammad Azmi (Scopus ID) Universitas Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- <u>Dr. Muhammad Anshari</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) University Brunei Darussalam, Bandar Seri Begawan Brunei Darussalam

Editorial Board

- Prof. Dr. Muhammad Firdaus (Scopus ID) Universitas IPB, Bogor Indonesia, GF1-32.
- <u>Prof. Dr. Dewi Susita</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jakarta Timur Indonesia, GF2-62.
- <u>Dr (c) Wahyu Eko Pujianto</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Sidoarjo, Sidoarjo Indonesia, GF1-63.
- <u>Dr (c) Yandra Rivaldo</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Institut Agama Islam Abdullah Said Batam, Batam Indonesia, GF2-115.
- <u>Dr (cd) Tri Yulaeli</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-152.
- <u>Dr. Abu Bakar</u> (Scopus ID) STIE Jambatan Bulan, Papua Indonesia, GF1-81.
- <u>Dr. Achmad Maqsudi</u> (Scopus ID) Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya, Surabaya Indonesia, GF2-79.
- Dr. Andi Sulistiadi (Orcid ID) STT The Way, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF1-167.
- Dr. Andriasan Sudarso (Scopus ID) Universitas IBBI, Medan Indonesia, GF2-58.
- <u>Dr. Andyan Pradipta Utama</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF1-133.
- <u>Dr. Anik Herminingsih</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF2-51.
- <u>Dr. Annisa Fitri Anggraeni</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Winaya Mukti, Bandung Indonesia, GF1-17.
- <u>Dr. Drs. Syahmardi Yacob</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Jambi, Jambi Indonesia
- <u>Dr. Suharno Pawirosumarto</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Putra Indonesia YPTK, Padang Indonesia, GF2-137.
- <u>Dr. Willy Arafah</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF1-31.
- <u>Dr. Tatang Ary Gumanti</u> (<u>Sinta ID</u>) Universitas Katolik Widya Mandala Surabaya, Surabaya Indonesia, GF2-15.
- R. Adjeng Mariana (Scopus ID) Universitas Widyatama, Bandung Indonesia, GF2-274.
- Lenggogeni (Scopus ID) Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF2-19.
- Mochammad Mukti Ali (Scopus ID) Universitas Indonesia Membangun, Bandung Indonesia, GF2-139.

26/11/2025, 10:12

- Dudi Permana (Scopus ID) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF2-86.
- Yessi Rinanda (Scopus ID) Akademi Akuntansi Indonesia, Padang Indonesia
- <u>Ryan Firdiansyah Suryawan</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Sekolah Tinggi Penerbangan Aviasi, Jakarta Timur Indonesia, GF1-48.
- Melli Herfina (Scopus ID) Akademi Akuntansi Indonesia, Padang Indonesia
- <u>Andri Catur Trissetianto</u> (Scopus Id) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-179.
- Yuli Ardiany (Scopus ID) Akademi Akuntansi Indonesia, Padang Indonesia

&&&&&

- Prof. Dr. Agussalim M (Scopus ID) Universitas Ekasakti, Padang Indonesia, GF2-269.
- <u>Prof. Dr. Hapzi Ali</u> (<u>Scopus ID</u>) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-16.
- Dr. Ary Bastari (Scopus Id) Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jakarta Timur Indonesia, GF2-28.
- <u>Dr. Christine Catur Widayati</u> (<u>Scopus Id</u>) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF1-8.
- Dr. Dede Suleman (Scopus ID) Universitas Pembangunan Jaya, Banten Indonesia, GF2-187.
- <u>Dr. Deden Komar Priatna</u> (Scopus ID) Universitas Winaya Mukti, Bandung Indonesia, GF1-36.
- Dr. Djoko Setyo Widodo (Scopus ID) Universitas Krisnadwipayana, Bekasi Indonesia, GF1-35.
- M. Rizky Mahaputra (Scopus ID) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF2-190.
- Eko Suprapto (Scopus ID) Universitas Batanghari, Jambi Indonesia, GF2-291
- Reski Nofrialdi, Universitas Ekasakti, GF1-51
- Yumna Syaza Kani Putri, Universitas Jambi (alumni), GF2-309
- M. Ridho Mahaputra (Scopus ID) Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta Barat Indonesia, GF2-223.
- <u>Raihan Saputra</u> (Scopus ID) Universitas Bhayangkara Jakarta Raya, Jakarta Selatan Indonesia, GF2-195.

IT & OJS Support

<u>Harri Romadhona</u> (Scopus ID), Information System, Information Technology and Computer, Universitas Dinamika Bangsa Jambi (alumni), Jambi Indonesia, GF2-306

CALL FOR REVIEWER

Accreditation SINTA 3



DIJEFA MENU

Peer Reviewers		
Peer Review Process		
Aim and Scope		
Publication Ethics		
Author Guidelines		
Plagiarism Policy		
Article Processing Charge		
Open Access Statement		
Copyright and License		
Statistics		
Archive Policy		
Histori Jurnal		
Indexing		

Download Template



DIJEFA STATISTIC



TOOLS



EDITORIAL OFFICE

Yayasan Dinasti (Dinasti Publisher), Arcade Business Center 6th Floor Unit 6-03 Jl. Pantai Indah Utara 2 Kav. C1, PIK, Kec. Penjaringan, Daerah Khusus Ibukota Jakarta 14460

PUBLISHER

Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (DIJEFA) is managed and published by **Dinasti Publisher** under the auspices of the **Yayasan**

Dharma Indonesia Tercinta (DINASTI) and in collaboration with several institutions, the Faculty of Education, the National University of Malaysia, Faculty of Economics, Krisnadwipayana University, Faculty of Economics and Business, Winaya Mukti University, Bandung, Faculty of Economics, Muhammadiyah University Cirebon, Corruption Supervisory Commission (KPK Tipikor), Ekasakti University, Padang Indonesian Academy of Accountancy, Piksi Ganesha Polytechnic, Bandung, Bogor Academy of Technology, Bogor Telecommunication Academy, Indonesian National Tourism Academy, Bandung, Indonesian Polytechnic Piksi Ganesha, Kebumen, STIES Indonesia Purwakarta, STMIK Farewell, Kerawang and STIE Mahaputra Riau.


CONTACT INFO

+62 811 7404 455 (Whatsapp) https://dinastipub.org/

https://dinastipub.org/DIJEFA

Email: dinasti.info@gmail.com

DIJEFA INDEX



7 of 8 26/11/2025, 10:12









Creation is distributed below Lisensi Creative Commons Atribusi 4.0 Internasional.



HOME / ARCHIVES /

Vol. 5 No. 6 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (January - February 2025)

Vol. 5 No. 6 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (January - February 2025)

All Articles in this Issue from 4 countries (Indonesia, India, Gambia, Russia)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.38035/dijefa.v5i6

PUBLISHED: 2024-12-30

ARTICLES

Determinants Of Organizational Transformation, Corporate Culture, On Corporate Performance Mediated By Organizational Citizenship Behavior At PT. Angkasa Pura Aviasi

Aris Budi Karyono, Yuliantini Yuliantini, Lira Agusinta, Aswanti Setyawati, Yana Tatiana 5540-5550



Beyond Green Hype: Attitude, Trust & Ethics For E-Motors

Putu Maha Wiradharma, Nilna Muna 5551-5563



ESG Impact on Firm Performance and Investment Efficiency Moderated by Board Cultural Diversity in Asean's Emerging Markets

Safira Ayu Nindita, Dewi Hanggraeni 5564-5580

ol. 5 No.	6 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Financ	https://dinastipub.org/DIJEFA/issu
	Barriers to Entrepreneurial Intention: A Comprehensive Literature	Review
	Sri Yusriani, Iwan Setiyawan Prambudi, Shine Pintor Siolemba Patiro, Rahayu 5581-5588	, Achmad Fauzi, Heffi Christya
	The Effect of Corporate Governance, Profitability, and Working Ca Disclosure in Energy Sector Companies	pital on Carbon Emission
	Mariezka Hazna Puspa Primalia, Januar Eko Prasetio 5589-5601	
	The Effect of Leverage, Profitability and Institutional Ownership o Size as a Moderating Variable (Case Study on Mining Companies L Anisa Pitriani, Sabaruddin Sabaruddin 5602-5616	
	□ PDF	
	Impelementation of Marketing Strategies as an Effort to Increase Rasa Berkah Sukorejo Lusi Oktaviani, Moh Hafid	Costumer Loyalty at Dapur Cita
	5617-5624	
	The Role of Digital Culture in Mediating Transformational Leaders Andry Rivan Sumara, A. Sobandi, Janah Sojanah 5625-5637	ship on Employee Performance
	PDF	
	The Government's Role in Improving MSME Business Performance I Gde Wedana Arjawa, I Made Pulawan, Putu Ayu Sita Laksmi 5638-5649	e in Denpasar City

2 of 18 26/11/2025, 10:14

PDF

The Role of Green Marketing and Sustainability Practices in Increasing Brand Equity in the Indonesian E-commerce Sector

Zulki Zulkifli Noor

5650-5656

PDF

The Influence of Financial Factors on Profit Growth with Company Size as a Moderating Variable: Study of LQ45 Manufacturing Companies

Salma Fairuz Amalia, Herlin Tundjung Setijaningsih 5657-5664

PDF

The Influence of Raw Material Supply Chain Management on the Performance of Sugar Palm SMEs at CV. Mitra Mandala

Aldi Rizkiansyah, Aliudin Aliudin, Setiawan Sariyoga 5665-5677

PDF

The Influence of Influencer Marketing and Social Media Marketing on Purchase Decisions with Brand Awareness as an Intervening Variable for TikTok Shop in Generation Z

Aidil Arlana Hidayatullah, Muinah Fadhilah, Lusia Tria Hatmanti Hutami 5678-5692

PDF

Analysis Of Digital Marketing, Brand Image And Green Business On Business Sustainability In Retail MSMEs

Hasanudin "Nurhasan "Acip Sucipto 5693-5703

∠ PDF

How can FinTech achieve sustainable finance goals? A Systematic Literature Review

Pratiwi Nila Sari, Rona Tumiur Mauli Carolin Simorangkir 5704-5721

☑ PDF

Coaching in Organizations (A Study on the Implementation of Coaching in Indonesian Banking)

Iwan Pramana, Sammy Kristamuljana 5722-5729

☑ PDF

The Power of Ethical Leadership on Good Corporate Governance and Contextual Performance

Saptana Tri Prasetiawan, Irdham Ahmad, Aswanti Setyawati, Munawaroh Zainal 5730-5740

∠ PDF

The Influence of Behavioral Bias on Investment Decision with Risk Perception as a Mediating Variable: A Study on Generation Z at the Indonesia Stock Exchange

Aldo Willie Kurnijanto, Joni Joni, Karen Videlia Sumbodo, Liliana Inggrit Wijaya, Bertha Silvia Sutejo 5741-5752

☑ PDF

The Effect of Discipline, Motivation, and Competence on Employee Performance Productivity in the Office Environment of the Class III Japura Airport Operator Unit

Yoga Komala, Hapzi Ali 5753-5758

PDF

The Impact of Gold Returns, Bitcoin Returns, and Rising Food Price on Hedging Capability Against Education Costs in Indonesia

Tuani Dzulfikar S. Rambe, Hafzi Alli 5759-5766

☑ PDF

Analysis of Factors Influencing Intention to Purchase Natural And Environmentally Friendly Cosmetic Products

Salim Zaki Bahaiyan, Erlita Ridanasti 5767-5775

☑ PDF

Analysis of the Effect of the Implementation of Green Accounting and Environmental Performance

on The Financial Performance In Manufacturing Companies

Endang Tri Pratiwi, Alimuddin Alimuddin, Mediaty Mediaty 5776-5785

☑ PDF

Analysis The Determinants Affecting Banking Performance

Reniati Karnasi, Afrizal Elgi

5786-5795

☑ PDF

The Impact of Village Government Size, Wealth, and Intergovernmental Revenue on Village Financial Performance in Krian District, Sidoarjo, East Java

Nurul Imamah, Sofiah Nur Iradawaty, Arief Rahman, Dien Ajeng Fauziah, Endang Siswati 5796-5806

☑ PDF

Influence of Profitability, Leverage and Company Growth on Dividend Policy (Study on Main Board and Development Board Index Companies Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange for the 2018-2022 Period)

Ni Made Ari Dwipayani, I Wayan Gde Wahyu Purna Anggara 5807-5815

PDF

The The Influence of Brand Image and Sharia Promotion on the Community's Decision to Become Members of BMT UGT Nusantara Capem Tegaldlimo Banyuwangi

Elok Niswatummajidah, Muhammad Kanzul Fikri 5816-5827

☑ PDF

Review of Thematic Bureaucratic Reform Policy Changes in Efforts to Accelerate Local Development in Indonesia

M.R. Khairul Muluk, Oscar Radyan Danar, Akhmad Amiruddin 5828-5837

☑ PDF

Regional Tourism In Banten Potential And Development

Lut Mafrudoh

5838-5845

☑ PDF

Determination of Operational Costs of PT. Antam Tbk. West Kalimantan Bauxite Mining Business Unit: Types of Letter of Credit (LC), Terms of Letter of Credit (LC), and Transaction Efficiency

Indra Fajar Herdiaman, Hapzi Ali

5846-5854

☑ PDF

The Influence of Revenue and Operational Costs on Income tax in Food and Beverage Sub-Sector Companies

Endang Tri Pratiwi, Amiruddin Amiruddin, Syamsu Alam 5855-5864

☑ PDF

Case Analysis of Public Procurement Management In Indonesia for The Period of 2023

Dodi Hardinata, Yenisrida Yenisrida, Hendra Depriansyah Putra 5865-5876

PDF

The Effect of Corporate Social Responsibility on Investment Decisions: The Mediating Role of ESG Score on Banking Companies in Indonesia

Husnuzzahra Fadhia, Dirda Rahma Elika, Permata Dian Pratiwi 5877-5885

△ PDF

Consumer Behavior Analysis in Pangkalpinang: Perception as Intervening of Hydroponic Purchase Decision with STP Approach

Dewa Ayu Gianina, Muhammad Yamin, Selly Oktarina 5886-5896

☑ PDF

Mapping Technological Innovation for the Implementation of Green Logistics in the Indonesian

Courier Express Parcel (CEP) Industry

Veronica Veronica, Novembriani Irenita 5897-5909

☑ PDF

Exchange Rate, Dividend Policy, and Capital Structure: Their Impact on Stock Returns in Jakarta Islamic Index Companies (2019–2023)

Afisa Nur Maulidha, Pristin Prima Sari, Johannes Maysan Damanik 5910-5921

☑ PDF

The Influence of Attitudes, Subjective Norms and Perception Behavior Control on Taxpayer Compliance of Swallow's Nest in Kendari City

Burhanuddin Burhanuddin, Gjosphink Putra Umar Sakka, Nita Hasnita 5922-5928

☑ PDF

The Effect of Price, Public Purchasing Power And Consumption Level on Rice Commodity Inflation in Pangkalpinang City

Arif Rustarto, Hapzi Ali 5929-5936

PDF

How Safety Awareness Affects Safety Citizenship Behavior: The Safety Motivation Mediation Perspective

Wibawanti Andjar, Lim Sanny, Denny Siahaan, Sundring Pantja Djati 5937-5945

☑ PDF

Al Rizky Laundry Business Development Strategy for Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs)

Muhammad Salman Al Farisi, Vembri Auliah Rahmi 5946-5962

☑ PDF

Efficient Capital Market Theory and its Implications for Investment Decision Making in Financial

Markets

Alwinda Manao, Ikaputera Waspada, Maya Sari 5963-5971

☑ PDF

The Effect of Transformational Leadership and Career Management on Organizational Commitment with Job Satisfaction as an Interverning Variable

Aisyah Huwaida Siregar, Anjar Prihantoro Budi Winarso 5972-5987

☑ PDF

The Influence of Corporate Governance on the Disclosure of Sustainability Reports with Profitability as a Moderating Variable

A. A. Sri Pramita, I Gusti Ayu Nyoman Budiasih 5988-5998

☑ PDF

The Effect Of Liquidity, Solvency And Profitability On Stock Prices (Study of Classification J Infrastructure Companies Listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange for the 2020-2023 Period)

Rois Rendiyansyah, Anak Agung Ngurah Agung Kresnandra 5999-6007

PDF

Digital Value-Based Pricing Strategy in Tourism Marketing: A Systematic Literature Review Approach

Rais Iqbal Rabiul Awal, Agus Rahayu, Heny Hendrayati, Nono Heryana, Shandika Yudha Rahman, Muhammad Deny, Fitri Lestari

6008-6021

☑ PDF

The Influence of Location and Profit Sharing Level on Member's Interest in Saving at KSPPS BMT UGT Nusantara Capem Tegaldlimo

Rovikatus Sholihah, Muhammad Kanzul Fikri 6022-6032

🛭 PDF

Determination of Promotion and Brand Image on Purchasing Decisions through Trust

Sri Yanthy Yosepha

6033-6047

PDF

Understanding of Taxation, Utilization of Accounting Technology and Use of E-filling on Taxpayer **Compliance with Internet Understanding as Moderation**

Sri Ayem, Yasminia Eka Rahmadani 6048-6057

PDF

The Influence of Environmental Factors on Firm Financial Performance

Elvira Luthan, Sri Daryanti Zen, Vioni Putri Dwinanda 6058-6067

PDF

Analysis of the Competency of People's Shipping Ship Crews in Determining the Position of the Ship (Case Study: Pelra Ship at Maccini Baji' Harbor, Pangkajene Regency and the Islands and Paotere-**Makassar People's Harbor)**

Subehana Rachman, Haerani Asri, Meti Kendek, Adi Suriadi 6068-6077

PDF

Influence of Financial Management Accountability and The Spirituality of Pastoral Services In the Parishes of The Tomohon Victory Towards The Achievement of Pastoral Work

Maritje Maria Makalew, Stefhanus Hanny Pangemanan 6078-6085

☑ PDF

Debt To Asset Ratio, Debt To Equity Ratio, Return On Asset, Return On Equity, Net Profit Margin And The Effect On Stock Prices In Indonesia Energy Sector Companies

Meida Dwi Sunarsih, Misdiyono Misdiyono, Titi Nugraheni 6086-6094

☑ PDF

The Influence of Service Quality Dimensions, Insurance System, and Sincerity on BPJS Patient Satisfaction, Trust, and Loyalty in Indonesian Hospitals

Nadiah Alysia Pratisara Putri, Margaretha Pink Berlianto 6095-6109

∠ PDF

Green Repurchase Intention of Wiguna Market Visitors: The Role of Green Perceived Value, Green Perceived Quality, Green Wom With Green Trust As Mediation

Fransisca Desiana Pranatasari, Maria Angela Diva VW 6110-6118

☑ PDF

Enhancing Sustainable Tourism In East Nusa Tenggara Through Air Transport Connectivity

Oce Prasetya

6119-6128

PDF

Implementation of Islamic Business Ethics in TABAH Products at BMT NU Gambiran Banyuwangi

Nabila Fikri Asryva, Lely Ana Ferawati Ekaningsih 6129-6138

☑ PDF

The Effect of Technology Adoption and Service Quality on Patient Satisfaction (Case Study on Digital Dentistry Adoption at Densthetica Clinic West Sumatra)

Muhammad Fadlan, Laura Lahindah 6139-6148

☑ PDF

Analysis of Green Brand Image on Green Brand Equity With Green Trust, Green Satisfaction, And Green Perceived Value As Mediation

M.A. Diva Vilaningrum Wadyatenti, Fransisca Desiana Pranatasari 6149-6157

☑ PDF

Does Diversification Strengthen Or Weaken A Firm's Performance On Stock Price?

Elvi Sekar Sari, Heru Tjaraka 6158-6168
∠ PDF
Logistics Risk Management at CV. Protani in Palu City Zaskia Zahrani Yusman, Suryadi Hadi, Saharuddin Kaseng, Kadek Agus Dwiwijaya 6169-6179
∠ PDF
Exploring the Canggu-Bali Magnet as a Choice for Digital Nomads Ni Made Pipin Oktapiani, Luh Putu Mahyuni 6180-6188
∠ PDF
Exploration of Work Performance of Teachers at Driving Schools Dewa Ayu Dian Yulita Sari, Oka Suryadinatha Gorda 6189-6201
户 PDF
The Influence of CAR, NPL, LDR and DPK Ratios on ROE at Rural Credit Banks (BPR) in West Java Dadan Slamet Riyadi, Riyanti Riyanti, Lukman Lukman 6202-6210
∠ PDF
Strategy for Building Optimal Performance of Medical Laboratory Technologists: Synergy of Organizational Culture and Work Environment with Job Satisfaction as an Intervening Variable Angga Gumelar, Nuraeni Nuraeni 6211-6219
∠ PDF
Analysis of the Impact of Electronic Money Usage as a Payment Tool on Economic Growth Agustina ,, Rinaldi Rustam 6220-6236
□ PDF

The Influence of Transportation Management Systems and Supply Chain Integration on Business Performance with Supply Chain Performance as a Mediating Variable in the Automotive Industry in Indonesia

Wahyu Nurmasari, Wahyuningsih Sentosa, Triwulandari Satitidjati Dewayana, Faradisa Yulansa 6237-6249



The Effect of Environmental Uncertainty and Executive Characteristics on Tax Avoidance

Lina Garten Huang, Estralita Trisnawati

6250-6258



Analysis of Tax Court Decisions Over the Deemed Interest Revenue Dispute From Intragroup Interest-Free Loans

Dian Tri Handayani, Lith Alfansuri, Sekar Mayangsari 6259-6269



Entrepreneurial Orientation Dimensions, Competitive advantage, and Indonesian F&B SMEs Performance

Shrinithy Parthasarathi, Gracia Ongkowijoyo 6270-6285



Determinat of Tax Aggressiveness in Banking Companies at 2021-2022 Period

Annisa Fitri Anggraeni , Winna Roswinna, Maria Lusiana Yulianti, Kartika Pratiwi Putri, Taty Siti Aisyah 6286-6302



The Effect of Social Media Marketing and E-Service Quality on the Decision to Choose Private Tutoring Services "Be Smart Student" Mediated by Brand Trust

Putri Ismawati, Adibah Yahya, Hamzah Muhammad Mardi Putra 6303-6315

🛭 PDF

Exploring Age and Gender Influences on Consumer Behaviour in Online Food Delivery Services

Krish Zaveri, Nevil Thacker, Suhani Patel, Mayank Meghwal, Rahul Chauhan, Andino Maseleno, Kharchenko Raisa

6316-6328

PDF

Impacts of Online Payment Systems on Consumers and Merchants

Pratham Naik, Yash Kotak, Jaydev Dhanak, Dhwanit Shah, Rahul Chauhan, Andino Maseleno, Kharchenko Raisa

6329-6341

∠ PDF

SWOT Analysis of BBK Electronics' Product Diversification Strategy for Dominating Indonesia's Smartphone Market

Muhammad Vajri Mashudan, Sity Sarah Maesaroh, Muhammad Rizki Nugraha 6342-6355

☑ PDF

The Impact Of Key Service Quality Factors On Customer Loyalty: A Study On Tokopedia

Janice Carysa Siahaya

6356-6364

☑ PDF

The Snowball Business Model: A Strategic Growth Framework for Sustainable Institutional and Entrepreneurial Development

Nandan Limakrisna

6365-6367

☑ PDF

CALL FOR REVIEWER

Accreditation SINTA 3



DIJEFA MENU

Peer Reviewers	
Peer Review Process	
Aim and Scope	
Publication Ethics	
Author Guidelines	
Plagiarism Policy	
Article Processing Charge	
Open Access Statement	
Copyright and License	
Statistics	
Archive Policy	
Histori Jurnal	
Indexing	

Download Template



DIJEFA STATISTIC



TOOLS



EDITORIAL OFFICE

Yayasan Dinasti (Dinasti Publisher), Arcade Business Center 6th Floor Unit 6-03 Jl. Pantai Indah Utara 2 Kav. C1, PIK, Kec. Penjaringan, Daerah Khusus Ibukota Jakarta 14460

PUBLISHER

Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (DIJEFA) is managed and published by **Dinasti Publisher** under the auspices of the **Yayasan**

Dharma Indonesia Tercinta (DINASTI) and in collaboration with several institutions, the Faculty of Education, the National University of Malaysia, Faculty of Economics, Krisnadwipayana University, Faculty of Economics and Business, Winaya Mukti University, Bandung, Faculty of Economics, Muhammadiyah University Cirebon, Corruption Supervisory Commission (KPK Tipikor), Ekasakti University, Padang Indonesian Academy of Accountancy, Piksi Ganesha Polytechnic, Bandung, Bogor Academy of Technology, Bogor Telecommunication Academy, Indonesian National Tourism Academy, Bandung, Indonesian Polytechnic Piksi Ganesha, Kebumen, STIES Indonesia Purwakarta, STMIK Farewell, Kerawang and STIE Mahaputra Riau.

CONTACT INFO

+62 811 7404 455 (Whatsapp) https://dinastipub.org/

https://dinastipub.org/DIJEFA

Email: dinasti.info@gmail.com

DIJEFA INDEX





Vol. 5 No. 6 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Financ...







Creation is distributed below Lisensi Creative Commons Atribusi 4.0 Internasional.

18 of 18



DINASTI INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMICS, FINANCE & ACCOUNTING (DIJEFA)

O DINASTI PUBLISHER UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE YAYASAN DHARMA INDONESIA TERCINTA (DINASTI)

₱ P-ISSN: 27213021 <> E-ISSN: 2721303X







Google Scholar

The Effect of Household Health and Education Expenditures and Macroeconomic Conditions on Cigarette Consumption: A Panel Data Study of 34 Provinces in Indonesia, 2021â | 2023

<u>Dinasti Publisher</u> <u>Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 5 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (November - De 4299-4311)</u>

□ 2025 □ DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i5.5239 ○ Accred : Sinta 3

The Influence of Debt Policy, Profitability and Firm Size on Firm Value with Free Cash Flow as a Moderating Variable on the Kompas100 Index on the Indonesian Stock Exchange 2019-2023

<u>Dinasti Publisher</u> <u>Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 2 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (May-June 2025 877-892).</u>

□ 2025 □ DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i2.4086 ○ Accred : Sinta 3

Systematic Literature Review: The Role of Internal Auditor Performance in Non-Profit Organizations

□ 2025 □ DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i1.3948 ○ Accred : Sinta 3

Firm Value: The Influence of Tax Planning, Tax Avoidance, and Corporate Transparency

<u>Dinasti Publisher</u> <u>Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 1 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (March-April 2 828-838)</u>

2025

The Effect of Dividend Announcements on Stock Return Volatility

Dinasti Publisher Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 5 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (November - De 4258-4265

2025

DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i5.5241

O Accred: Sinta 3

The Impact of Digitalization on Women's Employment Participation in Indonesia: A Binary Logistic Regression Analysis

Dinasti Publisher Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 2 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (May-June 2025 902-913

DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i2.4100

O Accred: Sinta 3

The Influence of Profitability, Investment Decisions and Dividend Payment Policy on Company Value (Study of Energy Sector Companies for the 2019-2023 period)

<u>Dinasti Publisher</u> Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 1 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (March-April 2 280-289

2025

DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i1.3951

O Accred: Sinta 3

Case Study in the Indonesian Banking Sector: Implementation of the Policy on The Use of Collateral in the People's Business Credit Kur in The Coordinating Minister of Economic Regulation Number 7 of 2024

<u>Dinasti Publisher</u> Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 1 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (March-April 2 813-827

2025

DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i1.4430

O Accred: Sinta 3

Professional Skepticism as an Intervening Variable in the Relationship Between Auditor Competence, Time Budget Pressure and Forensic **Expertise with the Auditor's Ability to Detect Fraud**

<u>Dinasti Publisher</u> Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 5 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting (November - De 4404-4414

2025

DOI: 10.38035/dijefa.v6i5.5245

O Accred: Sinta 3

The Effect of Financial Literacy, Income Level on Financial Well-Being through Financial Behavior as a Mediating Variable

Dinasti International Journal of Economics, Finance & Accounting Vol. 6 No. 4 (2025): Dinasti International Journal of Economics, <u>Dinasti Publisher</u> Finance & Accounting (September - O 2832-2843

2025

View more ...